

On positive solutions for classes of positone/semipositone systems with multiparameters

Rodrigo da Silva Rodrigues*, †
Departamento de Matemática,
Universidade Federal de São Carlos,
13565-905, São Carlos, SP, Brasil

Abstract

We will deal with existence and nonexistence of solution for a system involving p, q -Laplacian and nonlinearity with multiparameter. We will employ the method of lower and upper solutions for prove the existence of solution.

keyword: Existence of positive solution, nonexistence of nontrivial solution, positone/semipositone systems
MSC2010: 34A38 and 35B09.

1 Introduction

We will deal with existence of solution for the positone/semipositone system involving p, q -Laplacian and nonlinearity with multiparameter

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta_p u = \lambda f_1(x, u, v) + \mu g_1(x, u, v) & \text{in } \Omega, \\ -\Delta_q v = \lambda f_2(x, u, v) + \mu g_2(x, u, v) & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u = v = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega, \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

where $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, $n \geq 1$, is a bounded domain with boundary C^2 and $f_i, g_i : \Omega \times (0, +\infty) \times (0, +\infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $i = 1, 2$, are Carathéodory functions, g_i , $i = 1, 2$, are bounded on bounded sets. Moreover, there exists $h_i : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ continuous and nondecreasing such that $h_i(0) = 0$, $0 \leq h_i(s) \leq C(1 + |s|^{r-1})$, for all $s \in \mathbb{R}$, $r = \min\{p, q\}$, $C > 0$, $i = 1, 2$, and the maps

$$\begin{aligned} s &\rightarrow f_1(x, s, t) + h_1(s) \text{ and } t \rightarrow f_2(x, s, t) + h_1(t), \\ s &\rightarrow g_1(x, s, t) + h_2(s) \text{ and } t \rightarrow g_2(x, s, t) + h_2(t), \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

*Corresponding author

†rodrigossrodrigues@ig.com.br; rodrigo@dm.ufscar.br

are nondecreasing for almost everywhere $x \in \Omega$. Also, we will prove the nonexistence of nontrivial solution for system (1) in the positone case.

In the scalar case, Castro, Hassanpour, and Shivaji in [4], using the lower and upper solutions method, focused their attention on a class of problems, so called semipositone problems, of the form

$$-\Delta u = \lambda f(u) \text{ in } \Omega \text{ and } u = 0 \text{ on } \partial\Omega,$$

where Ω is a smooth bounded domain in \mathbb{R}^N , λ is a positive parameter, and $f : [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a monotone and continuous function satisfying the conditions $f(0) < 0$, $\lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} f(s) = +\infty$, and also the sublinear condition at infinity, namely, $\lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} f(s)/s = 0$. Recently, in 2008, Perera and Shivaji [11] proved the existence of solution for problem

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta_p u &= \lambda f(x, u) + \mu g(x, u) & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u &= 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega, \end{cases}$$

where $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, $n \geq 1$, is a bounded domain with boundary C^2 and $f, g : \Omega \times (0, +\infty) \times (0, +\infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, are Carathéodory functions, g is bounded on bounded sets and $|f(x, t)| \geq a_0$ for all $t \geq t_0$, where a_0, t_0 are positive constants. Moreover, the existence of solution is assured for $\lambda \geq \lambda_0$ and small $0 < |\mu| \leq \mu_0$, for some $\lambda_0 > 0$ and $\mu_0 = \mu(\lambda_0) > 0$.

Many authors has been studied the existence of positive solutions for elliptic systems, due to great number of applications, for instance, reaction-diffusion problems, in fluid mechanics, in newtonian fluids, glaciology, population dynamics, etc, see [3, 8] and references therein.

Hai and Shivaji [9] applied the lower and upper solutions method for obtain the existence of solution for semipositone systems of the type

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta_p u &= \lambda f_1(v) & \text{in } \Omega, \\ -\Delta_p v &= \lambda f_2(u) & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u = v &= 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega, \end{cases} \quad (3)$$

where Ω is a smooth bounded domain in \mathbb{R}^N with smooth boundary, λ is a positive parameter, and $f_1, f_2 : [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ are monotone and continuous functions satisfying conditions $f_i(0) < 0$, $\lim_{s \rightarrow +\infty} f_i(s) = +\infty$, $i = 1, 2$, and

$$\lim_{s \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{f_1(M(f_2(s))^{1/(p-1)})}{s^{p-1}} = 0, \quad \text{for all } M > 0. \quad (4)$$

While, Chhetri, Hai, and Shivaji in [6] proved an existence result for system (3) with the condition

$$\lim_{s \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\max \{f_1(s), f_2(s)\}}{s^{p-1}} = 0, \quad (5)$$

instead of condition (4) above mentioned.

In 2007, Ali and Shivaaji [1] proved of positive solution for system

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta_p u &= \lambda_1 f_1(v) + \mu_1 g_1(u) & \text{in } \Omega, \\ -\Delta_q v &= \lambda_2 f_2(u) + \mu_2 g_2(v) & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u = v &= 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega, \end{cases} \quad (6)$$

when Ω is a smooth bounded domain in \mathbb{R}^N , λ_i, μ_i , $i = 1, 2$, are nonnegative parameters with $\lambda_1 + \mu_1$ and $\lambda_2 + \mu_2$ large and

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{f_1(M[f_2(x)]^{1/q-1})}{x^{p-1}} = 0,$$

for all $M > 0$, $\lim_{x \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{g_1(x)}{x^{p-1}} = 0$, and $\lim_{x \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{g_2(x)}{x^{q-1}} = 0$.

Our first result deal with the existence of solution for the system (1) with p, q -Laplacian operators and nonautonomous nonlinearity with multiparameter.

Notice that, we make no suppositions about the signs of $g_1(x, 0, 0)$ and $g_2(x, 0, 0)$, and hence can occur the positone case, $\lambda f_i(x, 0, 0) + \mu g_i(x, 0, 0) \geq 0$, $i = 1, 2$, the semipositone case, $\lambda f_i(x, 0, 0) + \mu g_i(x, 0, 0) < 0$, $i = 1, 2$, the case $\lambda f_1(x, 0, 0) + \mu g_1(x, 0, 0) \geq 0$ and $\lambda f_2(x, 0, 0) + \mu g_2(x, 0, 0) < 0$, or the case $\lambda f_1(x, 0, 0) + \mu g_1(x, 0, 0) < 0$ and $\lambda f_2(x, 0, 0) + \mu g_2(x, 0, 0) \geq 0$; for almost everywhere $x \in \Omega$.

Theorem 1.1 *Consider the system (1) and suppose (2) and that there exist $a_0, \gamma, \delta > 0$ and $\alpha, \beta \geq 0$ such that $0 \leq \alpha < p - 1$, $0 \leq \beta < q - 1$, $(p - 1 - \alpha)(q - 1 - \beta) - \gamma\delta > 0$, and*

$$|f_1(x, s, t)| \leq a_0 |s|^\alpha |t|^\gamma \text{ and } |f_2(x, s, t)| \leq a_0 |s|^\delta |t|^\beta, \quad (7)$$

for all $s, t \in (0, +\infty)$ and $x \in \Omega$. In addition, suppose there exist $a_1 > 0$, $a_2 > 0$, and $R > 0$ such that

$$f_i(x, s, t) \geq a_1, \quad i = 1, 2, \text{ for all } s > R \text{ and } t > R, \quad (8)$$

and

$$f_i(x, s, t) \geq -a_2, \quad i = 1, 2, \text{ for all } s, t \in (0, +\infty), \quad (9)$$

uniformly in $x \in \Omega$. Then, there exists $\lambda_0 > 0$ such that for each $\lambda > \lambda_0$, there exists $\mu_0 = \mu_0(\lambda) > 0$ for which system (1) has a solution $(u, v) \in C^{1, \rho_1}(\Omega) \times C^{1, \rho_2}(\Omega)$ for some $\rho_1, \rho_2 > 0$, where each component is positive, whenever $|\mu| \leq \mu_0$.

Let $\lambda_p > 0$ and $\lambda_q > 0$ be the first eigenvalue of p -Laplacian and q -Laplacian, respectively, where $\phi_p \in C^{1, \alpha_p}(\Omega)$ and $\phi_q \in C^{1, \alpha_q}(\Omega)$ are the respective positive eigenfunctions (see [7]).

Chen [5] proved the nonexistence of nontrivial solution for system

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta_p u &= \lambda u^\alpha v^\gamma, & \text{in } \Omega, \\ -\Delta_q v &= \lambda u^\delta v^\beta, & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u = v &= 0 & \text{on } \Omega, \end{cases}$$

when Ω is a smooth bounded domain in \mathbb{R}^N , $p\gamma = q(p-1-\alpha)$, $(p-1-\alpha)(q-1-\beta) - \gamma\delta = 0$, and $0 < \lambda < \lambda_0$ where $\lambda_0 = \min\{\lambda_p, \lambda_q\}$ (see also [10]). We note that due to Young's inequality we have

$$u^{\alpha+1}v^\gamma \leq \frac{1+\alpha}{p}u^p + \frac{p-1-\alpha}{p}v^q \text{ and } u^{\alpha+1}v^\gamma \leq \frac{q-1-\beta}{q}u^p + \frac{\beta+1}{q}v^q.$$

Now, we will enunciated the nonexistence theorem for the system (1) that improves the result proved by Chen in [5].

Theorem 1.2 *Suppose that there exist $k_i > 0$, $i = 1, \dots, 8$, such that*

$$\begin{aligned} |f_1(x, s, t)s| &\leq (k_1|s|^p + k_2|t|^q), \quad |f_2(x, s, t)t| \leq (k_3|s|^p + k_4|t|^q), \\ |g_1(x, s, t)s| &\leq (k_5|s|^p + k_6|t|^q), \quad \text{and } |g_2(x, s, t)t| \leq (k_7|s|^p + k_8|t|^q), \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

for all $x \in \Omega$ and $s, t \in (0, +\infty)$. Then, the system (1) does not possess any nontrivial solution, for all λ, μ satisfying

$$|\lambda|(k_1 + k_3) + |\mu|(k_5 + k_7) < \lambda_p \text{ and } |\lambda|(k_2 + k_4) + |\mu|(k_6 + k_8) < \lambda_q. \quad (11)$$

Remark 1.1 *The most common functions that can be considered in Theorem 1.1 are as follows:*

$$f_1(x, s, t) = A(x)s^\alpha t^\gamma \text{ and } f_2(x, s, t) = B(x)s^\delta t^\beta,$$

where $A(x), B(x)$ are continuous functions on Ω satisfying $\inf_{x \in \Omega} A(x) > 0$, $\sup_{x \in \Omega} A(x) < +\infty$, $\inf_{x \in \Omega} B(x) > 0$, and $\sup_{x \in \Omega} B(x) < +\infty$ for all $x \in \Omega$, $0 \leq \alpha < p-1$, $0 \leq \beta < q-1$, $(p-1-\alpha)(q-1-\beta) - \gamma\delta > 0$, and $g_1(x, s, t)$ and $g_2(x, s, t)$ are any continuous functions on $\bar{\Omega} \times [0, +\infty) \times [0, +\infty)$ with $g_1(x, s, t)$ nondecreasing in variable s and $g_2(x, s, t)$ nondecreasing in variable t .

Remark 1.2 *The Theorem 1.2 can be applied for the functions of the kind*

$$\begin{aligned} f_1(x, s, t) &= \sum_{i=1}^m a_i s^{\alpha_{1,i}} t^{\gamma_{1,i}}, \quad f_2(x, s, t) = \sum_{i=1}^m b_i s^{\delta_{1,i}} t^{\beta_{1,i}} \\ g_1(x, s, t) &= \sum_{i=1}^m c_i s^{\alpha_{2,i}} t^{\gamma_{2,i}}, \quad \text{and } g_2(x, s, t) = \sum_{i=1}^m d_i s^{\delta_{2,i}} t^{\beta_{2,i}}, \end{aligned}$$

with $a_i, b_i, c_i, d_i \geq 0$, $p\gamma_{j,i} = q(p-1-\alpha_{j,i})$, and $(p-1-\alpha_{j,i})(q-1-\beta_{j,i}) = \gamma_{j,i}\delta_{j,i}$, for $j = 1, 2$ and $i = 1, \dots, m$.

We will prove Theorem 1.1 in section 2 and Theorem 1.2 in section 3.

2 Proof of Theorem 1.1

In this section, we will prove Theorem 1.1 by using a general method of lower and upper-solution. This method, in the scalar situation, has been used by many authors, for instance, [2] and [3]. The proof for the system case can be found in [10].

2.1 Upper-solution

First of all, we will prove that system (1) possesses a upper-solution.

Consider $e_i \in C^{1,\alpha_i}(\bar{\Omega})$, with $\alpha_i > 0$, $i = 1, 2$, where (e_1, e_2) is a solution of system (1) with $f_1(x, u, v) = \frac{1}{\lambda}$, $f_2(x, u, v) = \frac{1}{\lambda}$, and $g_1(x, u, v) = g_2(x, u, v) = 0$, and each component is positive.

Claim. Since that $\delta > 0$, $\gamma > 0$, $0 \leq \alpha < p - 1$, $0 \leq \beta < q - 1$, and $(p - 1 - \alpha)(q - 1 - \beta) - \gamma\delta > 0$, there exist s_1 and s_2 such that

$$s_1 > \frac{1}{p-1}, \quad s_2 > \frac{1}{q-1}, \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{\delta}{q-1-\beta} < \frac{s_2}{s_1} < \frac{p-1-\alpha}{\gamma}. \quad (12)$$

In fact. Since that

$$0 < \frac{\delta}{q-1-\beta} < \frac{p-1-\alpha}{\gamma},$$

there exist $k > 0$ such that

$$\frac{\delta}{q-1-\beta} < k < \frac{p-1-\alpha}{\gamma}.$$

Define $\vartheta : (0, +\infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by $\vartheta(\epsilon) = k(\frac{1}{p-1} + \epsilon)$. Evidently, we have

$$\lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow +\infty} \vartheta(\epsilon) = +\infty,$$

therefore, we get $\epsilon_0 > 0$ satisfying $\vartheta(\epsilon) > \frac{1}{q-1}$ for all $\epsilon > \epsilon_0$. Fixed $\epsilon > \epsilon_0$, we define $s_1 = \frac{1}{p-1} + \epsilon$ and $s_2 = \vartheta(\epsilon) = ks_1$. Then, $s_1 > \frac{1}{p-1}$, $s_2 > \frac{1}{q-1}$, and $\frac{s_2}{s_1} = k$, which prove the claim.

Then, by using (12), we obtain $\lambda_0 > 0$ such that

$$a_\lambda := \max\{a_0\lambda^{s_1(\alpha-p+1)+s_2\gamma}, a_0\lambda^{s_1\delta+s_2(\beta-q+1)}\} < 1, \quad (13)$$

for all $\lambda > \lambda_0$. Moreover, there exist A and B positive constants satisfying

$$A^{p-1} = \lambda A^\alpha l^\alpha B^\gamma L^\gamma \quad \text{and} \quad B^{q-1} = \lambda A^\delta l^\delta B^\beta L^\beta, \quad (14)$$

where $l = \|e_1\|_\infty$ and $L = \|e_2\|_\infty$.

Fixed $\lambda > \lambda_0$, we define

$$(\bar{u}(x), \bar{v}(x)) := (\lambda^{s_1} A e_1(x), \lambda^{s_2} B e_2(x)).$$

Notice that $\bar{u} \in C^{1,\alpha_1}(\bar{\Omega})$ and $\bar{v} \in C^{1,\alpha_2}(\bar{\Omega})$.

Let $w \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ with $w(x) \geq 0$ for a.e. (almost everywhere) $x \in \Omega$. Then, we obtain

$$\int_{\Omega} |\nabla \bar{u}|^{p-2} \nabla \bar{u} \nabla w \, dx = \lambda^{s_1(p-1)} A^{p-1} \int_{\Omega} w \, dx \quad (15)$$

and, for $z \in W_0^{1,q}(\Omega)$ with $z(x) \geq 0$ for a.e. $x \in \Omega$,

$$\int_{\Omega} |\nabla \bar{v}|^{q-2} \nabla \bar{v} \nabla z \, dx = \lambda^{s_2(q-1)} B^{q-1} \int_{\Omega} z \, dx. \quad (16)$$

On the other hand, by using (7), (13), and (14), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\lambda f_1(x, \bar{u}(x), \bar{v}(x)) &\leq \lambda a_0 \lambda^{s_1 \alpha} A^{\alpha} l^{\alpha} \lambda^{s_2 \gamma} B^{\gamma} L^{\gamma} \\
&= \lambda a_0 \lambda^{s_1(\alpha-p+1)+s_2 \gamma} \lambda^{s_1(p-1)} A^{\alpha} l^{\alpha} B^{\gamma} L^{\gamma} \\
&\leq a_{\lambda} \lambda^{s_1(p-1)} A^{p-1}
\end{aligned} \tag{17}$$

and

$$\lambda f_2(x, \bar{u}(x), \bar{v}(x)) \leq a_{\lambda} \lambda^{s_2(q-1)} B^{q-1}. \tag{18}$$

But, as $a_{\lambda} < 1$ for $\lambda > \lambda_0$, there exists $c_{\lambda} > 0$ such that

$$a_{\lambda} \lambda^{s_1(p-1)} A^{p-1} + c_{\lambda} \leq \lambda^{s_1(p-1)} A^{p-1} \text{ and } a_{\lambda} \lambda^{s_2(q-1)} B^{q-1} + c_{\lambda} \leq \lambda^{s_2(q-1)} B^{q-1}. \tag{19}$$

Also, since that g_i , $i = 1, 2$, are bounded on bounded sets, there exists $\mu_0 = \mu_0(\lambda) > 0$ such that

$$|\mu| |g_1(x, \bar{u}(x), \bar{v}(x))| \leq c_{\lambda} \text{ and } |\mu| |g_2(x, \bar{u}(x), \bar{v}(x))| \leq c_{\lambda} \tag{20}$$

for all $|\mu| < \mu_0$. Then, we get by (17), (19), and (20) that

$$\begin{aligned}
\lambda f_1(x, \bar{u}(x), \bar{v}(x)) + \mu g_1(x, \bar{u}(x), \bar{v}(x)) &\leq a_{\lambda} \lambda^{s_1(p-1)} A^{p-1} \\
&\quad + |\mu g_1(x, \bar{u}(x), \bar{v}(x))| \\
&\leq a_{\lambda} \lambda^{s_1(p-1)} A^{p-1} + c_{\lambda} \\
&\leq \lambda^{s_1(p-1)} A^{p-1},
\end{aligned} \tag{21}$$

and, from (18), (19), and (20),

$$\lambda f_2(x, \bar{u}(x), \bar{v}(x)) + \mu g_2(x, \bar{u}(x), \bar{v}(x)) \leq \lambda^{s_2(q-1)} B^{q-1}, \tag{22}$$

for all $|\mu| < \mu_0$.

Hence, by (15) and (21), we conclude

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{\Omega} |\nabla \bar{u}|^{p-2} \nabla \bar{u} \nabla w \, dx &\geq \lambda \int_{\Omega} f_1(x, \bar{u}(x), \bar{v}(x)) w \, dx \\
&\quad + \mu \int_{\Omega} g_1(x, \bar{u}(x), \bar{v}(x)) w \, dx.
\end{aligned} \tag{23}$$

Analogously, from (16) and (22), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{\Omega} |\nabla \bar{v}|^{q-2} \nabla \bar{v} \nabla z \, dx &\geq \lambda \int_{\Omega} f_2(x, \bar{u}(x), \bar{v}(x)) z \, dx \\
&\quad + \mu \int_{\Omega} g_2(x, \bar{u}(x), \bar{v}(x)) z \, dx.
\end{aligned} \tag{24}$$

Thus, from (23) and (24), we see that (\bar{u}, \bar{v}) is a upper-solution of system (1) with $\bar{u} \in C^{1, \alpha_1}(\bar{\Omega})$ and $\bar{v} \in C^{1, \alpha_2}(\bar{\Omega})$.

2.2 Lower-solution

In this subsection, we will prove that system (1) possesses a lower-solution.

Let us fix ξ and η such that

$$1 < \xi < \frac{p}{p-1} \text{ and } 1 < \eta < \frac{q}{q-1}. \quad (25)$$

From (8) and (9) we have $a_1 > 0$, $a_2 > 0$, and $R > 0$ such that

$$f_i(x, s, t) \geq a_1, \quad i = 1, 2, \text{ for all } s > R \text{ and } t > R, \quad (26)$$

and

$$f_i(x, s, t) \geq -a_2, \quad i = 1, 2, \text{ for all } s, t \in (0, +\infty), \quad (27)$$

uniformly in $x \in \Omega$.

Consider λ_p the eigenvalue associated to positive eigenfunction φ_p of the problem of eigenvalue of p -Laplacian operator, and λ_q the eigenvalue associated to positive eigenfunction φ_q of the problem of eigenvalue of q -Laplacian operator. We take a_3 and a_4 positive constants satisfying

$$a_3 > 2 \frac{\lambda_p(a_2 + 1)\xi^{p-1}}{a_1} \text{ and } a_4 > 2 \frac{\lambda_q(a_2 + 1)\eta^{q-1}}{a_1}, \quad (28)$$

and define

$$(\underline{u}(x), \underline{v}(x)) := (c_\lambda \varphi_p^\xi(x), d_\lambda \varphi_q^\eta(x)),$$

where

$$c_\lambda = \left(\frac{\lambda a_2 + 1}{a_3} \right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}} \text{ and } d_\lambda = \left(\frac{\lambda a_2 + 1}{a_4} \right)^{\frac{1}{q-1}}. \quad (29)$$

Thus, for $w \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ and $z \in W_0^{1,q}(\Omega)$ with $w(x) \geq 0$ and $z(x) \geq 0$ for a.e. $x \in \Omega$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\Omega} |\nabla \underline{u}|^{p-2} \nabla \underline{u} \nabla w \, dx \\ &= c_\lambda^{p-1} \xi^{p-1} \int_{\Omega} \left[\lambda_p \varphi_p^{\xi(p-1)} - (\xi-1)(p-1) \varphi_p^{(\xi-1)(p-1)-1} |\nabla \varphi_p|^p \right] w \, dx \end{aligned} \quad (30)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\Omega} |\nabla \underline{v}|^{q-2} \nabla \underline{v} \nabla z \, dx \\ &= d_\lambda^{q-1} \eta^{q-1} \int_{\Omega} \left[\lambda_q \varphi_q^{\eta(q-1)} - (\eta-1)(q-1) \varphi_q^{(\eta-1)(q-1)-1} |\nabla \varphi_q|^q \right] z \, dx. \end{aligned} \quad (31)$$

We know that $\varphi_p, \varphi_q > 0$ in Ω and $|\nabla \varphi_p|, |\nabla \varphi_q| \geq \sigma$ on $\partial\Omega$ for some $\sigma > 0$. Also, we can suppose that $\|\varphi_p\|_\infty = \|\varphi_q\|_\infty = 1$. Furthermore, by using (25), it is easy to prove that there exists $\zeta > 0$ such that

$$\lambda_p \varphi_p^{\xi(p-1)} - (\xi-1)(p-1) \varphi_p^{(\xi-1)(p-1)-1} |\nabla \varphi_p|^p \leq -a_3 \quad (32)$$

and

$$\lambda_q \varphi_q^{\eta(q-1)} - (\eta-1)(q-1) \varphi_q^{(\eta-1)(q-1)-1} |\nabla \varphi_q|^q \leq -a_4, \quad (33)$$

in $\Omega_\zeta := \{x \in \Omega : \text{dist}(x, \partial\Omega) \leq \zeta\}$. But, we have by (25), (27), and (29) that

$$\begin{aligned} -c_\lambda^{p-1} \xi^{p-1} a_3 &= -(\lambda a_2 + 1) \xi^{p-1} \\ &\leq -(\lambda a_2 + 1) \\ &\leq \lambda f_1(x, \underline{u}, \underline{v}) - 1 \end{aligned} \quad (34)$$

and

$$-d_\lambda^{q-1} \eta^{q-1} a_4 \leq \lambda f_2(x, \underline{u}, \underline{v}) - 1, \quad (35)$$

for all $x \in \Omega$. Therefore, from (32), (33), (34), and (35), we get

$$c_\lambda^{p-1} \xi^{p-1} \left[\lambda_p \varphi_p^{\xi(p-1)} - (\xi-1)(p-1) \varphi_p^{(\xi-1)(p-1)-1} |\nabla \varphi_p|^p \right] \leq \lambda f_1(x, \underline{u}, \underline{v}) - 1 \quad (36)$$

and

$$d_\lambda^{q-1} \eta^{q-1} \left[\lambda_q \varphi_q^{\eta(q-1)} - (\eta-1)(q-1) \varphi_q^{(\eta-1)(q-1)-1} |\nabla \varphi_q|^q \right] \leq \lambda f_2(x, \underline{u}, \underline{v}) - 1, \quad (37)$$

in $\Omega_\zeta := \{x \in \Omega : \text{dist}(x, \partial\Omega) \leq \zeta\}$.

On the other hand, there exists $a_5 > 0$ such that $\varphi_p(x), \varphi_q(x) \geq a_5$ for all $x \in \Omega \setminus \Omega_\zeta$. Then, if $\lambda_0 > 0$ is provided of proof of existence of upper-solution, and by taking $\lambda_0 > 0$ bigger one, if necessary, we can suppose $\lambda_0 \geq \max\{1, \frac{2}{a_1}, \frac{R^{p-1} a_5^{-\xi(p-1)} a_3^{-1}}{a_2}, \frac{R^{q-1} a_5^{-\eta(q-1)} a_4^{-1}}{a_2}\} > 0$. Thus, we obtain

$$\underline{u}(x) = c_\lambda \varphi_p^\xi(x) \geq c_\lambda a_5^\xi > R \text{ and } \underline{v}(x) = d_\lambda \varphi_p^\xi(x) \geq d_\lambda a_5^\eta > R,$$

for all $x \in \Omega \setminus \Omega_\zeta$ and $\lambda > \lambda_0$. Therefore, by (26), we have

$$\lambda f_1(x, \underline{u}(x), \underline{v}(x)) - 1 \geq \lambda a_1 - 1 \text{ and } \lambda f_2(x, \underline{u}(x), \underline{v}(x)) - 1 \geq \lambda a_1 - 1 \quad (38)$$

for all $x \in \Omega \setminus \Omega_\zeta$ and $\lambda > \lambda_0$.

Claim. By (28) and $\lambda > \lambda_0 \geq \max\{1, \frac{2}{a_1}, \frac{R^{p-1} a_5^{-\xi(p-1)} a_3^{-1}}{a_2}, \frac{R^{q-1} a_5^{-\eta(q-1)} a_4^{-1}}{a_2}\}$, we have

$$a_3 > \frac{\lambda_p \xi^{p-1} (\lambda a_2 + 1)}{\lambda a_1 - 1} \text{ and } a_4 > \frac{\lambda_q \eta^{q-1} (\lambda a_2 + 1)}{\lambda a_1 - 1}. \quad (39)$$

In fact. Since that $\lambda > \frac{2}{a_1}$, we get

$$a_1 - \frac{1}{\lambda} > a_1 - \frac{a_1}{2} = \frac{a_1}{2},$$

so, as $\lambda > 1$ and by (28),

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\lambda_p \xi^{p-1} (\lambda a_2 + 1)}{\lambda a_1 - 1} &= \frac{\lambda_p \xi^{p-1} (a_2 + \frac{1}{\lambda})}{a_1 - \frac{1}{\lambda}} \\
&< \frac{\lambda_p \xi^{p-1} (a_2 + 1)}{a_1 - \frac{1}{\lambda}} \\
&< \frac{\lambda_p \xi^{p-1} (a_2 + 1)}{\frac{a_1}{2}} \\
&= \frac{2\lambda_p (a_2 + 1) \xi^{p-1}}{a_1} \\
&< a_3,
\end{aligned}$$

and similarly

$$a_4 > \frac{\lambda_q \eta^{q-1} (\lambda a_2 + 1)}{\lambda a_1 - 1},$$

which prove the claim.

Then, from (30), (38), and (39), we achieve

$$\begin{aligned}
c_\lambda^{p-1} \xi^{p-1} &\left[\lambda_p \varphi_p^{\xi(p-1)} - (\xi - 1)(p - 1) \varphi_p^{(\xi-1)(p-1)-1} |\nabla \varphi_p|^p \right] (x) \\
&\leq c_\lambda^{p-1} \xi^{p-1} \lambda_p \varphi_p^{\xi(p-1)} (x) \\
&\leq \lambda_p c_\lambda^{p-1} \xi^{p-1} \\
&\leq \lambda_p \frac{\lambda a_2 + 1}{a_3} \xi^{p-1} \\
&\leq \lambda a_1 - 1 \\
&\leq \lambda f_1(x, \underline{u}(x), \underline{v}(x)) - 1
\end{aligned} \tag{40}$$

and, by (31), (38), and (39),

$$\begin{aligned}
d_\lambda^{q-1} \eta^{q-1} &\left[\lambda_q \varphi_q^{\eta(q-1)} - (\eta - 1)(q - 1) \varphi_q^{(\eta-1)(q-1)-1} |\nabla \varphi_q|^q \right] (x) \\
&\leq \lambda_q \frac{\lambda a_2 + 1}{a_4} \eta^{q-1} \\
&\leq \lambda f_2(x, \underline{u}(x), \underline{v}(x)) - 1,
\end{aligned} \tag{41}$$

for all $x \in \Omega \setminus \Omega_\zeta$.

Thus, by combining (36), (37), (40), and (41), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
c_\lambda^{p-1} \xi^{p-1} &\left[\lambda_p \varphi_p^{\xi(p-1)} - (\xi - 1)(p - 1) \varphi_p^{(\xi-1)(p-1)-1} |\nabla \varphi_p|^p \right] (x) \\
&\leq \lambda f_1(x, \underline{u}(x), \underline{v}(x)) - 1
\end{aligned} \tag{42}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
d_\lambda^{q-1} \eta^{q-1} &\left[\lambda_q \varphi_q^{\eta(q-1)} - (\eta - 1)(q - 1) \varphi_q^{(\eta-1)(q-1)-1} |\nabla \varphi_q|^q \right] (x) \\
&\leq \lambda f_2(x, \underline{u}(x), \underline{v}(x)) - 1,
\end{aligned} \tag{43}$$

for all $\lambda > \lambda_0$ and $x \in \Omega$. Moreover, if $\mu_0 = \mu_0(\lambda) > 0$ is provided of proof of existence of upper-solution; for each $\lambda > \lambda_0$, since that g_i , $i = 1, 2$, are bounded on bounded sets, replacing $\mu_0 > 0$ by another smaller, if necessary, we have

$$|\mu| |g_1(x, \underline{u}(x), \underline{v}(x))| \leq 1 \text{ and } |\mu| |g_2(x, \underline{u}(x), \underline{v}(x))| \leq 1 \quad (44)$$

for all $|\mu| < \mu_0$. Therefore, follows by (44) that

$$\lambda f_1(x, \underline{u}(x), \underline{v}(x)) - 1 \leq \lambda f_1(x, \underline{u}(x), \underline{v}(x)) + \mu g_1(x, \underline{u}(x), \underline{v}(x)) \quad (45)$$

and

$$\lambda f_2(x, \underline{u}(x), \underline{v}(x)) - 1 \leq \lambda f_2(x, \underline{u}(x), \underline{v}(x)) + \mu g_2(x, \underline{u}(x), \underline{v}(x)), \quad (46)$$

for all $|\mu| < \mu_0$ and $x \in \Omega$.

Hence, substituing (45) and (46) in (42) and (43), respectively, and by using (30) and (31), we achieve

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla \underline{u}|^{p-2} \nabla \underline{u} \nabla w dx &\leq \lambda \int_{\Omega} f_1(x, \underline{u}(x), \underline{v}(x)) w dx \\ &+ \mu \int_{\Omega} g_1(x, \underline{u}(x), \underline{v}(x)) w dx \end{aligned} \quad (47)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla \underline{v}|^{q-2} \nabla \underline{v} \nabla z dx &\leq \lambda \int_{\Omega} f_2(x, \underline{u}(x), \underline{v}(x)) z dx \\ &+ \mu \int_{\Omega} g_2(x, \underline{u}(x), \underline{v}(x)) z dx, \end{aligned} \quad (48)$$

so, we conclude that $(\underline{u}, \underline{v})$ is a lower-solution of system (1) with $\underline{u}, \underline{v} \in C^1(\Omega)$.

2.3 Proof of Theorem 1.1

We prove in the subsections 2.1 and 2.2 that there exists $\lambda_0 > 0$ such that for each $\lambda > \lambda_0$ there exist $\mu_0 = \mu_0(\lambda) > 0$ and (\bar{u}, \bar{v}) , $(\underline{u}, \underline{v})$ that are upper-solution and lower-solution, respectively, of system (1), with $\bar{u} \in C^{1,\alpha_1}(\bar{\Omega})$, $\bar{v} \in C^{1,\alpha_2}(\bar{\Omega})$, and $\underline{u}, \underline{v} \in C^1(\Omega)$, whenever $|\mu| < \mu_0$.

Let be $w \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ and $z \in W_0^{1,q}(\Omega)$ with $w, z \geq 0$ for a.e. in Ω . Then, from (28), (36), and (40), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla \underline{u}|^{p-2} \nabla \underline{u} \nabla w dx &\leq \lambda_p \frac{(\lambda a_2 + 1)}{a_3} \xi^{p-1} \int_{\Omega} w dx \\ &\leq \lambda \frac{a_2 + \frac{1}{\lambda}}{a_2 + 1} \frac{a_1}{2} \int_{\Omega} w dx \\ &\leq \lambda \frac{a_1}{2} \int_{\Omega} w dx, \end{aligned} \quad (49)$$

and, by (28), (37), and (41),

$$\int_{\Omega} |\nabla \underline{v}|^{q-2} \nabla \underline{v} \nabla z dx \leq \lambda \frac{a_1}{2} \int_{\Omega} z dx. \quad (50)$$

However, since that $s_1(p-1) > 1$ and $s_2(q-1) > 1$, changing $\lambda_0 > 0$ by other bigger one, if necessary, we can suppose

$$\lambda \frac{a_1}{2} \leq \min\{\lambda^{s_1(p-1)} A^{p-1}, \lambda^{s_2(q-1)} B^{q-1}\} \quad (51)$$

for all $\lambda \geq \lambda_0$. Hence, from (15), (49), and (51), we conclude that

$$\int_{\Omega} |\nabla \underline{u}|^{p-2} \nabla \underline{u} \nabla w dx \leq \int_{\Omega} |\nabla \bar{u}|^{p-2} \nabla \bar{u} \nabla w dx \quad (52)$$

and by (16), (50), and (51),

$$\int_{\Omega} |\nabla \underline{v}|^{q-2} \nabla \underline{v} \nabla z dx \leq \int_{\Omega} |\nabla \bar{v}|^{q-2} \nabla \bar{v} \nabla z dx, \quad (53)$$

so, by the weak comparison principle (see [3, Lemma 2.2]), we obtain $\underline{u} \leq \bar{u}$ and $\underline{v} \leq \bar{v}$ for all $x \in \Omega$. Thus, by using (2), we obtain by the standard theorem of lower and upper solution (see [10, Theorem 2.4]) a solution $(u, v) \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega) \times W_0^{1,q}(\Omega)$ of system (1) with $\underline{u} \leq u \leq \bar{u}$ and $\underline{v} \leq v \leq \bar{v}$ for almost everywhere in Ω . In particular, we see that $u, v \in L^\infty(\Omega)$ and $u(x) > 0, v(x) > 0$ for a.e. $x \in \Omega$. Then, by Theorem 1 of Tolksdorf [12], we obtain $u \in C^{1,\rho_1}(\Omega)$ and $v \in C^{1,\rho_2}(\Omega)$ for some $\rho_1, \rho_2 > 0$, so $u(x) > 0, v(x) > 0$ for all $x \in \Omega$. ■

3 Proof of Theorem 1.2

We will prove this result by contradiction.

Supposing by contradiction that there exists a nontrivial solution (u, v) of system (1), for some λ, μ satisfying (11), then by variational characterization of λ_p and λ_q , we achieve

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_p \int_{\Omega} |u|^p dx &\leq \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^p dx \\ &\leq \int_{\Omega} [(|\lambda|k_1 + |\mu|k_5)|u|^p + (|\lambda|k_2 + |\mu|k_6)|v|^q] dx \end{aligned} \quad (54)$$

and similarly

$$\lambda_q \int_{\Omega} |v|^q dx \leq \int_{\Omega} [(|\lambda|k_3 + |\mu|k_7)|u|^p + (|\lambda|k_4 + |\mu|k_8)|v|^q] dx. \quad (55)$$

From (54) and (55) we get

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &< \{\lambda_p - [|\lambda|(k_1 + k_3) + |\mu|(k_5 + k_7)]\} \int_{\Omega} |u|^p dx \\ &\quad + \{\lambda_q - [|\lambda|(k_2 + k_4) + |\mu|(k_6 + k_8)]\} \int_{\Omega} |v|^q dx \leq 0, \end{aligned}$$

which is a contradiction. ■

References

- [1] J. Ali, R. Shivaji; Positive solutions for a class of p -Laplacian systems with multiple parameters, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 335 (2007) 1013-1019.
- [2] F. Brock, L. Iturriaga, J. Sánchez, P. Ubilla, Existence of positive solutions for p -laplacian problems with weights, *Comm. Pure Appl. Anal.* 5 (2006) 941-952.
- [3] A. Cañada, P. Drábek, J.L. Gámez, Existence of positive solutions for some problems with nonlinear diffusion, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 349 (1997) 4231-4249.
- [4] A. Castro, M. Hassanpour, R. Shivaji, Uniqueness of non-negative solutions for a semipositone problems with concave nonlinearity, *Commun. Partial Diff. Eqns.* 20 (1995) 1927-1936.
- [5] C. Chen, On positive weak solutions for a class of quasilinear elliptic systems, *Nonlinear Anal.* 62 (2005) 751-756.
- [6] M. Chhetri, D.D. Hai, R. Shivaji, On positive solutions for classes of p -laplacian semipositone systems, *Discr. Cont. Dyn. Syst.* 9 (2003) 1063-1071.
- [7] M. Cuesta, Eigenvalue problems for the p -Laplacian with indefinite weights, *Electronic J. Diff. Eqns.* 2001 (2001) (33) 1-9.
- [8] J. Fleckinger, R. Pardo, F. Thélin, Four-parameter bifurcation for a p -Laplacian system, *Eletronic J. Diff. Eqns.* 2001 (2001) (06) 1-15.
- [9] D.D. Hai, R. Shivaji, An existence result on positive solutions for a class of p -laplacian systems, *Nonlinear Anal. TMA* 56 (2004) 1007-1010.
- [10] O.H. Miyagaki, R. S. Rodrigues, On positive solutions for a class of singular quasilinear elliptic systems, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 334 (2007) 818-833.
- [11] K. Perera, R. Shivaji, Positive solutions of multiparameter semipositone p -Laplacian problems, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 338 (2008) 1397-1400.
- [12] P. Tolksdorf, Regularity for a more general class of quasilinear elliptic equations, *J. Diff. Eqns.* 51 (1984) 126-150.