ON THE EXISTENCE OF WEAK SOLUTIONS FOR p, q-LAPLACIAN SYSTEMS WITH WEIGHTS

OLIMPIO H. MIYAGAKI, RODRIGO S. RODRIGUES

Abstract. This paper studies degenerate quasilinear elliptic systems involving p, q-superlinear and critical nonlinearities with singularities. Existence results are obtained by using properties of the best Hardy-Sobolev constant together with an approach developed by Brezis and Nirenberg.

1. Introduction

In a well-known paper, Brezis and Nirenberg [11] proved that, under certain conditions, the elliptic problem with Dirichlet boundary condition

$$\begin{aligned} -\Delta u &= \lambda u^q + u^{2^*-1} \qquad \text{in } \Omega, \\ u &> 0 \quad \text{in } \Omega, \\ u &= 0 \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega \end{aligned} \tag{1.1}$$

possesses at least a solution, for all $\lambda > 0$, where $1 < q < 2^* = 2N/(N-2)$, $N \geq 3$, 2^* is said to be the critical Sobolev exponent, and $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ $(N \geq 3)$ is a bounded smooth domain. In general, the main difficulty in this type of problem is the lack of compactness of the injection $H_0^1(\Omega) \hookrightarrow L^{2^*}(\Omega)$.

We recall that the perturbation λu^q is essential in this kind of the problem. By Pohozaev identity [30], problem (1.1) does not possess any solution when $\lambda \leq 0$.

García and Peral in [19] studied the existence of nontrivial solution for a class of problems involving the p-laplacian operator, namely,

$$\begin{aligned} -\Delta_p u \equiv -\operatorname{div}(|\nabla u|^{p-2}\nabla u) &= \lambda |u|^{q-2}u + \mu |u|^{p^*-2}u \quad \text{in } \Omega, \\ u &\geq 0 \quad \text{in } \Omega, \\ u &= 0 \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega, \end{aligned}$$

in a bounded smooth domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N (N > p)$, with $1 < p \leq q < p^* = Np/(N-p)$. When $p < q < p^*$, we say that the above problem is p-superlinear. These type of problems, which are related to the Brezis and Nirenberg problem [11] (problem (1.1) with $p = 2$), have been widely treated by several authors and we would like to mention some of them, e.g., [14, 20, 21] for $1 < p < N$ and [26, 28, 29] for $p = 2$, see also references cited there.

Caffarelli, Kohn and Nirenberg in [12] proved that if $1 < p < N$, $-\infty < a < (N-p)/p$, $a \leq c_1 \leq a+1$, $d_1 = 1 + a - c_1$, and $p^* = p^*(a, c_1, p) := Np/(N - d_1 p)$,

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 35B25, 35B33, 35D05, 35J55, 35J70.
Key words and phrases. Degenerate quasilinear equations; elliptic system; critical exponent; singular perturbation.
The first author was supported by the CNPq-Brazil and AGIMB–Millenium Institute MCT/Brazil. The second author was supported by Capes-Brazil.

there exists $C_{a,p} > 0$ such that the following Hardy-Sobolev type inequality with weights is satisfied

$$\Big(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |x|^{-c_1 p^*} |u|^{p^*} dx\Big)^{p/p^*} \le C_{a,p}\Big(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |x|^{-ap} |\nabla u|^p dx\Big), \quad \forall u \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N).$$

Note that several papers have been appeared on this subject, mainly, the works about the existence of solution for a class of quasilinear elliptic problems of the type

$$-Lu_{ap} = g(x,u) + |x|^{-e_1 p^*} |u|^{q-2} u \quad \text{in } \Omega,$$

where $Lu_{ap} = \operatorname{div}(|x|^{-ap} |\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u)$, under certain suppositions on the exponents $1 < p < N$, $-\infty < a < (N-p)/p$, $a \le e_1 < a+1$, $d = 1 + a - e_1$, and $p^* = Np/(N-dp)$, and on the function $g : \Omega \times \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$. See, for instance, [4, 7, 13, 16, 34, 35] and references therein. The lack of compactness is overcame proving that all the Palais Smale sequence at the level c, ($(PS)_c$-sequence, in short), with $c < (d/N)(C^*_{a,p})^{N/dp}$, is relatively compact. $(d/N)(C^*_{a,p})^{N/dp}$ is so called the critical level and $C^*_{a,p}$ is the best Hardy-Sobolev constant and it is characterized by

$$C^*_{a,p} = C^*_{a,p}(\Omega) := \inf_{u \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega, |x|^{-ap}) \setminus \{0\}} \Big\{ \frac{\int_\Omega |x|^{-ap} |\nabla u|^p dx}{\big(\int_\Omega |x|^{-e_1 p^*} |u|^{p^*} dx\big)^{p/p^*}} \Big\}.$$

Besides the great number of the applications known for the scalar case, for instance, in fluid mechanics, in newtonian fluids, in flow through porous media, reaction-diffusion problems, nonlinear elasticity, petroleum extraction, astronomy, glaciology, etc, see [15], the above systems can involve another phenomena, such as competition model in population dynamics, see [18] and reference therein. For the systems case we would like to mention the papers [2, 32] and a survey paper [17] as well as in the references therein.

In our work, we will use a version of the well-known mountain pass theorem [6] to establish conditions for the existence of a nontrivial solution for a quasilinear elliptic system involving the above operator and a p,q-superlinear nonlinear perturbation

$$\begin{aligned} -Lu_{ap} &= \lambda\theta |x|^{-\beta_1} |u|^{\theta-2} |v|^\delta u + \mu\alpha |x|^{-\beta_2} |u|^{\alpha-2} |v|^\gamma u \quad \text{in } \Omega, \\ -Lv_{bq} &= \lambda\delta |x|^{-\beta_1} |u|^\theta |v|^{\delta-2} v + \mu\gamma |x|^{-\beta_2} |u|^\alpha |v|^{\gamma-2} v \quad \text{in } \Omega, \\ u &= v = 0 \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega, \end{aligned} \tag{1.2}$$

where

$$\Omega \text{ is a bounded smooth domain of } \mathbb{R}^N \text{ with } 0 \in \Omega, \tag{1.3}$$

the parameters λ, μ are positive real numbers and the exponents satisfy

$$\begin{gathered} 1 < p, \quad q < N, \quad -\infty < a < (N-p)/p, \quad -\infty < b < (N-q)/q, \\ a \le c_1 < a+1, \quad b \le c_2 < b+1, \quad d_1 = 1 + a - c_1, \quad d_2 = 1 + b - c_2, \\ p^* = Np/(N-d_1 p), \quad q^* = Nq/(N-d_2 q), \\ \alpha, \gamma, \theta, \delta > 1, \quad \beta_1, \beta_2 \in \mathbb{R}, \end{gathered} \tag{1.4}$$

with one of the following two sets of conditions satisfied:

$$\begin{gathered} \frac{\theta}{p} + \frac{\delta}{q}, \frac{\alpha}{p} + \frac{\gamma}{q} > 1 \quad (p,q\text{-superlinear}) \\ \\ \frac{\theta}{p^*} + \frac{\delta}{q^*}, \frac{\alpha}{p^*} + \frac{\gamma}{q^*} < 1 \quad (p,q\text{-subcritical}), \end{gathered} \tag{1.5}$$

or

$$\frac{\theta}{p^*}+\frac{\delta}{q^*}<1<\frac{\theta}{p}+\frac{\delta}{q} \text{ and } \frac{\alpha}{p^*}+\frac{\gamma}{q^*}=1 \quad p,q\text{-superlinear/critical case}) \tag{1.6}$$

However, the variational systems behave, in a certain sense, like in the scalar case, there exist some additional difficulties mainly coming from the mutual actions of the variables u and v, see e. g. [23, 33]. Another difficulty, even in the regular case, are the systems involving p-laplacian and q-laplacian operators and their respective critical exponents. In this situation, it is hard to find a well appropriated critical level, mainly, when $p \neq q$. This open question was pointed out in Adriouch and Hamidi [1]. But, recently Silva and Xavier in [31] were able to prove, in a certain context and in the regular case, the existence of weak solution for a system involving p-laplacian and q-laplacian operators with $p \neq q$. Still in the regular case and $p = q$, we would like to mention the papers [2, 5, 27, 32, 36], also a survey paper [17]. In particular, Morais and Souto in [27] defined the following critical level number S_H/p, where

$$S_H = \inf_{W\setminus\{0\}} \Big\{ \frac{\int_\Omega |\nabla u|^p + |\nabla v|^p dx}{\big(\int_\Omega H(u,v)dx\big)^{p/p^*}} \Big\},$$

$W = W_0^{1,p}(\Omega) \times W_0^{1,q}(\Omega)$ and H is homogeneous nonlinearity of degree p^*. In this work, we will improve the critical level by proving that all the Palais Smale sequences at the level c are relatively compact provided that

$$c < (\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{p^*})(\mu p^*)^{\frac{-p}{p^*-p}} \tilde{S}^{\frac{p^*}{p^*-p}} + \lambda(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{p_1})M,$$

where $\tilde{S}$ depends of $C^*_{a,p}$ and $M = M(u_n, v_n) \geq 0$ depends of Palais Smale sequence.

Our first result deals with p, q-superlinear and subcritical nonlinear perturbation.

Theorem 1.1. *In addition to* (1.3), (1.4), *and* (1.5), *assume that* $p_i \in (p, p^*)$, $q_i \in (q, q^*)$, $i = 1, 2$, *with* $\theta/p_1 + \delta/q_1 = \alpha/p_2 + \gamma/q_2 = 1$ *and*

$$\beta_i < \min\big\{(a+1)p_i + N\big(1-\frac{p_i}{p}\big), (b+1)q_i + N\big(1-\frac{q_i}{q}\big)\big\}, \quad i = 1, 2. \tag{1.7}$$

Then system (1.2) *possesses a weak solution, where each component is nontrivial and nonnegative, for each* $\lambda \geq 0$ *and* $\mu > 0$.

The next result treats the p, q-superlinear and critical case.

Theorem 1.2. *Assume* (1.3), (1.4) *and* (1.6), *with* $p = q$ *and* $a = b \geq 0$. *Suppose also* $p_1 = q_1 \in (p, p^*)$, *with* $\theta/p_1 + \delta/q_1 = 1$, $p^* = q^*$, $\beta_2 = c_1 p^*$, *and* $\beta_1 = (a+1)p_1 - c$ *with*

$$-N\left[1-(p_1/p)\right] < c < \frac{(p_1-p+1)N-(a+1)p_1}{p-1} - \frac{(N-p-ap)(p_1-p)}{p(p-1)}.$$

Then, system (1.2) *possesses a weak solutions, where each component is nontrivial and nonnegative, for each* $\lambda, \mu > 0$.

The p, q-superlinear and critical case with $p \neq q$ is studied in the following result.

Theorem 1.3. *In addition to* (1.3), (1.4), *and* (1.6), *assume that* $p_1 \in (p, p^*)$, $q_1 \in (q, q^*)$, *with* $\theta/p_1 + \delta/q_1 = 1$, $\beta_2 = c_1 p^* = c_2 q^*$, *and* β_1 *as in* (1.7). *Then there exists* μ_0 *sufficiently small such that system* (1.2) *posesses a weak solution, where each component is nontrivial and nonnegative, for each* $\lambda > 0$ *and* $0 < \mu < \mu_0$.

2. Preliminaries

We will set some spaces and their norms. If $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ and $l \geq 1$, we define $L^l(\Omega, |x|^\alpha)$ as being the subspace of $L^l(\Omega)$ of the Lebesgue measurable functions $u : \Omega \to \mathbb{R}$ satisfying

$$\|u\|_{L^l(\Omega,|x|^\alpha)} := \Big(\int_\Omega |x|^\alpha |u|^l dx \Big)^{1/l} < \infty.$$

If $1 < p < N$ and $-\infty < a < (N-p)/p$, we define $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega, |x|^{-ap})$ as being the completion of $C_0^\infty(\Omega)$ with respect to the norm $\|\cdot\|$ defined by

$$\|u\| := \Big(\int_\Omega |x|^{-ap} |\nabla u|^p dx \Big)^{1/p}.$$

First of all, from the Caffarelli, Kohn and Nirenberg inequality (see [12]) and by the boundedness of Ω, it is easy to see that there exists $C > 0$ such that

$$\Big(\int_\Omega |x|^{-\delta} |u|^r dx \Big)^{p/r} \leq C \Big(\int_\Omega |x|^{-ap} |\nabla u|^p dx \Big), \quad \forall u \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega, |x|^{-ap}),$$

where $1 \leq r \leq Np/(N-p)$ and $\delta \leq (a+1)r + N[1-(r/p)]$.

Lemma 2.1. *Suppose that Ω is a bounded smooth domain of $\mathbb{R}^N$ with $0 \in \Omega$, $1 < p < N$, $-\infty < a < (N-p)/p$, $a \leq e_1 < a+1$, $d_1 = 1+a-e_1$, $p^* = Np/(N-d_1 p)$, and $\alpha + \gamma = p^*$, then*

$$\tilde{S} := \inf_{(u,v)\in \tilde{W}} \Big\{ \frac{\int_\Omega |x|^{-ap}(|\nabla u|^p + |\nabla v|^p) dx}{\big(\int_\Omega |x|^{-e_1 p^*} |u|^\alpha |v|^\gamma dx \big)^{p/p^*}} \Big\},$$

where

$$\tilde{W} = \big\{ (u,v) \in \big(W_0^{1,p}(\Omega, |x|^{-ap}) \big)^2 : |u||v| \not\equiv 0 \big\},$$

satisfies

$$\tilde{S} = \big[(\alpha/\gamma)^{\gamma/p^*} + (\alpha/\gamma)^{-\alpha/p^*} \big] C_{a,p}^*.$$

The proof of the above lemma is similar to the proof of [5, Theorem 5] (see also [27, Lemma 3] for $p \neq 2$).

Let us consider Ω a smooth domain of $\mathbb{R}^N$ (not necessarily bounded), $0 \in \Omega$, $1 < p < N$, $0 \leq a < (N-p)/p$, $a \leq c_1 < a+1$, $d_1 = 1+a-c_1$, and $p^* = Np/(N-d_1 p)$. We define the space

$$W_{a,c_1}^{1,p}(\Omega) = \big\{ u \in L^{p^*}(\Omega, |x|^{-c_1 p^*}) : |\nabla u| \in L^p(\Omega, |x|^{-ap}) \big\},$$

equipped with the norm

$$\|u\|_{W_{a,c_1}^{1,p}(\Omega)} = \|u\|_{L^{p^*}(\Omega,|x|^{-c_1 p^*})} + \|\nabla u\|_{L^p(\Omega,|x|^{-ap})}.$$

We consider the best Hardy-Sobolev constant given by

$$\tilde{S}_{a,p} = \inf_{W_{a,c_1}^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^N)\setminus\{0\}} \Big\{ \frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |x|^{-ap} |\nabla u|^p dx}{\big(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |x|^{-c_1 p^*} |u|^{p^*} dx \big)^{p/p^*}} \Big\}.$$

Also, we define

$$R_{a,c_1}^{1,p}(\Omega) = \big\{ u \in W_{a,c_1}^{1,p}(\Omega) : u(x) = u(|x|) \big\},$$

endowed with the norm

$$\|u\|_{R_{a,c_1}^{1,p}(\Omega)} = \|u\|_{W_{a,c_1}^{1,p}(\Omega)}.$$

Actually, Horiuchi in [24] proved that

$$\tilde{S}_{a,p,R} = \inf_{R^{1,p}_{a,c_1}(\mathbb{R}^N)\setminus\{0\}} \Big\{ \frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |x|^{-ap}|\nabla u|^p dx}{\big(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |x|^{-c_1 p^*}|u|^{p^*}dx\big)^{p/p^*}} \Big\} = \tilde{S}_{a,p}$$

and it is achieved by functions of the form

$$y_\epsilon(x) := k_{a,p}(\epsilon) U_{a,p,\epsilon}(x), \quad \forall \epsilon > 0,$$

where

$$k_{a,p}(\epsilon) = c_0 \epsilon^{(N-d_1 p)/d_1 p^2} \quad \text{and} \quad U_{a,p,\epsilon}(x) = \Big(\epsilon + |x|^{\frac{d_1 p(N-p-ap)}{(p-1)(N-d_1 p)}}\Big)^{-(\frac{N-d_1 p}{d_1 p})}.$$

Moreover, y_ϵ satisfies

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |x|^{-ap}|\nabla y_\epsilon|^p dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |x|^{-c_1 p^*}|y_\epsilon|^{p^*} dx. \tag{2.1}$$

See also Clément, Figueiredo and Mitidieri [16, Proposition 1.4].

The next lemma can be proved arguing as in [11] (see also [35, Lemma 5.1]). For the sake of the completeness we will give the proof in the appendix.

Lemma 2.2. *In addition to* (1.3) *and* (1.4), *assume that* $p_1 = q_1 \in (p, p^*)$, $\theta/p_1 + \delta/q_1 = 1$, $\beta_2 = c_1 p^* = c_2 q^*$, *and* $\beta_1 = (a+1)p_1 - c$ *with*

$$-N\,[1 - (p_1/p)] < c.$$

Let $R_0 \in (0,1)$ *be such that* $B(0,2R_0) \subset \Omega$ *and* $\psi \in C_0^\infty(B(0,2R_0))$ *with* $\psi \geq 0$ *in* $B(0,2R_0)$ *and* $\psi \equiv 1$ *in* $B(0,R_0)$, *then the function*

$$u_\epsilon(x) = \frac{\psi(x) U_{a,p,\epsilon}(x)}{\|\psi U_{a,p,\epsilon}\|_{L^{p^*}(\Omega,|x|^{-c_1 p^*})}}$$

satisfies

$$\|u_\epsilon\|^{p^*}_{L^{p^*}(\Omega,|x|^{-c_1 p^*})} = 1, \quad \|\nabla u_\epsilon\|^p_{L^p(\Omega,|x|^{-ap})} \leq \tilde{S}_{a,p,R} + O(\epsilon^{(N-d_1 p)/d_1 p}),$$

and

$$\|u_\epsilon\|^{p_1}_{L^{p_1}(\Omega,|x|^{-\beta_1})} \geq \begin{cases} O(\epsilon^{(N-d_1 p)p_1/d_1 p^2}) \text{ if } c > \frac{(p_1-p+1)N-(a+1)p_1}{p-1}, \\ O(\epsilon^{(N-d_1 p)p_1/d_1 p^2}|ln(\epsilon)|) \text{ if } c = \frac{(p_1-p+1)N-(a+1)p_1}{p-1}, \\ O\Big(\epsilon^{\frac{(N-d_1 p)(p-1)(N-p_1-ap_1+c)}{d_1 p(N-p-ap)} - \frac{(N-d_1 p)(p-1)p_1}{d_1 p^2}}\Big) \\ \text{if } c < \frac{(p_1-p+1)N-(a+1)p_1}{p-1}. \end{cases} \tag{2.2}$$

The following result, which will be useful in the proof of our results, was proved by Kavian in [25, Lemma 4.8].

Lemma 2.3. *Let* Ω *be an open subset of* $\mathbb{R}^N$, $\{f_n\} \in L^r(\Omega)$, *for some* $1 < r < \infty$, *a bounded sequence such that* $f_n(x) \to f(x)$, *for a.e.* $x \in \Omega$, *as* $n \to \infty$. *Then,* $f \in L^r(\Omega)$ *and* $f_n \rightharpoonup f$ *weakly in* $L^r(\Omega)$ *as* $n \to \infty$.

Definition. Let us consider $\{(u_n, v_n)\}$ in $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega, |x|^{-ap}) \times W_0^{1,q}(\Omega, |x|^{-bq})$. We say that the sequence $\{(u_n, v_n)\}$ is a Palais Smale sequence for operator I at the level c (or simply, $(PS)_c$-sequence) if

$$I(u_n, v_n) \to c \quad \text{and} \quad I'(u_n, v_n) \to 0, \quad \text{as } n \to \infty.$$

Our approach will be to use variational techniques; that is, we have to find the critical points of the Euler-Lagrange functional

$$I : W_0^{1,p}(\Omega, |x|^{-ap}) \times W_0^{1,q}(\Omega, |x|^{-bq}) \to \mathbb{R}$$

given by

$$\begin{aligned} I(u,v) = \frac{1}{p}\int_\Omega |x|^{-ap}|\nabla u|^p\,dx + \frac{1}{q}\int_\Omega |x|^{-bq}|\nabla v|^q\,dx \\ - \lambda\int_\Omega |x|^{-\beta_1}u_+^\theta v_+^\delta\,dx - \mu\int_\Omega |x|^{-\beta_2}u_+^\alpha v_+^\gamma\,dx, \end{aligned}$$

which is well defined and is of class C^1, with the Gâteaux derivative

$$\begin{aligned} \langle I'(u,v),(w,z)\rangle = \int_\Omega |x|^{-ap}|\nabla u|^{p-2}\nabla u\nabla w\,dx + \int_\Omega |x|^{-bq}|\nabla v|^{q-2}\nabla v\nabla z\,dx \\ - \lambda\theta\int_\Omega |x|^{-\beta_1}u_+^{\theta-1}v_+^\delta w\,dx - \lambda\delta\int_\Omega |x|^{-\beta_1}u_+^\theta v_+^{\delta-1}z\,dx \\ - \mu\alpha\int_\Omega |x|^{-\beta_2}u_+^{\alpha-1}v_+^\gamma w\,dx - \mu\gamma\int_\Omega |x|^{-\beta_2}u_+^\alpha v_+^{\gamma-1}z\,dx, \end{aligned}$$

where $u_\pm = \max\{0, \pm u\}$ which is in $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega, |x|^{-ap})$ (Similarly $v_\pm = \max\{0, \pm v\}$ which is in $W_0^{1,q}(\Omega, |x|^{-bq})$; see [3]).

First of all, we are going to show the geometric conditions of the mountain pass theorem.

Lemma 2.4. *In addition to* (1.3) *and* (1.4)*, assume that one of the following conditions hold:*

(i) *the case* (1.5)*,* $p_i \in (p, p^*)$*,* $q_i \in (q, q^*)$*, with* $\theta/p_1 + \delta/q_1 = \alpha/p_2 + \gamma/q_2 = 1$*, and* β_i *as in* (1.7)*, for* $i = 1, 2$*.*

(ii) *the case* (1.6)*,* $p_1 \in (p, p^*)$*,* $q_1 \in (q, q^*)$*, with* $\theta/p_1 + \delta/q_1 = 1$*,* β_1 *as in* (1.7)*,* $p_2 = p^*$*,* $q_2 = q^*$*, and* $\beta_2 = c_1 p^* = c_2 q^*$*.*

Then the Euler-Lagrange functional I *satisfies:*

(a) *There exist* $\sigma, \rho > 0$ *such that*

$$I(u,v) \geq \sigma \ \text{ if } \ \|(u,v)\| = \rho. \tag{2.3}$$

(b) *There exists* $e \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega, |x|^{-ap}) \times W_0^{1,q}(\Omega, |x|^{-bq})$ *such that*

$$I(e) \leq 0, \quad \|e\| \geq R \quad \text{for some } R > \rho.$$

Proof. Part (a). For $(u,v) \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega, |x|^{-ap}) \times W_0^{1,q}(\Omega, |x|^{-bq})$ with $\|(u,v)\| \leq 1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} I(u,v) \geq \Big(\frac{1}{p}\|u\|^p - \lambda\frac{\theta C^{p_1/p}}{p_1}\|u\|^{p_1} - \mu\frac{\alpha C^{p_2/p}}{p_2}\|u\|^{p_2}\Big) \\ + \Big(\frac{1}{q}\|v\|^q - \lambda\frac{\delta C^{q_1/q}}{q_1}\|v\|^{q_1} - \mu\frac{\gamma C^{q_2/q}}{q_2}\|v\|^{q_2}\Big) \end{aligned}$$

$$\geq \frac{1}{p}\|u\|^p - \Big(\lambda\frac{\theta C^{p_1/p}}{p_1} + \mu\frac{\alpha C^{p_2/p}}{p_2}\Big)\|u\|^{\min\{p_1,p_2\}} + \frac{1}{q}\|v\|^q - \Big(\lambda\frac{\delta C^{q_1/q}}{q_1} + \mu\frac{\gamma C^{q_2/q}}{q_2}\Big)\|v\|^{\min\{q_1,q_2\}}.$$

Hence, as $p < \min\{p_1, p_2\}$ and $q < \min\{q_1, q_2\}$, we can choose $\rho \in (0,1)$ such that

$$I(u,v) \geq \sigma \quad \text{if } \|(u,v)\| = \rho.$$

Part (b). The proof follows by taking $(u_0, v_0) \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega, |x|^{-ap}) \times W_0^{1,q}(\Omega, |x|^{-bq})$ with $u_{0_+}.v_{0_+} \not\equiv 0$. Then, defining $(u_t, v_t) = (t^{1/p}u_0, t^{\frac{1}{q}}v_0)$, for $t > 0$, we obtain

$$I(u_t, v_t) \leq \Big(\frac{1}{p}\|u_0\|^p + \frac{1}{q}\|v_0\|^q\Big)t - \mu t^{\frac{\alpha}{p}+\frac{\gamma}{q}}\int_\Omega |x|^{-\beta_2}u_{0_+}^{\alpha}v_{0_+}^{\gamma}dx \to -\infty, \tag{2.4}$$

as $t \to \infty$. □

From the mountain pass theorem [6] we get a $(PS)_c$-sequence $\{(u_n, v_n)\}$ in $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega, |x|^{-ap}) \times W_0^{1,q}(\Omega, |x|^{-bq})$, where

$$0 < \sigma \leq c = \inf_{h\in\Gamma}\max_{t\in[0,1]} I(h(t)) \tag{2.5}$$

and

$$\Gamma = \big\{h \in C([0,1], W_0^{1,p}(\Omega, |x|^{-ap}) \times W_0^{1,q}(\Omega, |x|^{-bq})) : h(0) = 0,\ h(1) = e\big\}, \tag{2.6}$$

with $I(e) \equiv I(t_0u_0, t_0v_0) < 0$.

Lemma 2.5. *In addition to* (1.3) *and* (1.4)*, assume that one of the two following conditions hold:*

(i) *the case* (1.5)*,* $p_i \in (p, p^*)$*,* $q_i \in (q, q^*)$*, with* $\theta/p_1 + \delta/q_1 = \alpha/p_2 + \gamma/q_2 = 1$*, and* β_i *as in* (1.7)*, for* $i = 1, 2$*.*

(ii) *the case* (1.6)*,* $p_1 \in (p, p^*)$*,* $q_1 \in (q, q^*)$*, with* $\theta/p_1 + \delta/q_1 = 1$*,* β_1 *as in* (1.7)*,* $p_2 = p^*$*,* $q_2 = q^*$*, and* $\beta_2 = c_1p^* = c_2q^*$*.*

Let $\{(u_n, v_n)\} \subset W_0^{1,p}(\Omega, |x|^{-ap}) \times W_0^{1,q}(\Omega, |x|^{-bq})$ *be a* $(PS)_c$*-sequence. Then* $\{(u_{n_+}, v_{n_+})\}$ *is a* $(PS)_c$*-sequence which is bounded uniformly in* $\mu > 0$*.*

Proof. Let $\theta_1 = \min\{p_1, p_2\}$ and $\theta_2 = \min\{q_1, q_2\}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} c + \|(u_n, v_n)\| + O_n(1) &\geq I(u_n, v_n) - \langle I'(u_n, v_n), (u_n/\theta_1, v_n/\theta_2)\rangle \\ &\geq (\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{\theta_1})\|u_n\|^p + (\frac{1}{q} - \frac{1}{\theta_2})\|v_n\|^q \\ &\quad + \lambda(\frac{\theta}{\theta_1} + \frac{\delta}{\theta_2} - 1)\int_\Omega |x|^{-\beta_1}u_{n_+}^{\theta}v_{n_+}^{\delta}dx \\ &\quad + \mu(\frac{\alpha}{\theta_1} + \frac{\gamma}{\theta_2} - 1)\int_\Omega |x|^{-\beta_2}u_{n_+}^{\alpha}v_{n_+}^{\gamma}dx \\ &\geq (\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{\theta_1})\|u_n\|^p + (\frac{1}{q} - \frac{1}{\theta_2})\|v_n\|^q. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, independently of $\lambda \geq 0$ and $\mu > 0$, we conclude that $\{(u_n, v_n)\}$ is a bounded sequence in $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega, |x|^{-ap}) \times W_0^{1,q}(\Omega, |x|^{-bq})$. In particular, we have that $\{(u_{n_-}, v_{n_-})\}$ and $\{(u_{n_+}, v_{n_+})\}$ are bounded sequences in $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega, |x|^{-ap}) \times W_0^{1,q}(\Omega, |x|^{-bq})$, then

$$-\|u_{n_-}\|^p = \big\langle I'(u_n, v_n), (u_{n_-}, 0)\big\rangle \to 0 \quad \text{as } n \to \infty \tag{2.7}$$

and similarly

$$-\|v_{n_-}\|^q = \big\langle I'(u_n, v_n), (0, v_{n_-})\big\rangle \to 0 \quad \text{as } n \to \infty. \tag{2.8}$$

Moreover, we get

$$I(u_{n_+}, v_{n_+}) = I(u_n, v_n) + \frac{1}{p}\|u_{n_-}\|^p + \frac{1}{q}\|v_{n_-}\|^q = I(u_n, v_n) + O_n(1).$$

Therefore, from (2.7) and (2.8), we obtain $I(u_{n_+}, v_{n_+}) \to c$ as $n \to \infty$. Similarly, if $(w, z) \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega, |x|^{-ap}) \times W_0^{1,q}(\Omega, |x|^{-bq})$, we prove that

$$\langle I'(u_{n+}, v_{n+}), (w, z)\rangle = \langle I'(u_n, v_n), (w, z)\rangle + O_n(1),$$

hence $I'(u_{n_+}, u_{n_+}) \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$. □

3. Proof of theorem 1.1

Lemma 3.1. *Suppose that* (1.3) *and* (1.4) *hold. Assume that* $p_i \in (p, p^*)$, $q_i \in (q, q^*)$, $i = 1, 2$, *with* $\theta/p_1 + \delta/q_1 = \alpha/p_2 + \gamma/q_2 = 1$, *and* $\beta_i, i = 1, 2$, *as in* (1.7). *Then, every* $(PS)_c$*-sequence* $\{(u_n, v_n)\}$ *with* $u_n, v_n \geq 0$, *for a.e. in* Ω, *is precompact.*

Proof. From lemma 2.5, the sequence $\{(u_n, v_n)\}$ is bounded in $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega, |x|^{-ap}) \times W_0^{1,q}(\Omega, |x|^{-bq})$. We can assume, passing to a subsequence if necessary, there exists $(u, v) \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega, |x|^{-ap}) \times W_0^{1,q}(\Omega, |x|^{-bq})$ satisfying $u_n \rightharpoonup u$ and $v_n \rightharpoonup v$ weakly, as $n \to \infty$. From the compact embedding theorem [35, Theorem 2.1], we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} u_n &\to u \quad \text{in } L^{p_1}(\Omega, |x|^{-\beta_1}) \cap L^{p_2}(\Omega, |x|^{-\beta_2}) \quad \text{as } n \to \infty, \\ v_n &\to v \quad \text{in } L^{q_1}(\Omega, |x|^{-\beta_1}) \cap L^{q_2}(\Omega, |x|^{-\beta_2}) \quad \text{as } n \to \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Since there exist $f \in L^{p_1}(\Omega, |x|^{-\beta_1})$ and $g \in L^{q_1}(\Omega, |x|^{-\beta_1})$ such that $|u_n|(x) \leq f(x)$ and $|v_n|(x) \leq g(x)$, for a.e. $x \in \Omega$ and all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, applying the Lebesgue's dominated convergence theorem we infer that

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \int_\Omega |x|^{-\beta_1} u_n^{\theta-1} v_n^{\delta}(u_n - u)dx = 0\,, \tag{3.1}$$

and similarly

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \int_\Omega |x|^{-\beta_2} u_n^{\alpha-1} v_n^{\gamma}(u_n - u)dx = 0. \tag{3.2}$$

Now, taking the upper limit in the equation

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_\Omega |x|^{-ap}\left(|\nabla u_n|^{p-2}\nabla u_n - |\nabla u|^{p-2}\nabla u\right)\nabla(u_n - u)dx \\ &= \langle I'(u_n, v_n), (u_n - u, 0)\rangle - \int_\Omega |x|^{-ap}|\nabla u|^{p-2}\nabla u\nabla(u_n - u)dx \\ &\quad + \lambda\theta\int_\Omega |x|^{-\beta_1} u_n^{\theta-1} v_n^{\delta}(u_n - u)dx + \mu\alpha\int_\Omega |x|^{-\beta_2} u_n^{\alpha-1} v_n^{\gamma}(u_n - u)dx\,. \end{aligned}$$

Using the definition of $(PS)_c$-sequence, the weak convergence, (3.1), and (3.2), we obtain

$$\limsup_{n\to\infty} \int_\Omega |x|^{-ap}\left(|\nabla u_n|^{p-2}\nabla u_n - |\nabla u|^{p-2}\nabla u\right)\nabla(u_n - u)dx = 0.$$

Consequently, by a well known lemma (see e.g. [20, lemma 4.1]) we achieve, up to a subsequence, that $u_n \to u$ strongly in $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega, |x|^{-ap})$ as $n \to \infty$. Analogously, we get $v_n \to v$ strongly in $W_0^{1,q}(\Omega, |x|^{-bq})$ as $n \to \infty$. □

Proof of theorem 1.1. By combining lemmata 2.4 and 2.5, there exists a $(PS)_c$-sequence $\{(u_n, v_n)\}$ in $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega, |x|^{-ap}) \times W_0^{1,q}(\Omega, |x|^{-bq})$ with $u_n, v_n \geq 0$, for a.e. in Ω. Moreover, from lemma 3.1 there exist $(u, v) \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega, |x|^{-ap}) \times W_0^{1,q}(\Omega, |x|^{-bq})$ and a subsequence of $\{(u_n, v_n)\}$, that we will denote by $\{(u_n, v_n)\}$, such that $u_n \to u$ strongly in $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega, |x|^{-ap})$ and $v_n \to v$ strongly in $W_0^{1,q}(\Omega, |x|^{-bq})$, as $n \to \infty$. Then, we conclude that

$$I(u, v) = c > 0 \quad \text{and} \quad I'(u, v) = 0,$$

that is, (u, v) is a nonnegative weak solution of system (1.2). Moreover, it is easy to check that $u, v \not\equiv 0$. □

4. Proof of theorem 1.2

First of all, notice that by lemma 2.4 the geometric conditions of the mountain pass theorem for the functional I are satisfied.

The next three lemmata are crucial in the proof of this theorem.

Lemma 4.1. *Let $\{(u_n, v_n)\} \subset (W_0^{1,p}(\Omega, |x|^{-ap}))^2$ be a bounded $(PS)_c$-sequence such that $u_n, v_n \geq 0$, for a.e. in Ω, and there exists $(u, v) \in (W_0^{1,p}(\Omega, |x|^{-ap}))^2$ satisfying $u_n \rightharpoonup u$ and $v_n \rightharpoonup v$ weakly in $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega, |x|^{-ap})$, as $n \to \infty$. Then, (u, v) is a weak solution of system* (1.2) *and $u, v \geq 0$ for a.e. in Ω.*

Proof. Arguing as in the proof of lemma 3.1, by combining the compact embedding theorem [35, Theorem 2.1] with the Lebesgue's dominated convergence theorem, we obtain that $u, v \geq 0$ for a.e. in Ω,

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \int_\Omega |x|^{-\beta_1} u_n^{\theta-1} v_n^{\delta} w dx = \int_\Omega |x|^{-\beta_1} u^{\theta-1} v^{\delta} w dx, \quad \forall w \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega, |x|^{-ap}), \quad (4.1)$$

and

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \int_\Omega |x|^{-\beta_1} u_n^{\theta} v_n^{\delta-1} z dx = \int_\Omega |x|^{-\beta_1} u^{\theta} v^{\delta-1} z dx, \quad \forall z \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega, |x|^{-ap}). \quad (4.2)$$

Notice that $\nabla u_n(x) \to \nabla u(x)$ and $\nabla v_n(x) \to \nabla v(x)$, for a.e. $x \in \Omega$, as $n \to \infty$. These facts can be proved arguing as in [9] (see also [8, 20, 22]).

Since $\{(u_n, v_n)\}$ is bounded in $(W_0^{1,p}(\Omega, |x|^{-ap}))^2$, we have $\{|\nabla u_n|^{p-2}\nabla u_n\}$ and $\{|\nabla v_n|^{p-2}\nabla v_n\}$ are bounded in $(L^{\frac{p}{p-1}}(\Omega, |x|^{-ap}))^N$. On the other hand, since $\alpha + \gamma = p^*$, by the Hölder's inequality, we infer that $\{{u_n}^{\alpha-1} {v_n}^{\gamma}\}$ and $\{{u_n}^{\alpha} {v_n}^{\gamma-1}\}$ are bounded in $L^{\frac{p^*}{p^*-1}}(\Omega, |x|^{-e_1 p^*})$. Therefore, by lemma 2.3 we get

$$\nabla u_n \rightharpoonup \nabla u \quad \text{and} \quad \nabla v_n \rightharpoonup \nabla v \quad \text{weakly in } (L^{\frac{p}{p-1}}(\Omega, |x|^{-ap}))^N \quad (4.3)$$

and

$$u_n^{\alpha} v_n^{\gamma-1} \rightharpoonup u^{\alpha} v^{\gamma-1}, \quad u_n^{\alpha-1} v_n^{\gamma} \rightharpoonup u^{\alpha-1} v^{\gamma} \quad \text{weakly in } L^{\frac{p^*}{p^*-1}}(\Omega, |x|^{-c_1 p^*}), \quad (4.4)$$

as $n \to \infty$. Consequently, using (4.1) − (4.4) we obtain

$$\langle I'(u, v), (w, z)\rangle = \lim_{n\to\infty} \langle I'(u_n, v_n), (w, z)\rangle = 0, \quad \forall (w, z) \in (W_0^{1,p}(\Omega, |x|^{-ap}))^2,$$

that is, (u, v) is a weak solution of system (1.2). □

Lemma 4.2. *In addition to* (1.3), (1.4), *and* (1.6), *assume that* $p = q$, $0 \le a = b$, $p_1 = q_1 \in (p, p^*)$, *with* $\theta/p_1 + \delta/q_1 = 1$, $p^* = q^*$, *and* $\beta_2 = c_1 p^*$. *Then, all the Palais Smale sequences* $\{(u_n, v_n)\} \subset (W_0^{1,p}(\Omega, |x|^{-ap}))^2$ *for the operator* I *at the level* c, *with* $u_n, v_n \ge 0$ *for a.e. in* Ω, *are precompact provided that*

$$c < (\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{p^*})(\mu p^*)^{\frac{-p}{p^*-p}} \tilde{S}^{\frac{p^*}{p^*-p}} + K(\lambda), \tag{4.5}$$

where

$$K(\lambda) = \lambda p_1 (\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{p_1}) \lim_{n\to\infty} \int_\Omega |x|^{-\beta_1} u_n^\theta v_n^\delta dx.$$

Proof. By Lemma 2.5 the sequence $\{(u_n, v_n)\}$ is bounded in $(W_0^{1,p}(\Omega, |x|^{-ap}))^2$; consequently, there exists $(u, v) \in (W_0^{1,p}(\Omega, |x|^{-ap}))^2$ such that $u_n \rightharpoonup u$ and $v_n \rightharpoonup v$ weakly in $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega, |x|^{-ap})$, as $n \to \infty$. Then, by combining the compact embedding theorem [35, Theorem 2.1] with the Lebesgue's dominated convergence theorem, we infer that $u_n(x) \to u(x)$, $v_n(x) \to v(x)$, for a.e. in Ω, as $n \to \infty$, and

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \int_\Omega |x|^{-\beta_1} u_n^\theta v_n^\delta dx = \int_\Omega |x|^{-\beta_1} u^\theta v^\delta dx. \tag{4.6}$$

Moreover, as in Lemma 4.1 we can suppose that $\nabla u_n(x) \to \nabla u(x)$ and $\nabla v_n(x) \to \nabla v(x)$, for a.e. $x \in \Omega$, as $n \to \infty$.

Define $\tilde{u}_n = u_n - u$ and $\tilde{v}_n = v_n - v$. By Brezis and Lieb [10, Theorem 1] we have

(i) $\|u_n\|^p = \|\tilde{u}_n\|^p + \|u\|^p + O_n(1)$, as $n \to \infty$.

(ii) $\|v_n\|^p = \|\tilde{v}_n\|^p + \|v\|^p + O_n(1)$, as $n \to \infty$.

(iii)
$$\int_\Omega |x|^{-c_1 p^*} |u_n|^\alpha |v_n|^\gamma dx - \int_\Omega |x|^{-c_1 p^*} |\tilde{u}_n|^\alpha |\tilde{v}_n|^\gamma dx$$
$$= \int_\Omega |x|^{-c_1 p^*} |u|^\alpha |v|^\gamma dx + O_n(1), \quad \text{as } n \to \infty.$$

We recall that the proof of identity **iii.** follows arguing as in [27, Lemma 8].

By Lemma 4.1 we have that (u, v) is a weak solution of system (1.2), that is, $\langle I'(u, v), (w, z)\rangle = 0$ for all $(w, z) \in (W_0^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^N, |x|^{-ap}))^2$. By using (4.6) and (i)–(iii), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|\tilde{u}_n\|^p - \mu\alpha \int_\Omega |x|^{-c_1 p^*} |\tilde{u}_n|^\alpha |\tilde{v}_n|^\gamma dx \\
&= \|u_n\|^p - \|u\|^p - \mu\alpha \Big[\int_\Omega |x|^{-c_1 p^*} u_n^\alpha v_n^\gamma dx - \int_\Omega |x|^{-c_1 p^*} u^\alpha v^\gamma dx \Big] + O_n(1) \\
&= \langle I'(u_n, v_n), (u_n, 0)\rangle - \langle I'(u, v), (u, 0)\rangle + O_n(1) \\
&= O_n(1), \quad \text{as } n \to \infty.
\end{aligned}$$

Analogously, we obtain

$$\|\tilde{v}_n\|^p - \mu\gamma \int_\Omega |x|^{-c_1 p^*} |\tilde{u}_n|^\alpha |\tilde{v}_n|^\gamma dx = O_n(1).$$

Thus, we can take $l \ge 0$ such that

$$l = \lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{\|\tilde{u}_n\|^p}{\alpha} = \lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{\|\tilde{v}_n\|^p}{\gamma} = \mu \lim_{n\to\infty} \int_\Omega |x|^{-c_1 p^*} |\tilde{u}_n|^\alpha |\tilde{v}_n|^\gamma dx.$$

If $l = 0$ the result is proved. Suppose by contradiction that $l > 0$. By the definition of $(PS)_c$-sequence we get

$$\begin{aligned}
&c + O_n(1)\\
&= I(u_n, v_n) - \frac{1}{p_1}\langle I'(u_n, v_n), (u_n, v_n)\rangle\\
&= (\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{p_1})(\|u_n\|^p + \|v_n\|^p) + \mu(\frac{\alpha+\gamma}{p_1} - 1)\int_\Omega |x|^{-c_1 p^*} u_n^\alpha v_n^\gamma dx\\
&= (\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{p_1})(\|\tilde{u}_n\|^p + \|\tilde{v}_n\|^p) + (\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{p_1})(\|u\|^p + \|v\|^p)\\
&\quad + \mu(\frac{p^*}{p_1} - 1)\Big[\int_\Omega |x|^{-c_1 p^*} \tilde{u}_n^\alpha \tilde{v}_n^\gamma dx + \int_\Omega |x|^{-c_1 p^*} u_n^\alpha v_n^\gamma dx\Big] + O_n(1)\\
&= (\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{p_1})p^* l + (\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{p_1})(\|u\|^p + \|v\|^p)\\
&\quad + (\frac{1}{p_1} - \frac{1}{p^*})p^*\Big[l + \mu\int_\Omega |x|^{-c_1 p^*} u_n^\alpha v_n^\gamma dx\Big] + O_n(1)\\
&= (\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{p^*})p^* l + (\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{p_1})\Big[\lambda p_1 \int_\Omega |x|^{-\beta_1} u^\theta v^\delta dx + \mu p^* \int_\Omega |x|^{-c_1 p^*} u^\alpha v^\gamma dx\Big]\\
&\quad + \mu(\frac{1}{p_1} - \frac{1}{p^*})p^* \int_\Omega |x|^{-c_1 p^*} u_n^\alpha v_n^\gamma dx + O_n(1)\\
&= (\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{p^*})p^* l + \lambda p_1(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{p_1})\int_\Omega |x|^{-\beta_1} u^\theta v^\delta dx\\
&\quad + \mu(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{p^*})p^* \int_\Omega |x|^{-c_1 p^*} u_n^\alpha v_n^\gamma dx + O_n(1)\\
&\geq (\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{p^*})p^* l + \lambda p_1(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{p_1})\int_\Omega |x|^{-\beta_1} u^\theta v^\delta dx + O_n(1).
\end{aligned} \tag{4.7}$$

Using the definition of $\tilde{S}$ we have

$$\Big(\int_\Omega |x|^{-c_1 p^*} u_n^\alpha v_n^\gamma dx\Big)^{p/p^*} \tilde{S} \leq \|u_n\|^p + \|v_n\|^p, \ \forall n.$$

Hence, taking the limit in the above inequality we get

$$(\frac{l}{\mu})^{p/p^*} \tilde{S} \leq (\alpha + \gamma) l = p^* l$$

then

$$l \geq (\mu)^{\frac{-p}{p^*-p}} (p^*)^{\frac{-p^*}{p^*-p}} \tilde{S}^{\frac{p^*}{p^*-p}}. \tag{4.8}$$

Substituting (4.8) in (4.7) and taking the limit, we obtain

$$c \geq (\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{p^*})(\mu p^*)^{\frac{-p}{p^*-p}} \tilde{S}^{\frac{p^*}{p^*-p}} + K(\lambda),$$

which contradicts the inequality (4.5). □

Lemma 4.3. *We can choose e in* (2.6) *such that c given by* (2.5) *satisfies*

$$c < (\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{p^*})(\mu p^*)^{\frac{-p}{p^*-p}} \tilde{S}^{\frac{p^*}{p^*-p}}. \tag{4.9}$$

Proof. Let us consider $s_0 = s_1(s_1^\alpha t_1^\gamma)^{\frac{-1}{p^*}}$ and $t_0 = t_1(s_1^\alpha t_1^\gamma)^{\frac{-1}{p^*}}$, where $s_1, t_1 > 0$ and $s_1/t_1 = (\alpha/\gamma)^{1/p}$, and u_ϵ the function defined in lemma 2.2. Then, it is suffices to prove that there exists $\epsilon > 0$ such that

$$\sup_{t\geq 0} I(t(s_0u_\epsilon), t(t_0u_\epsilon)) < (\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{p^*})(\mu p^*)^{\frac{-p}{p^*-p}} \tilde{S}^{\frac{p^*}{p^*-p}}.$$

Due to the geometric conditions of the mountain pass theorem, for each $\epsilon > 0$, there exists $t_\epsilon > 0$ such that

$$0 < \sigma \leq \sup_{t\geq 0} I(t(s_0u_\epsilon), t(t_0u_\epsilon)) = I(t_\epsilon(s_0u_\epsilon), t_\epsilon(t_0u_\epsilon)).$$

Moreover, supposing by contradiction that there exists a subsequence $\{t_{\epsilon_n}\}$ with $t_{\epsilon_n} \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} 0 < \sigma &\leq I(t_{\epsilon_n}(s_0u_{\epsilon_n}), t_{\epsilon_n}(t_0u_{\epsilon_n})) \\ &\leq \frac{t_{\epsilon_n}^p s_0^p}{p}\|u_{\epsilon_n}\|^p + \frac{t_{\epsilon_n}^p t_0^p}{p}\|u_{\epsilon_n}\|^p \\ &\leq \frac{t_{\epsilon_n}^p}{p}(s_0^p + t_0^p)(\tilde{S}_{a,p,R} + O(\epsilon_n^{(N-d_1p)/d_1p})) \to 0 \quad \text{as } n \to \infty, \end{aligned}$$

which is an absurd. Then, there exists $l > 0$ with $t_\epsilon \geq l$, for all $\epsilon > 0$. Consequently, by using lemma 2.2 and putting $c_0 = l^{p_1} s_0^\theta t_0^\delta$, we get

$$\sup_{t\geq 0} I(t(s_0u_\epsilon), t(t_0u_\epsilon)) \leq \frac{t_\epsilon^p}{p}\Big(\frac{s_1^p + t_1^p}{(s_1^\alpha t_1^\gamma)^{p/p^*}}\|u_\epsilon\|^p\Big) - \lambda c_0 \int_\Omega |x|^{-\beta_1} u_\epsilon^{p_1} dx - \mu t_\epsilon^{p^*}. \quad (4.10)$$

Note that

$$t_{1_\epsilon} = (\mu p^*)^{\frac{-1}{p^*-p}}\Big(\frac{s_1^p + t_1^p}{(s_1^\alpha t_1^\gamma)^{p/p^*}}\Big)^{\frac{1}{p^*-p}}\|u_\epsilon\|^{\frac{p}{p^*-p}} \quad (4.11)$$

is the unique maximum point of $f_\epsilon : (0, \infty) \to \mathbb{R}$, given by

$$f_\epsilon(t) = \frac{(s_1^p + t_1^p)\, t^p}{(s_1^\alpha t_1^\gamma)^{p/p^*} p}\|u_\epsilon\|^p - \mu t^{p^*}.$$

Also we know that

$$(A + B)^k \leq A^k + k(A + B)^{k-1}B, \quad (4.12)$$

for all $A, B \geq 0$ and $k \geq 1$ [26]. Observe that the following identity holds

$$\Big[\frac{s_1^p + t_1^p}{(s_1^\alpha t_1^\gamma)^{p/p^*}}\Big] = \Big[(\alpha/\gamma)^{\gamma/p^*} + (\alpha/\gamma)^{-\alpha/p^*}\Big]. \quad (4.13)$$

By the Caffarelli-Kohn-Nirenberg's inequality, $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega, |x|^{-ap}) \subset W_{a,c_1}^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^N)$. Then

$$\tilde{S}_{a,p} \leq C_{a,p}^*. \quad (4.14)$$

Substituting (4.11) in (4.10), from (4.12), (4.13), (4.14), and using lemma 2.2, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\sup_{t\geq 0} I(t(s_0u_\epsilon), t(t_0u_\epsilon)) &\leq (\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{p^*})(\mu p^*)^{\frac{-p}{p^*-p}}\Big\{[(\frac{\alpha}{\gamma})^{\gamma/p^*}+(\frac{\alpha}{\gamma})^{-\alpha/p^*}]\tilde{S}_{a,p,R}\\ &\quad + O(\epsilon^{\frac{N-d_1p}{d_1p}})\Big\}^{\frac{p^*}{p^*-p}} - \lambda c_0\int_\Omega |x|^{-\beta_1}u_\epsilon^{p_1}dx\\ &\leq (\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{p^*})(\mu p^*)^{\frac{-p}{p^*-p}}\Big\{[(\frac{\alpha}{\gamma})^{\frac{\gamma}{p^*}}+(\frac{\alpha}{\gamma})^{\frac{-\alpha}{p^*}}]\tilde{S}_{a,p}\Big\}^{\frac{p^*}{p^*-p}}\\ &\quad + O(\epsilon^{\frac{N-d_1p}{d_1p}}) - \lambda c_0\int_\Omega |x|^{-\beta_1}u_\epsilon^{p_1}dx\\ &\leq (\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{p^*})(\mu p^*)^{\frac{-p}{p^*-p}}\Big\{[(\frac{\alpha}{\gamma})^{\frac{\gamma}{p^*}}+(\frac{\alpha}{\gamma})^{\frac{-\alpha}{p^*}}]C^*_{a,p}\Big\}^{\frac{p^*}{p^*-p}}\\ &\quad + O(\epsilon^{\frac{N-d_1p}{d_1p}}) - \lambda c_0\int_\Omega |x|^{-\beta_1}u_\epsilon^{p_1}dx\end{aligned} \tag{4.15}$$

Now, from lemma 2.1 and (4.15), we get

$$\begin{aligned}\sup_{t\geq 0} I(t(s_0u_\epsilon), t(t_0u_\epsilon)) &\leq (\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{p^*})(\mu p^*)^{\frac{-p}{p^*-p}}\tilde{S}^{\frac{p^*}{p^*-p}} + O(\epsilon^{\frac{N-d_1p}{d_1p}})\\ &\quad - \lambda c_0\int_\Omega |x|^{-\beta_1}u_\epsilon^{p_1}dx.\end{aligned} \tag{4.16}$$

Supposing that $c < \frac{(p_1-p+1)N-(a+1)p_1}{p-1} - \frac{(N-p-ap)(p_1-p)}{p(p-1)}$ we have

$$\frac{(N-p_1+ap_1+c)(p-1)(N-d_1p)}{d_1p(N-p-ap)} - \frac{(N-d_1p)(p-1)p_1}{d_1p^2} < \frac{N-d_1p}{d_1p},$$

then, by lemma 2.2 and by (4.16), we can take a $\epsilon > 0$ small enough such that

$$\begin{aligned}\sup_{t\geq 0} I(t(s_0u_\epsilon), t(t_0u_\epsilon)) &\leq (\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{p^*})(\mu p^*)^{\frac{-p}{p^*-p}}\tilde{S}^{\frac{p^*}{p^*-p}} + O(\epsilon^{\frac{N-d_1p}{d_1p}})\\ &\quad - O(\epsilon^{\frac{(N-d_1p)(p-1)(N-p_1-ap_1+c)}{d_1p(N-p-ap)}-\frac{(N-d_1p)(p-1)p_1}{d_1p^2}})\\ &< (\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{p^*})(\mu p^*)^{\frac{-p}{p^*-p}}\tilde{S}^{\frac{p^*}{p^*-p}}.\end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof. □

Proof of theorem 1.2. From lemmata 2.4, 2.5, and 4.3, there exists a bounded $(PS)_c$-sequence $\{(u_n, v_n)\}$ in $(W_0^{1,p}(\Omega, |x|^{-ap}))^2$ with $c > 0$ satisfying (4.9) and $u_n, v_n \geq 0$ for a.e. in Ω. Since that $p_1 \in (p, p^*)$, it follows that c verifies (4.5). Thus, we have by lemma 4.2 that there exists $(u, v) \in (W_0^{1,p}(\Omega, |x|^{-ap}))^2$ with $u_n \to u$ and $v_n \to v$ in $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega, |x|^{-ap})$, as $n \to \infty$. Hence, we conclude

$$I(u, v) = c > 0 \quad \text{and} \quad I'(u, v) = 0,$$

that is, (u, v) is a nontrivial and nonnegative weak solution of system (1.2). □

5. Proof of theorem 1.3

The proof follows the steps the proof of theorem 1.2. By lemmata 2.4 and 2.5, there exists a $(PS)_c$-sequence $\{(u_n, v_n)\}$ in $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega, |x|^{-ap}) \times W_0^{1,q}(\Omega, |x|^{-bq})$ with $c > 0$ given as in (2.5) and $u_n, v_n \geq 0$ for a.e. in Ω. Moreover, $\{(u_n, v_n)\}$ is bounded uniformly in $\mu > 0$, that is, there exist $M > 0$ such that $\|(u_n, v_n)\| \leq M$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, uniformly in $\mu > 0$. Consequently, we get that $c \leq \overline{M}$ uniformly in $\mu > 0$.

Due to the boundedness of $\{(u_n, v_n)\}$, there exists a subsequence, that we will denote by $\{(u_n, v_n)\}$, and $(u, v) \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega, |x|^{-ap}) \times W_0^{1,q}(\Omega, |x|^{-bq})$ with $u_n \rightharpoonup u$ weakly in $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega, |x|^{-ap})$ and $v_n \rightharpoonup v$ weakly in $W_0^{1,q}(\Omega, |x|^{-bq})$, as $n \to \infty$. Then, arguing as in lemma 4.1 we obtain that (u, v) is a weak solution of system (1.2) with $u, v \geq 0$ for a.e. in Ω.

Now, we will prove that there exists $\mu_0 > 0$ such that u, v is nontrivial, provided that $0 < \mu < \mu_0$.

Supposing by contradiction that $u(x) \equiv 0$ for a.e. $x \in \Omega$ and proceeding as in the proof of theorem 1.2, we obtain $l > 0$ such that

$$l = \lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{\|u_n\|^p}{\alpha} = \lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{\|v_n\|^q}{\gamma} = \mu \lim_{n\to\infty} \int_\Omega |x|^{-c_1 p^*} u_n^\alpha v_n^\gamma dx$$

and

$$c = \lim_{n\to\infty} I(u_n, v_n) = (\frac{\alpha}{p} + \frac{\gamma}{q} - 1)l > 0. \tag{5.1}$$

On the other hand, by Young's inequality and definitions of $C^*_{a,p}$ and $C^*_{b,q}$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{l}{\mu} &\leq \frac{\alpha^{(p^*+p)/p}}{p^*}(C^*_{a,p})^{-p^*/p} l^{p^*/p} + \frac{\gamma^{(q^*+q)/q}}{q^*}(C^*_{b,q})^{-q^*/q} l^{q^*/q} \\
&\leq \big[\frac{\alpha^{(p^*+p)/p}}{p^*}(C^*_{a,p})^{-p^*/p} + \frac{\gamma^{(q^*+q)/q}}{q^*}(C^*_{b,q})^{-q^*/q}\big] l^\tau,
\end{aligned}$$

where $\tau = \max\{p^*/p, q^*/q\}$ if $l > 1$, and $\tau = \min\{p^*/p, q^*/q\}$ if $l \leq 1$. Therefore,

$$l \geq \Big[\mu\Big(\frac{\alpha^{(p^*+p)/p}}{p^*}(C^*_{a,p})^{-p^*/p} + \frac{\gamma^{(q^*+q)/q}}{q^*}(C^*_{b,q})^{-q^*/q}\Big)\Big]^{\frac{-1}{\tau-1}}.$$

Thus substituting the above inequality in (5.1) and taking $\mu_0 > 0$ small enough we conclude

$$c \geq (\frac{\alpha}{p} + \frac{\gamma}{q} - 1)\Big[\mu\Big(\frac{\alpha^{(p^*+p)/p}}{p^*}(C^*_{a,p})^{-p^*/p} + \frac{\gamma^{(q^*+q)/q}}{q^*}(C^*_{b,q})^{-q^*/q}\Big)\Big]^{\frac{-1}{\tau-1}} \geq \overline{M},$$

for all $0 < \mu < \mu_0$, which is an absurd.

6. Appendix

Proof of lemma 2.2. From equation (2.1) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\nabla y_\epsilon\|^p_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^N, |x|^{-ap})} &= (\tilde{S}_{a,p,R})^{p^*/(p^*-p)} = (k_{a,p}(\epsilon))^p \|\nabla U_{a,p,\epsilon}\|^p_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^N, |x|^{-ap})}, \\
\|y_\epsilon\|^{p^*}_{L^{p^*}(\mathbb{R}^N, |x|^{-c_1 p^*})} &= (\tilde{S}_{a,p,R})^{p^*/(p^*-p)} = (k_{a,p}(\epsilon))^{p^*} \|U_{a,p,\epsilon}\|^{p^*}_{L^{p^*}(\mathbb{R}^N, |x|^{-c_1 p^*})}.
\end{aligned}$$

We observe that

$$\nabla(\psi(x) U_{a,p,\epsilon}(x)) = \begin{cases} \nabla U_{a,p,\epsilon}(x) & \text{if } |x| < R_0 \\ U_{a,p,\epsilon}(x)\nabla\psi(x) + \psi(x)\nabla U_{a,p,\epsilon}(x) & \text{if } R_0 \leq |x| < 2R_0 \\ 0 & \text{if } |x| \geq 2R_0 \end{cases}$$

and

$$\nabla U_{a,p,\epsilon}(x) = -\frac{N-p-ap}{p-1}\cdot\frac{|x|^{[d_1p(N-p-ap)/(p-1)(N-d_1p)]-2}x}{(\epsilon+|x|^{d_1p(N-p-ap)/(p-1)(N-d_1p)})^{N/d_1p}}.$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}\int_\Omega |x|^{-ap}|\nabla(\psi U_{a,p,\epsilon})(x)|^p dx &= O(1)+\int_{\mathbb{R}^N}|x|^{-ap}|\nabla U_{a,p,\epsilon}(x)|^p dx\\ &= O(1)+(\tilde{S}_{a,p,R})^{\frac{p^*}{p^*-p}}(k_{a,p}(\epsilon))^{-p}\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\int_\Omega |x|^{-c_1p^*}|\psi(x)U_{a,p,\epsilon}(x)|^{p^*} dx &= O(1)+\int_{\mathbb{R}^N}|x|^{-c_1p^*}|U_{a,p,\epsilon}(x)|^{p^*} dx\\ &= O(1)+(\tilde{S}_{a,p,R})^{\frac{p^*}{p^*-p}}(k_{a,p}(\epsilon))^{-p^*}.\end{aligned}$$

Consequently,

$$\begin{aligned}\int_\Omega |x|^{-ap}|\nabla u_\epsilon(x)|^p dx &= \frac{O(1)+(\tilde{S}_{a,p,R})^{p^*/(p^*-p)}(k_{a,p}(\epsilon))^{-p}}{[O(1)+(\tilde{S}_{a,p,R})^{p^*/(p^*-p)}(k_{a,p}(\epsilon))^{-p^*}]^{p/p^*}}\\ &= \frac{(k_{a,p}(\epsilon))^p[O(1)+(\tilde{S}_{a,p,R})^{p^*/(p^*-p)}(k_{a,p}(\epsilon))^{-p}]}{[O(k_{a,p}(\epsilon)^{p^*})+(\tilde{S}_{a,p,R})^{p^*/(p^*-p)}]^{p/p^*}}\\ &\le \tilde{S}_{a,p,R}+O(k_{a,p}(\epsilon)^p)\\ &= \tilde{S}_{a,p,R}+O(\epsilon^{(N-d_1p)/d_1p}).\end{aligned}$$

Now, we prove that $\|u_\epsilon\|^{p_1}_{L^{p_1}(\Omega,|x|^{-\beta_1})}$ is as in (2.2). Considering the changes of variables by the polar coordinates and $s = R_0^{-1}\epsilon^{-1/\alpha}r$ with $\alpha = \frac{d_1p(N-p-ap)}{(p-1)(N-d_1p)}$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}&\int_\Omega |x|^{-(a+1)p_1+c}|\psi U_{a,p,\epsilon}|^{p_1}dx\\ &\ge O(1)+\int_{|x|<R_0}|x|^{-(a+1)p_1+c}|U_{a,p,\epsilon}|^{p_1}\,dx\\ &= O(1)+\omega_N\int_0^{R_0}\frac{r^{-(a+1)p_1+c+N-1}}{(\epsilon+r^\alpha)^{(N-d_1p)p_1/d_1p}}\,dr \qquad (6.1)\\ &= O(1)+\omega_N(R_0^\alpha\epsilon)^{\frac{-(N-d_1p)p_1}{d_1p}+\frac{(N-p_1-ap_1+c)(p-1)(N-d_1p)}{d_1p(N-p-ap)}}\\ &\quad\times\int_0^{\epsilon^{-1/\alpha}}\frac{s^{-(a+1)p_1+c+N-1}}{(R_0^{-\alpha}+s^\alpha)^{(N-d_1p)p_1/d_1p}}\,ds.\end{aligned}$$

Assuming that $c = [(p_1-p+1)N-(a+1)p_1]/(p-1)$, we see that

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{-(N-d_1p)p_1}{d_1p}+\frac{(p-1)(N-p_1-ap_1+c)(N-d_1p)}{d_1p(N-p-ap)} &= 0,\\ -(a+1)p_1+c+N-\frac{(N-p-ap)p_1}{(p-1)} &= 0.\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, by (6.1),

$$\int_\Omega |x|^{-(a+1)p_1+c}|\psi U_{a,p,\epsilon}|^{p_1}dx \ge \omega_N\int_1^{\epsilon^{-1/\alpha}}\frac{s^{-(a+1)p_1+c+N-1-\alpha\frac{(N-d_1p)p_1}{d_1p}}}{[(R_0s)^{-\alpha}+1]^{(N-d_1p)p_1/d_1p}}\,ds$$

$$\geq \frac{\omega_N}{\left(R_0^{-\alpha}+1\right)^{(N-d_1p)p_1/d_1p}}|\ln(\epsilon)| = O(|\ln(\epsilon)|).$$

Hence, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\int_\Omega |x|^{-(a+1)p_1+c}|u_\epsilon|^{p_1}dx &\geq \frac{O(|\ln(\epsilon)|)}{\left[O(1)+(S_{a,p,R})^{p^*/(p^*-p)}(k_{a,p}(\epsilon))^{-p^*}\right]^{p_1/p^*}}\\ &= \frac{O(|\ln(\epsilon)|)}{(k_{a,p}(\epsilon))^{-p_1}\left[O(k_{a,p}(\epsilon)^{p^*})+(S_{a,p,R})^{p^*/(p^*-p)}\right]^{p_1/p^*}}\\ &\geq O(\epsilon^{(N-d_1p)p_1/d_1p^2}|\ln(\epsilon)|).\end{aligned}$$

Assuming that $c > [(p_1-p+1)N-(a+1)p_1]/(p-1)$, we have

$$\frac{-(N-d_1p)p_1}{d_1p}+\frac{(N-p_1-ap_1+c)(p-1)(N-d_1p)}{d_1p(N-p-ap)}>0,$$
$$-(a+1)p_1+c+N-\frac{(N-p-ap)p_1}{(p-1)}>0.$$

Consequently, by (6.1),

$$\begin{aligned}&\int_\Omega |x|^{-(a+1)p_1+c}|\psi U_{a,p,\epsilon}|^{p_1}dx\\ &\geq O(1)+\omega_N(R_0^\alpha\epsilon)^{\frac{-(N-d_1p)p_1}{d_1p}+\frac{(N-p_1-ap_1+c)(p-1)(N-d_1p)}{d_1p(N-p-ap)}}\\ &\quad\times\frac{1}{(R_0^{-\alpha}+1)^{(N-d_1p)p_1/d_1p}}\int_{1/2}^1 s^{-(a+1)p_1+c+N-1}\,ds\geq O(1).\end{aligned}$$

Hence, we get

$$\begin{aligned}\int_\Omega |x|^{-(a+1)p_1+c}|u_\epsilon|^{p_1}dx &\geq \frac{O(1)}{(k_{a,p}(\epsilon))^{-p_1}[O(k_{a,p}(\epsilon)^{p^*})+(S_{a,p,R})^{p^*/(p^*-p)}]^{p_1/p^*}}\\ &\geq \frac{O(k_{a,p}(\epsilon)^{p_1})}{[O(1)+(S_{a,p,R})^{p^*/(p^*-p)}]^{p_1/p^*}}\\ &\geq O(\epsilon^{(N-d_1p)p_1/d_1p^2}).\end{aligned}$$

If $c < [(p_1-p+1)N-(a+1)p_1]/(p-1)$, we see that

$$\frac{-(N-d_1p)p_1}{d_1p}+\frac{(N-p_1-ap_1+c)(p-1)(N-d_1p)}{d_1p(N-p-ap)}<0,$$
$$-(a+1)p_1+c+N-\frac{(N-p-ap)p_1}{(p-1)}<0.$$

Using (6.1) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}&\int_\Omega |x|^{-(a+1)p_1+c}|\psi U_\epsilon|^{p_1}dx\\ &\geq O(1)\epsilon^{\frac{-(N-d_1p)p_1}{d_1p}+\frac{(N-p_1-ap_1+c)(p-1)(N-d_1p)}{d_1p(N-p-ap)}}\int_{1/2}^1 s^{-(a+1)p_1+c+N-1}ds\\ &\geq O\Big(\epsilon^{\frac{-(N-d_1p)p_1}{d_1p}+\frac{(N-p_1-ap_1+c)(p-1)(N-d_1p)}{d_1p(N-p-ap)}}\Big).\end{aligned}$$

Hence, we conclude

$$\int_\Omega |x|^{-(a+1)p_1+c}|u_\epsilon|^{p_1}dx \geq \frac{O\big(\epsilon^{\frac{-(N-d_1p)p_1}{d_1p}+\frac{(N-p_1-ap_1+c)(p-1)(N-d_1p)}{d_1p(N-p-ap)}}\big)}{\big[O(1)+(S_{a,p,R})^{p^*/(p^*-p)}\big(k_{a,p}(\epsilon)\big)^{-p^*}\big]^{p_1/p^*}}$$
$$\geq O\Big(\epsilon^{\frac{(N-p_1-ap_1+c)(p-1)(N-d_1p)}{d_1p(N-p-ap)}-\frac{(N-d_1p)(p-1)p_1}{d_1p^2}}\Big).$$

References

[1] K. Adriouch, and A. El Hamidi; *On local compactness in quasilinear elliptic problems*, Diff. Int. Eqns., Vol. 20 (2007), 77-92.

[2] A. Ahammou; *Positive radial solutions of nonlinear elliptic systems*, New York J. Math., Vol. 7 (2001), 267-280.

[3] Y. Akdim, E. Azroul, and A. Benkirane; *Existence of solutions for quasilinear degenerate elliptic equation*, Electronic J. Diff. Eqns., 2001 (2001) (71), 1-19.

[4] C. O. Alves, P. C. Carrião, and O. H. Miyagaki; *Nontrivial solutions of a class of quasilinear elliptic problems involving critical exponents*, Prog. Nonl. Diff. Eqns. Applic., Vol. 54 (2003), 225-238.

[5] C. O. Alves, D. C. de Morais Filho, and M. A. S. Souto; *On systems of elliptic equations involving subcritical or critical Sobolev exponents*, Nonlinear Anal., Vol. 42 (2000), 771-787.

[6] A. Ambrosetti, and P. H. Rabinowitz; *Dual variational methods in critical point theory and applications*, J. Funct. Anal., Vol. 14 (1973), 349-381.

[7] R. B. Assunção, P. C. Carrião, and O. H. Miyagaki; *Subcritical pertubations of a singular quasilinear elliptic equation involving the critical Hardy-Sobolev exponent*, Nonlinear Anal., Vol. 66 (2007), 1351-1364.

[8] R. B. Assunção, P. C. Carrião, and O. H. Miyagaki; *Critical singular problems via concentration-compactness lemma*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. Vol. 326 (2007) 137-154.

[9] L. Boccardo and D. G. de Figueiredo; *Some remarks on a system of quasilinear elliptic equations,* NoDEA-Nonl. Diff. Eqns. Appl. **9** (2002), 309-323.

[10] H. Brezis, E. Lieb; *A relation between pointwise convergence of functions and convergence of functionals,* Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 88 (1983) 486-488.

[11] H. Brezis, and L. Nirenberg; *Positive solutions of nonlinear elliptic equations involving critical Sobolev exponents*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math., Vol. 36 (1983), 437-477.

[12] L. Caffarelli, R. Kohn, and L. Nirenberg; *First order interpolation inequalities with weights*, Compositio Mathematica, Vol. 53 (1984), 259-275.

[13] F. Catrina, and Z. Q. Wang; *Positive bound states having prescribed symmetry for a class of nonlinear elliptic equations in* $\mathbb{R}^N$, Ann. Inst. Henri Poincaré, Analyse Non Linèaire Vol. 18 (2001) 157-178.

[14] J. Chen, and S. Li; *On multiple solutions of a singular quasilinear equation on unbounded domain*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., Vol. 275 (2002), 733-746.

[15] F. Cîrstea, D. Motreanu, and V. Rădulescu; *Weak solutions of quasilinear problems with nonlinear boundary condition*, Nonlinear Anal., Vol. 43 (2001), 623-636.

[16] P. Clément, D.G. de Figueiredo, and E. Mitidieri; *Quasilinear elliptic equations with critical exponents*, Topol. Meth. Nonlinear Anal., Vol. 7 (1996), 133-170.

[17] D.G. de Figueiredo; *Nonlinear elliptic systems*, An. Acad. Brasil. Ciênc., Vol. 72 (2000), 453-469.

[18] J. Fleckinger, R. Pardo, and F. Thélin; *Four-parameter bifurcation for a p-laplacian system*, Electronic J. Diff. Eqns., 2001 (2001) (06), 1-15.

[19] J. P. García Azorero, and I. Peral Alonso; *Existence and nonuniqueness for the p-laplacian: Nonlinear eigenvalues*, Comm. Partial Diff. Eqns., Vol. 12 (1987), 1389-1430.

[20] N. Ghoussoub, and C. Yuan; *Multiple solutions for quasi-linear PDEs involving the critical Sobolev and Hardy exponents*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., Vol. 352 (1998), 5703-5743.

[21] J. V. Gonçalves, C. O. Alves; *Existence of positive solutions for m-laplacian equations in* $\mathbb{R}^N$ *involving critical Sobolev exponents*, Nonlinear Anal., Vol. 32 (1998), 53-70.

[22] A. El Hamidi, and J. M. Rakotoson; *Compactness and quasilinear problems with critical exponents*, Diff. Int. Eqns., Vol. 18 (2005), 1201-1220.

[23] P. Han; *Multiple positive solutions of nonhomogeneous elliptic systems involving critical Sobolev exponents*, Nonlinear Anal., Vol. 64 (2006), 869-886.
[24] T. Horiuchi; *Best constant in weighted Sobolev inequality with weights being powers of distance from origin*, J. Inequal. Appl., Vol. 1 (1997), 275-292.
[25] O. Kavian; *Introduction à la théorie des points critiques et applications aux problèmes elliptiques*, Springer-Verlag, Paris, 1993.
[26] O. H. Miyagaki; *On a class of semilinear elliptic problems in $\mathbb{R}^N$ with critical growth*, Nonlinear Anal., Vol. 29 (1997), 773-781.
[27] D.C. de Morais Filho, and M. A. S. Souto; *Systems of p-laplacian equations involving homogeneous nonlinearities with critical Sobolev exponent degrees*, Comm. Partial Diff. Eqns., Vol. 24 (1999), 1537-1553.
[28] L. I. Nicolaescu; *A weighted semilinear elliptic equation involving critical Sobolev exponents*, Diff. Int. Eqns., Vol. 3 (1991), 653-671.
[29] X. Pan; *Positive solutions of the elliptic equation $\Delta u + u^{(n+2)/(n-2)} + K(x)u^q = 0$ in $\mathbb{R}^n$ and in ball*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. Vol. 172 (1993) 323-338.
[30] S. I. Pohozaev; *Eigenfunctions of the equation $\Delta u + \lambda f(u) = 0$.*, Soviet Math. Dokl., Vol. 6 (1965), 1408-1411.
[31] E. A. Silva, and M. Xavier; *Quasilinear elliptic system with coupling on nonhomogeneous critical term*, Nonlinear Anal, to appear.
[32] N. M. Stavrakakis, and N. B. Zographopoulos; *Existence results for quasilinear elliptic systems in $\mathbb{R}^N$*, Electronic J. Diff. Eqns., 1999 (1999) (39), 1-15.
[33] N. M. Stavrakakis, N. B. Zographopoulos; *Bifurcation results for quasilinear elliptic systems*, Adv. Diff. Eqns. Vol. 08 (2003) 315-336.
[34] Z. Q. Wang, and M. Willem; *Singular minimization problems*, J. Diff. Eqns., Vol. 161 (2000), 307-320.
[35] B. Xuan; *The solvability of quasilinear Brezis-Nirenberg-type problems with singular weights*, Nonlinear Anal., Vol. 62 (2005), 703-725.
[36] J. Yang; *On critical semilinear elliptic systems*, Adv. Diff. Eqns., Vol. 6 (2001),769-798.

Olimpio H. Miyagaki
Departamento de Matemática, Universidade Federal de Viçosa, 36571-000, Viçosa, MG, Brazil
E-mail address: olimpio@ufv.br

Rodrigo S. Rodrigues
Departamento de Matemática, Universidade Federal de São Carlos, 13565-905, São Carlos, SP, Brazil
E-mail address: rodrigosrodrigues@ig.com.br