

# Elliptic equations involving linear and superlinear terms and critical Caffarelli-Kohn-Nirenberg exponent with sign-changing weight functions

Mateus Balbino Guimarães<sup>\*†</sup>; Rodrigo da Silva Rodrigues<sup>‡</sup>

Departamento de Matemática,  
Universidade Federal de São Carlos,  
13565-905, São Carlos, SP, Brasil

## Abstract

In this article we establish the existence and nonexistence of a weak solution to singular elliptic equations involving linear and superlinear terms and critical Caffarelli-Kohn-Nirenberg exponent with sign-changing weight functions.

**keyword:** Variational Methods; critical Caffarelli-Kohn-Nirenberg exponent; existence of solution; nonexistence of solution; singular and sign-changing weights.

**MSC2010:** 35A115, 35B33, 35B25, and 35J60.

## 1 Introduction

This paper deal with existence and nonexistence of a weak solution to the problem

$$\begin{cases} -\operatorname{div}\left(\frac{\nabla u}{|x|^{2a}}\right) - \mu \frac{u}{|x|^{2(a+1)}} = \lambda h(x) \frac{|u|^{q-2}u}{|x|^c} + k(x) \frac{|u|^{2^*-2}u}{|x|^{2^*b}} & \text{in } \Omega \setminus \{0\}, \\ u = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega, \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

where  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$  is an open bounded domain;  $N \geq 3$ ;  $0 \in \Omega$ ;  $a < \frac{N-2}{2}$ ;  $a \leq b < a+1$ ;  $2 \leq q < 2_*$ ;  $c < q(a+1) + N(1-q/2)$ ;  $2_* := \frac{2N}{N-2+2(b-a)}$  is the critical Caffarelli-Kohn-Nirenberg exponent;  $\mu < \bar{\mu}_a := \frac{(N-2(a+1))^2}{4}$ ;  $\lambda$  is a positive parameter and  $h, k$  are continuous functions which may change sign in  $\bar{\Omega}$ .

Similar problems to problem (1) have been studied by many authors. Boucekif and Matallah [1] studied the same problem in the case  $1 < q < 2$ .

---

<sup>\*</sup>mateusbalbino@yahoo.com.br

<sup>†</sup>Supported by Capes-Brazil

<sup>‡</sup>Corresponding author, rodrigrosrodrigues@ig.com.br; rodrigo@dm.ufscar.br

By splitting the Nehari manifold they established the existence of two distinct nontrivial nonnegative solutions to problem (1).

In the celebrated paper of Brezis and Nirenberg [3], when  $\mu = 0$ ,  $a = b = c = 0$ ,  $2_* = \frac{2N}{N-2}$ ,  $h \equiv 1$ , and  $k \equiv 1$ , it was proved that problem (1) possesses a positive solution for each  $\lambda > 0$  if  $2 < q < 2_*$  and for  $\lambda \in (0, \lambda_0)$  if  $q = 2$ , for an adequate  $\lambda_0$ .

Janelli [10] proved that the problem (1) with  $q = 2$ ,  $2_* = \frac{2N}{N-2}$ ,  $a = b = c = 0$ ,  $h \equiv 1$ ,  $k \equiv 1$ , and  $0 < \mu \leq \left(\frac{N-2}{2}\right)^2 - 1$  admits a positive solution for all  $\lambda \in (0, \lambda_1(\mu))$ ; also if  $\left(\frac{N-2}{2}\right)^2 - 1 < \mu < \left(\frac{N-2}{2}\right)^2$  and  $\Omega = B(0; 1)$ , then there exists  $\lambda^* \in (0, \lambda_1(\mu))$  such that the problem (1) admits a positive solution if and only if  $\lambda \in (\lambda^*, \lambda_1(\mu))$ , where  $\lambda_1(\mu)$  is the first eigenvalue of  $-\Delta - |x|^{-2}\mu$ . In [9], Han considered a problem involving the  $p$ -Laplacian operator which, for  $p = 2$ , is similar to problem (1) with  $q = 2$ ,  $2_* = \frac{2N}{N-2}$ ,  $a = b = c = 0$ ,  $h \equiv 1$ , and  $k$  a nonnegative bounded function on  $\bar{\Omega}$ . Han proved that for  $0 < \mu \leq \left(\frac{N-2}{2}\right)^2 - 1$  the problem (1) admits at least one positive solution for all  $\lambda \in (0, \lambda_1(\mu))$ . Xuan [15] studied the problem (1) when  $q = 2$ ,  $h \equiv 1$ , and  $k \equiv 1$ .

For the case  $2 < q < 2_*$ , we refer the reader to [5], [12], and [13]. Chaudhuri and Ramaswamy [5] proved the existence of a weak solution to problem (1) when  $2_* = \frac{2N}{N-2}$ ,  $a = b = c = 0$ ,  $k \equiv 1$ , and  $h$  is positive satisfying some conditions. Also when  $2_* = \frac{2N}{N-2}$ ,  $a = b = c = 0$ ,  $h \equiv 1$ ,  $k \equiv 1$ , and  $\mu$  replaced by a continuous positive function Miyagaki [12] established the existence of a nontrivial solution to problem (1) for all  $\lambda > 0$  and  $N \geq 4$ . Rodrigues [13] studied problem (1) when  $\mu = 0$ ,  $\lambda = 1$ ,  $k \equiv 1$ , and  $h$  is a continuous function which changes sign in  $\bar{\Omega}$ . Applying the Mountain Pass Theorem he obtained a nonnegative nontrivial solution to problem (1). He also considered the cases when  $q = 2$  and  $1 < q < 2$ .

Problems involving the more general operator  $L_{\mu,a}u := -\operatorname{div}(|x|^{-2a}\nabla u) - \mu|x|^{-2(a+1)}u$  have been the subject of many papers, see for example [8] for  $a = 0$  and  $\mu < \bar{\mu}_0$  and [7] or [15] for general case, that is  $-\infty < a < \frac{N-2}{2}$  and  $\mu < \bar{\mu}_a$ . Looking carefully to  $L_{\mu,a}$ , we note that degeneracy and singularity occur in the problem (1). In these situations, we can't apply the classical methods directly due to the degenerate (or singular) character of the operator  $L_{\mu,a}$ .

The variational approach to these problems follows from Caffarelli-Kohn-Nirenberg inequality in [4]: there is a positive constant  $C_{a,b}$  such that

$$\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |x|^{-2_*b}|u|^{2_*} dx\right)^{1/2_*} \leq C_{a,b} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |x|^{-2a}|\nabla u|^2 dx\right)^{1/2}, \quad \forall u \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N), \quad (2)$$

where  $-\infty < a < (N-2)/2$ ,  $a \leq b < a+1$ , and  $2_* = 2N/(N-2+2(b-a))$ . In (2), as  $b = a+1$ , then  $2_* = 2$  and we have the following weighted Hardy inequality [6]:

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |x|^{-2(a+1)}u^2 dx \leq \frac{1}{\bar{\mu}_a} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |x|^{-2a}|\nabla u|^2 dx, \quad \forall u \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N).$$

We define the weighted Sobolev space  $D_a^{1,2}(\Omega)$  as the completion of the space

$C_0^\infty(\Omega)$  with respect to the norm

$$\|u\|_{0,a} = \left( \int_{\Omega} |x|^{-2a} |\nabla u|^2 dx \right)^{1/2}.$$

Define  $H_\mu$  as the completion of the space  $C_0^\infty(\Omega)$  with respect to the norm

$$\|u\|_{\mu,a} := \left( \int_{\Omega} \left( |x|^{-2a} |\nabla u|^2 - \mu |x|^{-2(a+1)} u^2 \right) dx \right)^{1/2},$$

for  $-\infty < \mu < \bar{\mu}_a$ . Similarly we define  $H_\mu(\mathbb{R}^N)$ . Note that, by weighted Hardy inequality,  $\|\cdot\|_{\mu,a}$  and  $\|\cdot\|_{0,a}$  are equivalent.

As in Xuan [16], there exists  $C > 0$  such that

$$\left( \int_{\Omega} |x|^{-c} |u|^p dx \right)^{1/p} \leq C \left( \int_{\Omega} |x|^{-2a} |\nabla u|^2 dx \right)^{1/2}, \quad \forall u \in D_a^{1,2}, \quad (3)$$

for  $1 \leq p \leq 2N/(N-2)$ ,  $c \leq p(a+1) + N(1-p/2)$ , and  $a < (N-2)/2$ . Moreover, if  $1 \leq p < 2N/(N-2)$ ,  $c < p(a+1) + N(1-p/2)$ , and  $a < (N-2)/2$ , the embedding

$$D_a^{1,2}(\Omega) \hookrightarrow L_p(\Omega, |x|^{-c})$$

is compact, where  $L_p(\Omega, |x|^{-c})$  is the weighted  $L_p$  space with norm

$$\|u\|_{p,c} = \left( \int_{\Omega} |x|^{-c} |u|^p dx \right)^{1/p}.$$

Thus we obtain the compact embedding

$$H_\mu \hookrightarrow L_p(\Omega, |x|^{-c}),$$

where  $1 \leq p < 2N/(N-2)$  and  $c < p(a+1) + N(1-p/2)$ .

Due to the critical exponent in problem (1), we don't have the compact embedding  $H_\mu \hookrightarrow L_{2^*}(\Omega, |x|^{-2^*b})$ . As in Brezis and Nirenberg [3], this trouble was solved by use of the extremal functions. Xuan [15] proved that under conditions  $N \geq 3$ ,  $a < (N-2)/2$ ,  $0 < \sqrt{\bar{\mu}_a} - \sqrt{\bar{\mu}_a - \mu} + a < (N-2)/2$ ,  $a \leq b < a+1$ , and  $\mu < \bar{\mu}_a - b^2$ , for  $\varepsilon > 0$ , the function

$$w_\varepsilon(x) = C_0 \varepsilon^{\frac{2}{2^*-2}} \left( \varepsilon^{\frac{2\sqrt{\bar{\mu}_a - \mu}}{\sqrt{\bar{\mu}_a - \mu} - b}} |x|^{\frac{2^*-2}{2}(\sqrt{\bar{\mu}_a} - \sqrt{\bar{\mu}_a - \mu})} + |x|^{\frac{2^*-2}{2}(\sqrt{\bar{\mu}_a} + \sqrt{\bar{\mu}_a - \mu})} \right)^{-\frac{2}{2^*-2}}, \quad (4)$$

with a suitable positive constant  $C_0$ , is a weak solution of

$$-\operatorname{div}(|x|^{-2a} \nabla u) - \mu |x|^{-2(a+1)} u = |x|^{-2^*b} |u|^{2^*-2} u \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N \setminus \{0\}.$$

Furthermore,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |x|^{-2a} |\nabla w_\varepsilon|^2 dx - \mu \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |x|^{-2(a+1)} w_\varepsilon^2 dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |x|^{-2^*b} |w_\varepsilon|^{2^*} dx = (A_{a,b,\mu})^{\frac{2^*}{2^*-2}},$$

where  $A_{a,b,\mu}$  is the best constant,

$$A_{a,b,\mu} = \inf_{u \in H_\mu(\mathbb{R}^N) \setminus \{0\}} E_{a,b,\mu}(u) = E_{a,b,\mu}(w_\varepsilon), \quad (5)$$

with

$$E_{a,b,\mu}(u) := \frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |x|^{-2a} |\nabla u|^2 dx - \mu \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |x|^{-2(a+1)} u^2 dx}{\left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |x|^{-2_* b} |u|^{2_*} dx \right)^{2/2_*}}.$$

Also, Lin [11] proved for  $0 \leq a < (N-2)/2$ ,  $a \leq b < a+1$ , and  $0 \leq \mu < \bar{\mu}_a$ , that the function defined for  $\varepsilon > 0$  as

$$\tilde{w}_\varepsilon(x) = (2 \cdot 2_* \varepsilon^2 (\bar{\mu}_a - \mu))^{\frac{1}{2_* - 2}} \left( \varepsilon^2 |x|^{\frac{(2_* - 2)(\sqrt{\bar{\mu}_a} - \sqrt{\bar{\mu}_a - \mu})}{2}} + |x|^{\frac{2_* - 2}{2}(\sqrt{\bar{\mu}_a} + \sqrt{\bar{\mu}_a - \mu})} \right)^{-\frac{2}{2_* - 2}} \quad (6)$$

is a weak solution of

$$-\operatorname{div}(|x|^{-2a} \nabla u) - \mu |x|^{-2(a+1)} u = |x|^{-2_* b} |u|^{2_* - 2} u \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^N \setminus \{0\},$$

and satisfies

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |x|^{-2a} |\nabla \tilde{w}_\varepsilon|^2 dx - \mu \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |x|^{-2(a+1)} \tilde{w}_\varepsilon^2 dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |x|^{-2_* b} |\tilde{w}_\varepsilon|^{2_*} dx = (B_{a,b,\mu})^{\frac{2_*}{2_* - 2}},$$

where  $B_{a,b,\mu}$  is the best constant,

$$B_{a,b,\mu} := \inf_{u \in H_\mu(\mathbb{R}^N) \setminus \{0\}} E_{a,b,\mu}(u) = E_{a,b,\mu}(\tilde{w}_\varepsilon). \quad (7)$$

By using (5) and (7), we define

$$S_{a,b,\mu} := \begin{cases} A_{a,b,\mu}, & \text{if } (a, \mu) \in ]-1, 0[ \times ]0, \bar{\mu}_a - b^2[ \cup ]0, \frac{N-2}{2}[ \times ]a(a-N+2), \bar{\mu}_a - b^2[, \\ B_{a,b,\mu}, & \text{if } (a, \mu) \in ]0, \frac{N-2}{2}[ \times ]0, \bar{\mu}_a[. \end{cases} \quad (8)$$

We will need of the first eigenvalue associated to the eigenvalue problem of the operator  $L_{\mu,a} u := -\operatorname{div}(|x|^{-2a} \nabla u) - \mu |x|^{-2(a+1)} u$ :

$$\begin{cases} -\operatorname{div} \left( \frac{\nabla u}{|x|^{2a}} \right) - \mu \frac{u}{|x|^{2(a+1)}} = \lambda h(x) |x|^{-c} u & \text{in } \Omega \setminus \{0\}, \\ u = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega, \end{cases} \quad (9)$$

where  $\Omega$  is an open bounded domain,  $N \geq 3$ ,  $0 \in \Omega$ ,  $a < \frac{N-2}{2}$ ,  $c < 2(a+1)$ ,  $0 \leq \mu < \bar{\mu}_a := \frac{(N-2(a+1))^2}{4}$ ,  $\lambda$  is a positive parameter, and  $h$  is a positive continuous function defined in  $\bar{\Omega}$ . As in Wu [17], we conclude that the first eigenvalue to problem (9) is given by

$$\lambda_1 = \inf \left\{ \int_{\Omega} \left( |x|^{-2a} |\nabla u|^2 - \mu |x|^{-2(a+1)} u^2 \right) dx; u \in H_\mu, \int_{\Omega} |x|^{-c} h(x) u^2 dx = 1 \right\}. \quad (10)$$

and it is positive.

In our work we prove the existence of a nontrivial nonnegative solution to problem (1) in two cases, when  $2 < q < 2_*$  and when  $q = 2$ . In both cases we use the Mountain Pass Theorem. For the case  $q = 2$ , we also prove a nonexistence result.

As in the work of Boucekif and Matallah [1] we consider the following assumptions:

- (H)  $h$  is a continuous function defined in  $\bar{\Omega}$  and there exist  $h_0$  and  $\rho_0$  positive constants such that  $h(x) \geq h_0$  for all  $x \in B(0, 2\rho_0)$ , where  $B(a, r)$  is a ball centered at  $a$  with radius  $r$ ;
- (K)  $k$  is a continuous function defined in  $\bar{\Omega}$  and satisfies  $k(0) = \max_{x \in \bar{\Omega}} k(x) > 0$ ,  $k(x) = k(0) + O(x^\beta)$  for  $x \in B(0, 2\rho_0)$  with  $\beta > 2_*\sqrt{\bar{\mu}_a - \mu}$ ;

and one of the following two assumptions

- (A1)  $N \geq 3$  and

$$(a, \mu) \in ]-1, 0[ \times ]0, \bar{\mu}_a - b^2[ \cup ]0, \frac{N-2}{2}[ \times ]a(a - N + 2), \bar{\mu}_a - b^2[ ,$$

- (A2)  $N \geq 3$  and  $(a, \mu) \in [0, \frac{N-2}{2}[ \times [0, \bar{\mu}_a[$ .

The aim of our work is to prove the following theorems:

**Theorem 1.1** *Suppose that  $a < (N - 2)/2$ ,  $a \leq b < a + 1$ ,  $2 < q < 2_*$ ,  $c < q(a + 1) + N(1 - q/2)$ , (H) and (K) hold,  $k(x) > 0$  for all  $x \in \Omega$ , and (A1) or (A2) is satisfied. Then, for all  $\lambda > 0$ , the problem (1) has at least one nontrivial nonnegative solution in  $H_\mu$ .*

**Theorem 1.2** *Suppose that  $a < (N - 2)/2$ ,  $a \leq b < a + 1$ ,  $q = 2$ ,  $c < 2(a + 1)$ ,  $0 \leq \mu < \bar{\mu}_a := \frac{(N-2(a+1))^2}{4}$ , (H) and (K) hold,  $h(x) > 0$  for all  $x \in \Omega$ , and (A1) or (A2) is satisfied. If  $\lambda_1$  is the first eigenvalue to problem (9) then the problem (1) has at least one nontrivial nonnegative solution in  $H_\mu$  for all  $\lambda \in (0, \lambda_1)$ .*

**Theorem 1.3** *Suppose that  $a < (N - 2)/2$ ,  $a \leq b < a + 1$ ,  $q = 2$ ,  $c < 2(a + 1)$ ,  $0 \leq \mu < \bar{\mu}_a := \frac{(N-2(a+1))^2}{4}$ , (H) and (K) hold,  $h(x) > 0$  for all  $x \in \Omega$ , and  $k(x) > 0$  for all  $x \in \Omega$ . If  $\lambda_1$  is the first eigenvalue of the problem (9) then the problem (1) has not nontrivial nonnegative solution in  $H_\mu$ , for all  $\lambda > \lambda_1$ .*

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we give some preliminaries. Section 3 is dedicated to Theorem 1.1 and Section 4 to Theorems 1.2 and 1.3.

## 2 Preliminary results

Since our approach is variational, we define the Euler-Lagrange functional  $I_{\lambda, \mu}$  as

$$I_{\lambda, \mu}(u) = \frac{1}{2} \|u\|_{\mu, a}^2 - \frac{\lambda}{q} \int_{\Omega} h(x) |x|^{-c} u_+^q dx - \frac{1}{2_*} \int_{\Omega} k(x) |x|^{-2_* b} u_+^{2_*} dx,$$

for all  $u \in H_\mu$ . The functional  $I_{\lambda,\mu}$  is well defined in  $H_\mu$  and  $I_{\lambda,\mu} \in C^1(H_\mu, \mathbb{R})$ .

We say that  $u \in H_\mu$  is a weak solution of the problem (1) if it satisfies

$$\int_{\Omega} (|x|^{-2a} \nabla u \nabla v - \mu |x|^{-2(a+1)} uv - \lambda h(x) |x|^{-c} u_+^{q-1} v - k(x) |x|^{-2_* b} u_+^{2_*-1} v) dx = 0$$

for all  $v \in H_\mu$ .

**Theorem 2.1** *If  $u$  is a weak solution of the problem (1), then  $u \geq 0$  almost everywhere in  $\Omega$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $u$  be a weak solution of the problem (1). As  $u = u_+ - u_-$  and  $u_+ u_- = 0$ , we have

$$0 = \langle I'_{\lambda,\mu}(u), u_- \rangle = -\|u_-\|_{\mu,a}^2,$$

which means that  $u_- = 0$  almost everywhere in  $\Omega$ . Thus,  $u = u_+ \geq 0$  almost everywhere in  $\Omega$ . ■

**Definition.** *Let  $E$  be a Banach space and consider a functional  $I \in C^1(E, \mathbb{R})$ . We say that  $(u_n) \subset E$  is a Palais-Smale sequence at level  $l$ ,  $((PS)_l)$ , in short if  $I(u_n) \rightarrow l$  and  $I'(u_n) \rightarrow 0$  in  $E^{-1}$  (dual of  $E$ ), as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .*

**Theorem 2.2** *Let  $(u_n)$  be a  $(PS)_l$  sequence and  $u_n \rightharpoonup u$  weakly in  $H_\mu$ , as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Then,  $u$  is a weak solution of the problem (1).*

*Proof.* Since  $u_n \rightharpoonup u$  weakly in  $H_\mu$ , as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , we have that  $(u_n)$  is bounded in  $H_\mu$ . Now, as  $\|u_n\|_{2_*,b} \leq C \|u_n\|_{\mu,a}$ , we have  $(u_n)$  bounded in  $L_{2_*}(\Omega, |x|^{-2_* b})$ . Since  $L_{2_*}(\Omega, |x|^{-2_* b})$  is reflexive,

$$u_n \rightharpoonup u \text{ in } L_{2_*}(\Omega, |x|^{-2_* b}), \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

As the embedding  $H_\mu \hookrightarrow L_q(\Omega, |x|^{-c})$  is compact, we have

$$u_n \rightarrow u \text{ in } L_q(\Omega, |x|^{-c}), \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Then

$$\|u_n - u\|_{q,c} \rightarrow 0, \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Passing to a subsequence if necessary, it follows that

$$u_n(x) \rightarrow u(x), \text{ almost everywhere (a.e.) in } \Omega, \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty,$$

and there exists a function  $g(x) \in L_q(\Omega, |x|^{-c})$  such that  $|u_n(x)| \leq g(x)$ , a.e. in  $\Omega$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

We consider for  $w \in H_\mu$  the functional  $F_w : H_\mu \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , given by

$$F_w(u) = \int_{\Omega} (|x|^{-2a} \nabla u \nabla w - \mu |x|^{-2(a+1)} uw) dx, \quad \forall u \in H_\mu.$$

Evidently, we have  $F_w \in H_\mu^{-1}$  (dual of  $H_\mu$ ) and it follows from weak convergence definition that  $F_w(u_n) \rightarrow F_w(u)$ , as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Then we obtain

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} (|x|^{-2a} \nabla u_n \nabla w - \mu |x|^{-2(a+1)} u_n w) dx = \int_{\Omega} (|x|^{-2a} \nabla u \nabla w - \mu |x|^{-2(a+1)} uw) dx. \quad (11)$$

Considering the function  $g(x) \in L_q(\Omega, |x|^{-c})$  such that  $|u_n(x)| \leq g(x)$ , *a.e.* in  $\Omega$ , for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , we have  $g^q \in L_1(\Omega, |x|^{-c})$  and

$$|h(x)|x|^{-c}u_{n+}^{q-1}w| \leq |h^+|_{\infty}|x|^{-c}|u_n|^{q-1}|w| \leq |h^+|_{\infty}|x|^{-c}|g|^{q-1}|w|,$$

for all  $w \in H_{\mu}$ . Using the Hölder's inequality

$$\int_{\Omega} |h^+|_{\infty}|x|^{-c}|g|^{q-1}|w|dx \leq |h^+|_{\infty} \left( \int_{\Omega} |x|^{-c}|w|^q dx \right)^{1/q} \left( \int_{\Omega} |x|^{-c}|g|^q dx \right)^{(q-1)/q} < \infty.$$

Thus  $|h^+|_{\infty}|x|^{-c}|g|^{q-1}|w| \in L_1(\Omega, |x|^{-c})$  and from the Dominated Convergence Theorem we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} h(x)|x|^{-c}u_{n+}^{q-1}w dx = \int_{\Omega} h(x)|x|^{-c}u_+^{q-1}w dx. \quad (12)$$

Observe that

$$\left( \int_{\Omega} |x|^{-2_*b}|u_{n+}^{2_*-1}|^{\frac{2_*}{2_*-1}} dx \right)^{\frac{2_*-1}{2_*}} = \left( \int_{\Omega} |x|^{-2_*b}|u_n|^{2_*} dx \right)^{\frac{2_*-1}{2_*}} < \infty,$$

which implies  $u_{n+}^{2_*-1} \in L_{\frac{2_*}{2_*-1}}(\Omega, |x|^{-2_*b})$ . Since  $L_{\frac{2_*}{2_*-1}}(\Omega, |x|^{-2_*b})$  is the dual of  $L_{2_*}(\Omega, |x|^{-2_*b})$  and  $u_n \rightharpoonup u$  in  $L_{2_*}(\Omega, |x|^{-2_*b})$ , as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , we have

$$u_{n+}^{2_*-1} \rightharpoonup u_+^{2_*-1}, \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

We define for all  $w \in H_{\mu}$  the continuous linear functional  $f_w : L_{\frac{2_*}{2_*-1}}(\Omega, |x|^{-2_*b}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  given by  $f_w(u) = \int_{\Omega} k(x)|x|^{-2_*b}uwdx$ . Thus we have  $f_w(u_{n+}^{2_*-1}) \rightarrow f_w(u_+^{2_*-1})$ , as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , and we obtain

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} k(x)|x|^{-2_*b}u_{n+}^{2_*-1}w dx = \int_{\Omega} k(x)|x|^{-2_*b}u_+^{2_*-1}w dx. \quad (13)$$

It follows from (11), (12), and (13) that

$$\langle I'_{\lambda, \mu}(u_n), w \rangle \rightarrow \langle I'_{\lambda, \mu}(u), w \rangle, \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty, \quad \forall w \in H_{\mu}.$$

Since  $\langle I'_{\lambda, \mu}(u_n), w \rangle \rightarrow 0$ , as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , for all  $w \in H_{\mu}$ , we obtain

$$\langle I'_{\lambda, \mu}(u), w \rangle = 0, \quad \forall w \in H_{\mu},$$

that is,  $u$  is a weak solution of the problem (1). ■

### 3 Case $2 < q < 2_*$

In this section, we will study the problem (1) in the case  $2 < q < 2_*$ . Adding the hypothesis  $k$  positive in  $\Omega$  we will show the existence of at least one nontrivial weak solution to this problem by Mountain Pass Theorem.

**Lemma 3.1** For all  $\lambda > 0$  there exists a  $(PS)_c$  sequence  $(u_n) \subset H_\mu$  for  $I_{\lambda,\mu}$  where

$$0 < c = \inf_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \max_{t \in [0,1]} I_{\lambda,\mu}(\gamma(t)),$$

$$\Gamma = \{\gamma \in C([0,1]); \gamma(0) = 0, \gamma(1) = t_0 u_0\},$$

and  $u_0 \in H_\mu$  is such that  $\int_\Omega k(x)|x|^{-2_*b} u_{0+}^{2_*} dx > 0$ .

*Proof.* We will verify that  $I_{\lambda,\mu}$  satisfies the geometric conditions of the Mountain Pass Theorem without the Palais-Smale condition. Indeed:

1)  $I_{\lambda,\mu}(0) = 0$ .

2) Let  $u \in H_\mu$  and  $\lambda > 0$ . Then, by using (3) and (8), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} I_{\lambda,\mu}(u) &\geq \|u\|_{\mu,a}^2 \left( \frac{1}{2} - \frac{\lambda}{q} |h^+|_\infty C_1(S_{a,b,\mu})^{-q/2} \|u\|_{\mu,a}^{q-2} \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \frac{1}{2_*} |k^+|_\infty(S_{a,b,\mu})^{-2_*/2} \|u\|_{\mu,a}^{2_*-2} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

We define  $H : (0, +\infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  given by

$$H(s) = \frac{\lambda}{q} |h^+|_\infty C_1(S_{a,b,\mu})^{-q/2} s^{q-2} + \frac{1}{2_*} |k^+|_\infty(S_{a,b,\mu})^{-2_*/2} s^{2_*-2}, \quad \forall s \in (0, +\infty).$$

Note that  $H(s) \rightarrow 0$ , as  $s \rightarrow 0$ . Thus, there exists  $\rho > 0$  such that  $H(s) < \frac{1}{2}$  for all  $0 < s \leq \rho$ . Using (14), we obtain  $\delta > 0$  satisfying  $I_{\lambda,\mu}(u) \geq \delta > 0$  if  $\|u\|_{\mu,a} = \rho$ ,  $u \in H_\mu$ .

3) Let  $t > 0$  and  $u_0 \in H_\mu$  such that  $\int_\Omega k(x)|x|^{-2_*b} u_{0+}^{2_*} dx > 0$ . We have

$$I_{\lambda,\mu}(tu_0) = \frac{t^2}{2} \|u_0\|_{\mu,a}^2 - \lambda \frac{t^q}{q} \int_\Omega h(x)|x|^{-c} u_{0+}^q dx - \frac{t^{2_*}}{2_*} \int_\Omega k(x)|x|^{-2_*b} u_{0+}^{2_*} dx.$$

Since  $2 < q < 2_*$  we have  $I_{\lambda,\mu}(tu_0) \rightarrow -\infty$ , as  $t \rightarrow +\infty$ . Then there exists  $t_0 > 0$  satisfying  $\|t_0 u_0\|_{\mu,a} > \rho$  and  $I_{\lambda,\mu}(t_0 u_0) < 0$ .

It follows from 1), 2), and 3) that  $I_{\lambda,\mu}$  satisfies the geometric conditions of the Mountain Pass Theorem without Palais-Smale condition and the Lemma is proved.  $\blacksquare$

**Lemma 3.2** Suppose  $(K)$  holds and  $k(x) > 0$  for all  $x \in \Omega$ . Let  $(u_n)$  be a  $(PS)_l$  sequence with  $u_n \rightharpoonup u$  weakly in  $H_\mu$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Then

$$I'_{\lambda,\mu}(u) = 0 \text{ in } H_\mu^{-1} \text{ and } I_{\lambda,\mu}(u) \geq 0.$$

*Proof.* Since  $(u_n)$  is a  $(PS)_l$  sequence with  $u_n \rightharpoonup u$  weakly in  $H_\mu$ , as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , it follows from Theorem 2.2 that  $u$  is a weak solution of the problem (1). So we obtain that  $I'_{\lambda,\mu}(u) = 0$  in  $H_\mu^{-1}$ .

Observe now that

$$I_{\lambda,\mu}(u) - \frac{1}{q} \langle I'_{\lambda,\mu}(u), u \rangle = \left( \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{q} \right) \|u\|_{\mu,a}^2 + \left( \frac{1}{q} - \frac{1}{2_*} \right) \int_\Omega k(x)|x|^{-2_*b} u_+^{2_*} dx.$$



Since  $I'_{\lambda,\mu}(u) = 0$ , we have  $\langle I'_{\lambda,\mu}(u), u \rangle = 0$  which implies

$$I_{\lambda,\mu}(u) = \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{q}\right) \|u\|_{\mu,a}^2 + \left(\frac{1}{q} - \frac{1}{2_*}\right) \int_{\Omega} k(x)|x|^{-2_*b} u_+^{2_*} dx.$$

Moreover, since  $2 < q < 2_*$  and  $k(x) > 0$  for all  $x \in \Omega$ , we obtain  $I_{\lambda,\mu}(u) \geq 0$ . ■

**Theorem 3.1** *Suppose (K) holds and  $k(x) > 0$  for all  $x \in \Omega$ . Let  $(u_n)$  be a sequence in  $H_{\mu}$  such that*

$$I_{\lambda,\mu}(u_n) \rightarrow l < l^* = \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2_*}\right) |k^+|_{\infty}^{\frac{-2}{2_*-2}} (S_{a,b,\mu})^{2_*/(2_*-2)} \quad (15)$$

and

$$I'_{\lambda,\mu}(u_n) \rightarrow 0 \text{ in } H_{\mu}^{-1}, \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty. \quad (16)$$

Then there exists a subsequence strongly convergent in  $H_{\mu}$ .

*Proof.* From (15) and (16), we have  $I_{\lambda,\mu}(u_n) = l + o_n(1)$  and  $I'_{\lambda,\mu}(u_n) = o_n(1)$ . Moreover,

$$-\langle I'_{\lambda,\mu}(u_n), u_n \rangle \leq |\langle I'_{\lambda,\mu}(u_n), u_n \rangle| \leq |I'_{\lambda,\mu}(u_n)| \cdot \|u_n\|_{\mu,a}.$$

Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} l + o_n(1) + o_n(1) \frac{1}{q} \|u_n\|_{\mu,a} &\geq I_{\lambda,\mu}(u_n) - \frac{1}{q} \langle I'_{\lambda,\mu}(u_n), u_n \rangle \\ &= \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{q}\right) \|u_n\|_{\mu,a}^2 + \left(\frac{1}{q} - \frac{1}{2_*}\right) \int_{\Omega} k(x)|x|^{-2_*b} u_{n+}^{2_*} dx \\ &\geq \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{q}\right) \|u_n\|_{\mu,a}^2, \end{aligned} \quad (17)$$

which implies

$$\frac{l + o_n(1)}{\|u_n\|_{\mu,a}} + o_n(1) \frac{1}{q} \geq \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{q}\right) \|u_n\|_{\mu,a}.$$

So we have  $(u_n)$  bounded in  $H_{\mu}$ .

Since  $H_{\mu}$  is reflexive, passing to a subsequence if necessary, we have

$$u_n \rightharpoonup u_{\lambda} \text{ in } H_{\mu}, \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

It follows from Theorem 2.2 that  $u$  is a weak solution of the problem (1).

We denote  $v_n = u_n - u$ . Since  $k(x)$  is continuous in  $\Omega$ , it follows from Brézis-Lieb [2] that

$$\int_{\Omega} k(x) \frac{u_{n+}^{2_*}}{|x|^{2_*b}} dx = \int_{\Omega} k(x) \frac{v_{n+}^{2_*}}{|x|^{2_*b}} dx + \int_{\Omega} k(x) \frac{u_+^{2_*}}{|x|^{2_*b}} dx + o_n(1) \quad (18)$$

and

$$\|u_n\|_{\mu,a}^2 = \|v_n\|_{\mu,a}^2 + \|u\|_{\mu,a}^2 + o_n(1). \quad (19)$$

The Dominated Convergence Theorem implies

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} h(x) \frac{u_{n+}^q}{|x|^c} dx = \int_{\Omega} h(x) \frac{u_+^q}{|x|^c} dx. \quad (20)$$

From (18), (19), and (20), we obtain

$$I_{\lambda, \mu}(u_n) = I_{\lambda, \mu}(u) + \frac{1}{2} \|v_n\|_{\mu, a}^2 - \frac{1}{2_*} \int_{\Omega} k(x) \frac{v_{n+}^{2_*}}{|x|^{2_* b}} dx + o_n(1) \quad (21)$$

and

$$\langle I'_{\lambda, \mu}(u_n), u_n \rangle = \langle I'_{\lambda, \mu}(u), u \rangle + \|v_n\|_{\mu, a}^2 - \int_{\Omega} k(x) \frac{v_{n+}^{2_*}}{|x|^{2_* b}} dx + o_n(1).$$

Then

$$\|v_n\|_{\mu, a}^2 - \int_{\Omega} k(x) \frac{v_{n+}^{2_*}}{|x|^{2_* b}} dx = \langle I'_{\lambda, \mu}(u_n), u_n \rangle - \langle I'_{\lambda, \mu}(u), u \rangle + o_n(1).$$

Since  $u$  is a weak solution of (1), we have

$$\langle I'_{\lambda, \mu}(u), u \rangle = 0. \quad (22)$$

Also, since  $I'_{\lambda, \mu}(u_n) \rightarrow 0$  in  $H_{\mu}^{-1}$ , as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , and  $(u_n)$  is bounded in  $H_{\mu}$ , we have

$$|\langle I'_{\lambda, \mu}(u_n), u_n \rangle| \leq |I'_{\lambda, \mu}(u_n)| \|u_n\|_{\mu, a} \rightarrow 0, \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty. \quad (23)$$

It follows from (22) and (23) that

$$\langle I'_{\lambda, \mu}(u_n), u_n \rangle - \langle I'_{\lambda, \mu}(u), u \rangle = o_n(1).$$

Then

$$\|v_n\|_{\mu, a}^2 - \int_{\Omega} k(x) \frac{v_{n+}^{2_*}}{|x|^{2_* b}} dx = o_n(1). \quad (24)$$

Moreover, as  $(v_n)$  is bounded we have that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|v_n\|_{\mu, a}$  exists. It follows from (24) that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|v_n\|_{\mu, a}^2 = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} k(x) \frac{v_{n+}^{2_*}}{|x|^{2_* b}} dx.$$

Then there exists  $\theta \geq 0$  such that

$$\|v_n\|_{\mu, a}^2 \rightarrow \theta \quad \text{and} \quad \int_{\Omega} k(x) \frac{v_{n+}^{2_*}}{|x|^{2_* b}} dx \rightarrow \theta, \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

By definition of  $S_{a, b, \mu}$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|v_n\|_{\mu, a}^2 &\geq S_{a, b, \mu} \left( \int_{\Omega} \frac{v_{n+}^{2_*}}{|x|^{2_* b}} dx \right)^{2/2_*} \\ &\geq S_{a, b, \mu} \left( \int_{\Omega} k(x) \frac{v_{n+}^{2_*}}{|x|^{2_* b}} dx \right)^{2/2_*} |k^+|_{\infty}^{-2/2_*}. \end{aligned}$$

Taking the limit as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , we obtain

$$\theta \geq S_{a,b,\mu} |k^+|_{\infty}^{-2/2_*} \theta^{2/2_*}.$$

Assume  $\theta \neq 0$ , then

$$\theta^{(2_*-2)/2_*} \geq S_{a,b,\mu} |k^+|_{\infty}^{-2/2_*}$$

which implies

$$\theta \geq S_{a,b,\mu}^{2_*/(2_*-2)} |k^+|_{\infty}^{-2/(2_*-2)}.$$

We get by (21) and Lemma 3.2 that

$$\begin{aligned} l &= I_{\lambda,\mu}(u) + \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2_*}\right) \theta \\ &\geq \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2_*}\right) |k^+|_{\infty}^{-\frac{2}{2_*-2}} (S_{a,b,\mu})^{2_*/(2_*-2)} = l^* \end{aligned}$$

which is a contradiction. So,  $\theta = 0$  and we obtain  $u_n \rightarrow u$  strongly in  $H_{\mu}$ , as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .  $\blacksquare$

In the following, we shall give some estimates for the extremal functions defined in (4) and (6). Set  $u_{\varepsilon}(x) = z(\varepsilon)v_{\varepsilon}(x)$  where

$$v_{\varepsilon}(x) = \begin{cases} \left( \varepsilon^{\frac{2\sqrt{\bar{\mu}_a-\mu}}{\sqrt{\bar{\mu}_a-\mu}-b}} |x|^{\frac{2_*-2}{2}} (\sqrt{\bar{\mu}_a}-\sqrt{\bar{\mu}_a-\mu}) + |x|^{\frac{2_*-2}{2}} (\sqrt{\bar{\mu}_a}+\sqrt{\bar{\mu}_a-\mu}) \right)^{-\frac{2}{2_*-2}}, & \text{if (A1) holds,} \\ \left( \varepsilon^2 |x|^{\frac{2_*-2}{2}} (\sqrt{\bar{\mu}_a}-\sqrt{\bar{\mu}_a-\mu}) + |x|^{\frac{2_*-2}{2}} (\sqrt{\bar{\mu}_a}+\sqrt{\bar{\mu}_a-\mu}) \right)^{-\frac{2}{2_*-2}}, & \text{if (A2) holds,} \end{cases}$$

and

$$z(\varepsilon) = \begin{cases} C_0 \varepsilon^{\frac{2}{2_*-2}}, & \text{if (A1) holds,} \\ (2.2_* \varepsilon^2 (\bar{\mu}_a - \mu))^{\frac{1}{2_*-2}}, & \text{if (A2) holds,} \end{cases} \quad (25)$$

with  $C_0$  given in (4).

As in [15] and [11] cited before,  $u_{\varepsilon}$  is a weak solution to problem:

$$-\operatorname{div}(|x|^{-2a} \nabla u) - \mu |x|^{-2(a+1)} u = |x|^{-2_*b} |u|^{2_*-2} u \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N \setminus \{0\}$$

and  $u_{\varepsilon}$  satisfies

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |x|^{-2a} |\nabla u_{\varepsilon}|^2 dx - \mu \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |x|^{-2(a+1)} u_{\varepsilon}^2 dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |x|^{-2_*b} |u_{\varepsilon}|^{2_*} dx = (S_{a,b,\mu})^{\frac{2_*}{2_*-2}}, \quad (26)$$

where

$$S_{a,b,\mu} = \inf_{u \in H_{\mu}(\mathbb{R}^N) \setminus \{0\}} \frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |x|^{-2a} |\nabla u|^2 dx - \mu \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |x|^{-2(a+1)} u^2 dx}{\left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |x|^{-2_*b} |u|^{2_*} dx \right)^{2/2_*}}.$$

From (26) we have

$$\begin{aligned} (S_{a,b,\mu})^{\frac{2_*}{2_*-2}} &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |x|^{-2a} |\nabla(z(\varepsilon)v_\varepsilon(x))|^2 dx - \mu \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |x|^{-2(a+1)} (z(\varepsilon)v_\varepsilon(x))^2 dx \\ &= [z(\varepsilon)]^2 \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |x|^{-2a} |\nabla v_\varepsilon(x)|^2 dx - \mu \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |x|^{-2(a+1)} (v_\varepsilon(x))^2 dx \right) \end{aligned}$$

which implies

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |x|^{-2a} |\nabla v_\varepsilon(x)|^2 - \mu |x|^{-2(a+1)} (v_\varepsilon(x))^2 dx = [z(\varepsilon)]^{-2} (S_{a,b,\mu})^{\frac{2_*}{2_*-2}}.$$

So

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |x|^{-2_*b} |v_\varepsilon(x)|^{2_*} dx = [z(\varepsilon)]^{-2_*} (S_{a,b,\mu})^{\frac{2_*}{2_*-2}}.$$

Let  $\Psi(x) \in C_0^\infty(\Omega)$  such that  $0 \leq \Psi(x) \leq 1$ ,  $\Psi(x) = 1$  for  $|x| \leq \rho_0$  and  $\Psi(x) = 0$  for  $|x| \geq 2\rho_0$ , where  $\rho_0$  is given as in (K). Set  $\tilde{v}_\varepsilon(x) = \Psi(x)v_\varepsilon(x)$ .

By a straightforward computation, if (K) and (A1) or (A2) are hold, we obtain

$$\|\tilde{v}_\varepsilon\|_{\mu,a}^2 = [z(\varepsilon)]^{-2} (S_{a,b,\mu})^{\frac{2_*}{2_*-2}} + O(1) \quad (27)$$

and

$$\int_{\Omega} k(x) |x|^{-2_*b} |\tilde{v}_\varepsilon|^{2_*} dx = [z(\varepsilon)]^{-2_*} (S_{a,b,\mu})^{\frac{2_*}{2_*-2}} + O(\varepsilon^{\frac{-2_*-2_*\gamma}{2_*-2}}), \quad (28)$$

where  $O(\varepsilon^\zeta)$  denotes  $|O(\varepsilon^\zeta)|/\varepsilon^\zeta \leq C$  and  $\gamma = \frac{2\sqrt{\mu_a - \mu}}{\sqrt{\mu_a - \mu} - b} > 0$  if (A1) holds and  $\gamma = 2$  if (A2) holds.

**Lemma 3.3** *If (K) holds,  $k(x) > 0$  for all  $x \in \Omega$ , and (A1) or (A2) is satisfied, then*

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{\|\tilde{v}_\varepsilon\|_{\mu,a}^2}{\left(\int_{\Omega} k(x) |x|^{-2_*b} |\tilde{v}_\varepsilon|^{2_*} dx\right)^{2/2_*}} = 0. \quad (29)$$

*Proof.* From (27) and (28), we obtain

$$\frac{\|\tilde{v}_\varepsilon\|_{\mu,a}^2}{\left(\int_{\Omega} k(x) |x|^{-2_*b} |\tilde{v}_\varepsilon|^{2_*} dx\right)^{2/2_*}} = \frac{[z(\varepsilon)]^{-2} (S_{a,b,\mu})^{\frac{2_*}{2_*-2}} + O(1)}{\left([z(\varepsilon)]^{-2_*} (S_{a,b,\mu})^{\frac{2_*}{2_*-2}} + O(\varepsilon^{\frac{-2_*-2_*\gamma}{2_*-2}})\right)^{2/2_*}}.$$

Since that  $z(\varepsilon) = C\varepsilon^{\frac{2}{2_*-2}}$ , where  $C > 0$  is given in (25), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{\|\tilde{v}_\varepsilon\|_{\mu,a}^2}{\left(\int_{\Omega} k(x) |x|^{-2_*b} |\tilde{v}_\varepsilon|^{2_*} dx\right)^{2/2_*}} &= \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{[z(\varepsilon)]^{-2} (S_{a,b,\mu})^{\frac{2_*}{2_*-2}} + O(1)}{\left([z(\varepsilon)]^{-2_*} (S_{a,b,\mu})^{\frac{2_*}{2_*-2}} + O(\varepsilon^{\frac{-2_*-2_*\gamma}{2_*-2}})\right)^{2/2_*}} \\ &= \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{[z(\varepsilon)]^{-2} (S_{a,b,\mu})^{\frac{2_*}{2_*-2}} + O(1)}{\left([z(\varepsilon)]^{-2_*} (S_{a,b,\mu})^{\frac{2_*}{2_*-2}} + O(1)\varepsilon^{\frac{-2_*-2_*\gamma}{2_*-2}}\right)^{2/2_*}} \\ &= \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{(S_{a,b,\mu})^{\frac{2_*}{2_*-2}} C\varepsilon^{\frac{4}{2_*-2}(\gamma-1)} + O(1)\varepsilon^{\frac{4\gamma}{2_*-2}}}{\left(C(S_{a,b,\mu})^{\frac{2_*}{2_*-2}} \varepsilon^{\frac{2_*-2_*}{2_*-2}(\gamma-1)} + O(1)\right)^{2/2_*}}. \end{aligned}$$

If (A1) holds, we have  $\gamma = \frac{2\sqrt{\mu_a - \mu}}{\sqrt{\mu_a - \mu} - b} > 0$  and  $|b| < \sqrt{\mu_a - \mu}$ , which implies

$$\gamma - 1 = \frac{\sqrt{\mu_a - \mu} + b}{\sqrt{\mu_a - \mu} - b} > 0.$$

If (A2) holds,  $\gamma = 2$  and we have  $\gamma - 1 > 0$ . In both cases, we have

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{\|\tilde{v}_\varepsilon\|_{\mu,a}^2}{\left(\int_\Omega k(x)|x|^{-2_*b}|\tilde{v}_\varepsilon|^{2_*} dx\right)^{2/2_*}} = 0.$$

■

**Lemma 3.4** *Suppose (H) and (K) hold,  $k(x) > 0$  for all  $x \in \Omega$ , and (A1) or (A2) is satisfied. Let  $l^*$  be defined in Theorem 3.1. Then there exists  $\varepsilon_1 \in (0, 1)$  such that  $\sup_{t \geq 0} I_{\lambda,\mu}(t\tilde{v}_{\varepsilon_1}) < l^*$  for all  $\lambda > 0$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\lambda > 0$ . We consider the following functions

$$f(t) = I_{\lambda,\mu}(t\tilde{v}_\varepsilon) = \frac{t^2}{2}\|\tilde{v}_\varepsilon\|_{\mu,a}^2 - \frac{t^{2_*}}{2_*} \int_\Omega k(x)|x|^{-2_*b}\tilde{v}_{\varepsilon+}^{2_*} dx - \lambda \frac{t^q}{q} \int_\Omega h(x)|x|^{-c}\tilde{v}_{\varepsilon+}^q dx$$

and

$$\tilde{f}(t) = \frac{t^2}{2}\|\tilde{v}_\varepsilon\|_{\mu,a}^2 - \frac{t^{2_*}}{2_*} \int_\Omega k(x)|x|^{-2_*b}\tilde{v}_{\varepsilon+}^{2_*} dx.$$

Since  $2 < q < 2_*$  and  $\tilde{v}_\varepsilon(x) = 0$  if  $x \notin B(0, 2\rho_0)$ ,  $k(x) > 0$  for all  $x \in \Omega$ ,  $\Psi(x) = 1$  if  $x \in B(0, \rho_0)$ , and  $v_\varepsilon > 0$ , we have  $\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} f(t) = -\infty$ . As  $f(0) = 0$ , we have that  $\sup_{t \geq 0} I_{\lambda,\mu}(t\tilde{v}_\varepsilon)$  is achieved, that is, for all  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists  $t_\varepsilon > 0$  such that

$$\sup_{t \geq 0} I_{\lambda,\mu}(t\tilde{v}_\varepsilon) = I_{\lambda,\mu}(t_\varepsilon\tilde{v}_\varepsilon).$$

So we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{t \geq 0} I_{\lambda,\mu}(t\tilde{v}_\varepsilon) &= \frac{t_\varepsilon^2}{2}\|\tilde{v}_\varepsilon\|_{\mu,a}^2 - \frac{t_\varepsilon^{2_*}}{2_*} \int_\Omega k(x)|x|^{-2_*b}\tilde{v}_{\varepsilon+}^{2_*} dx - \lambda \frac{t_\varepsilon^q}{q} \int_\Omega h(x)|x|^{-c}\tilde{v}_{\varepsilon+}^q dx \\ &= \tilde{f}(t_\varepsilon) - \lambda \frac{t_\varepsilon^q}{q} \int_\Omega h(x)|x|^{-c}\tilde{v}_{\varepsilon+}^q dx. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover,  $t_0 = \left(\frac{\|\tilde{v}_\varepsilon\|_{\mu,a}^2}{\int_\Omega k(x)|x|^{-2_*b}\tilde{v}_{\varepsilon+}^{2_*} dx}\right)^{\frac{1}{2_*-2}}$  is a maximum of  $\tilde{f}(t)$  and

$$\tilde{f}(t_0) = \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2_*}\right) \left(\frac{\|\tilde{v}_\varepsilon\|_{\mu,a}^2}{\left(\int_\Omega k(x)|x|^{-2_*b}\tilde{v}_{\varepsilon+}^{2_*} dx\right)^{2/2_*}}\right)^{\frac{2_*}{2_*-2}}.$$

So we obtain

$$\sup_{t \geq 0} I_{\lambda,\mu}(t\tilde{v}_\varepsilon) \leq \tilde{f}(t_0) - \lambda \frac{t_\varepsilon^q}{q} \int_\Omega h(x)|x|^{-c}\tilde{v}_{\varepsilon+}^q dx.$$

Again, since that  $\tilde{v}_\varepsilon(x) = 0$  if  $x \notin B(0, 2\rho_0)$ ,  $h(x) \geq h_0 > 0$ , for all  $x \in B(0, 2\rho_0)$ , and  $\tilde{v}_{\varepsilon_+} = |\tilde{v}_\varepsilon|$  we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{t \geq 0} I_{\lambda, \mu}(t\tilde{v}_\varepsilon) &\leq \tilde{f}(t_0) - \frac{\lambda t_\varepsilon^q}{q} h_0 \int_{B(0, 2\rho_0)} |x|^{-c} |\tilde{v}_\varepsilon|^q dx \\ &\leq \tilde{f}(t_0) \\ &= \left( \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2^*} \right) \left( \frac{\|\tilde{v}_\varepsilon\|_{\mu, a}^2}{\left( \int_{\Omega} k(x) |x|^{-2^* b} |\tilde{v}_\varepsilon|^{2^*} dx \right)^{2/2^*}} \right)^{\frac{2^*}{2^* - 2}}. \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 3.3 implies

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{\|\tilde{v}_\varepsilon\|_{\mu, a}^2}{\left( \int_{\Omega} k(x) |x|^{-2^* b} |\tilde{v}_\varepsilon|^{2^*} dx \right)^{2/2^*}} = 0.$$

Then there exists  $0 < \varepsilon_1 < 1$  such

$$\frac{\|\tilde{v}_\varepsilon\|_{\mu, a}^2}{\left( \int_{\Omega} k(x) |x|^{-2^* b} |\tilde{v}_\varepsilon|^{2^*} dx \right)^{2/2^*}} < |k^+|_{\infty}^{\frac{-2}{2^*}} (S_{a, b, \mu}),$$

for all  $\varepsilon \leq \varepsilon_1$ , which implies

$$\sup_{t \geq 0} I_{\lambda, \mu}(t\tilde{v}_{\varepsilon_1}) < \left( \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2^*} \right) |k^+|_{\infty}^{\frac{-2}{2^*}} (S_{a, b, \mu})^{\frac{2^*}{2^* - 2}} = l^*.$$

**Proof of Theorem 1.1.** Given  $\lambda > 0$ , since  $\int_{\Omega} k(x) |x|^{-2^* b} \tilde{v}_{\varepsilon_1+}^{2^*} dx > 0$ , by Lemma 3.1 there exists  $t_0 > 0$  and a sequence  $(u_n) \subset H_\mu$  such that

$$I_{\lambda, \mu}(u_n) \rightarrow c \quad \text{and} \quad I'_{\lambda, \mu}(u_n) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{in } H_\mu^{-1}, \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty, \quad (30)$$

where

$$0 < c = \inf_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \max_{t \in [0, 1]} I_{\lambda, \mu}(\gamma(t))$$

and  $\Gamma = \{\gamma \in C([0, 1], H_\mu); \gamma(0) = 0, \gamma(1) = t_0 \tilde{v}_{\varepsilon_1}\}$ .

From (30) we have  $I_{\lambda, \mu}(u_n) = c + o_n(1)$  and  $I'_{\lambda, \mu}(u_n) = o_n(1)$ . Using that

$$-\langle I'_{\lambda, \mu}(u_n), u_n \rangle \leq |\langle I'_{\lambda, \mu}(u_n), u_n \rangle| \leq |I'_{\lambda, \mu}(u_n)| \cdot \|u_n\|_{\mu, a},$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} c + o_n(1) + o_n(1) \frac{1}{q} \|u_n\|_{\mu, a} &\geq I_{\lambda, \mu}(u_n) - \frac{1}{q} \langle I'_{\lambda, \mu}(u_n), u_n \rangle \\ &\geq \left( \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{q} \right) \|u_n\|_{\mu, a}^2. \end{aligned}$$

So we obtain  $(u_n)$  bounded in  $H_\mu$ . Since  $H_\mu$  is reflexive, we obtain a subsequence  $(u_n)$  such that

$$u_n \rightharpoonup v_\lambda \quad \text{in } H_\mu, \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

It follows from Theorem 2.2 that  $v_\lambda$  is a weak solution of the problem (1).

We consider the curve  $\gamma_1$  given by  $\gamma_1(t) = t(t_0\tilde{v}_{\varepsilon_1})$ , where  $\tilde{v}_{\varepsilon_1}$  is given in Lemma 3.4. Since that  $\gamma_1 \in C([0, 1], H_\mu)$ ,  $\gamma_1(0) = 0$ , and  $\gamma_1(1) = t_0\tilde{v}_{\varepsilon_1}$ , we have  $\gamma_1 \in \Gamma$ . So, by Lemma 3.4, we have for all  $\lambda > 0$  that

$$0 < c = \inf_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \max_{t \in [0, 1]} I_{\lambda, \mu}(\gamma(t)) \leq \sup_{s \geq 0} I_{\lambda, \mu}(s\tilde{v}_{\varepsilon_1}) < l^*. \quad (31)$$

From (30), (31), and Theorem 3.1, passing to a subsequence if necessary, we have that  $u_n \rightarrow v_\lambda$  strongly in  $H_\mu$ , as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Then we obtain  $I_{\lambda, \mu}(u_n) \rightarrow I_{\lambda, \mu}(v_\lambda)$ , as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  and consequently  $I_{\lambda, \mu}(v_\lambda) = c$ . This implies  $I_{\lambda, \mu}(v_\lambda) > 0$ . Since that  $I_{\lambda, \mu}(0) = 0$  we have  $v_\lambda \neq 0$ . Moreover, it follows from Theorem 2.1 that  $v_\lambda$  is nonnegative.

We conclude that for each  $\lambda > 0$  the problem (1) has at least one nontrivial nonnegative solution. ■

## 4 Case $q = 2$

Ending our study of the problem (1), we deal with the case  $q = 2$ . Again we will make use of the Mountain Pass Theorem to find at least one nontrivial and nonnegative weak solution of the problem (1). Also we are interested in find a nonexistence result in this case.

**Lemma 4.1** *Suppose (H') holds and  $h(x) > 0$  for all  $x \in \Omega$ . Let  $\lambda_1$  be the first eigenvalue of the problem (9). If  $\lambda \in (0, \lambda_1)$  then there exists a  $(PS)_c$  sequence  $(u_n) \subset H_\mu$  for  $I_{\lambda, \mu}$ , where*

$$0 < c = \inf_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \max_{t \in [0, 1]} I_{\lambda, \mu}(\gamma(t)),$$

$$\Gamma = \{\gamma \in C([0, 1]); \gamma(0) = 0, \gamma(1) = t_0 u_0\},$$

and  $u_0 \in H_\mu$  is such that  $\int_\Omega k(x)|x|^{-2_*} u_0^{2_*} dx > 0$ .

*Proof.* As in Lemma 3.1, we will verify that  $I_{\lambda, \mu}$  satisfies the geometric conditions of the Mountain Pass Theorem without the Palais-Smale condition.

Indeed

1)  $I_{\lambda, \mu}(0) = 0$ .

2) Let  $u \in H_\mu$  and  $\lambda \in (0, \lambda_1)$ . We have by variational characterization of  $\lambda_1$  that

$$\lambda_1 \leq \|v\|_{\mu, a}^2 = \frac{\|u\|_{\mu, a}^2}{\int_\Omega h(x)|x|^{-c} u^2 dx}$$

and

$$\int_\Omega h(x)|x|^{-c} u^2 dx \leq \frac{\|u\|_{\mu, a}^2}{\lambda_1}.$$

This implies

$$\begin{aligned} I_{\lambda,\mu}(u) &= \frac{1}{2} \|u\|_{\mu,a}^2 - \frac{\lambda}{2} \int_{\Omega} h(x)|x|^{-c} u_+^2 dx - \frac{1}{2_*} \int_{\Omega} k(x)|x|^{-2_*b} u_+^{2_*} dx \\ &\geq \|u\|_{\mu,a}^2 \left[ \frac{1}{2} \left( 1 - \frac{\lambda}{\lambda_1} \right) - \frac{1}{2_*} |k^+|_{\infty} (S_{a,b,\mu})^{-2_*/2} \|u\|_{\mu,a}^{2_*-2} \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (32)$$

Since  $\lambda \in (0, \lambda_1)$  we have  $1 - \frac{\lambda}{\lambda_1} > 0$ .

We define  $H : (0, +\infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  given by

$$H(s) = \frac{1}{2_*} |k^+|_{\infty} (S_{a,b,\mu})^{-2_*/2} s^{2_*-2}.$$

Note that  $H(s) \rightarrow 0$ , as  $s \rightarrow 0$ . Then there exists  $\rho > 0$  such that  $H(s) < \frac{1}{2} \left( 1 - \frac{\lambda}{\lambda_1} \right)$  for all  $0 < s \leq \rho$ . It follows from (32) that there exists

$\delta > 0$  satisfying  $I_{\lambda,\mu}(u) \geq \delta > 0$  if  $\|u\|_{\mu,a} = \rho$ ,  $u \in H_{\mu}$ .

3) Let  $t > 0$  and  $u_0 \in H_{\mu}$  be such that  $\int_{\Omega} k(x)|x|^{-2_*b} u_0^{2_*} dx > 0$ . Then we have

$$I_{\lambda,\mu}(tu_0) = \frac{t^2}{2} \|u_0\|_{\mu,a}^2 - \lambda \frac{t^2}{2} \int_{\Omega} h(x)|x|^{-c} u_0^2 dx - \frac{t^{2_*}}{2_*} \int_{\Omega} k(x)|x|^{-2_*b} u_0^{2_*} dx.$$

Since  $2 < 2_*$  we obtain  $I_{\lambda,\mu}(tu_0) \rightarrow -\infty$  as  $t \rightarrow +\infty$  which implies that there exists  $t_0 > 0$  satisfying  $\|t_0 u_0\|_{\mu,a} > \rho$  and  $I_{\lambda,\mu}(t_0 u_0) < 0$ .

From 1), 2), and 3), we conclude that  $I_{\lambda,\mu}$  satisfies the geometric conditions of the Mountain Pass Theorem without the Palais-Smale condition.  $\blacksquare$

**Lemma 4.2** *Suppose (H) holds and  $h(x) > 0$  for all  $x \in \Omega$ . Let  $(u_n)$  be a  $(PS)_l$  sequence with  $u_n \rightharpoonup u$  weakly in  $H_{\mu}$ , as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , and let  $\lambda_1$  be the first eigenvalue to problem (9). If  $\lambda \in (0, \lambda_1)$  then*

$$I'_{\lambda,\mu}(u) = 0 \text{ in } H_{\mu}^{-1} \text{ and } I_{\lambda,\mu}(u) \geq 0.$$

*Proof.* Since  $(u_n)$  is a  $(PS)_l$  sequence with  $u_n \rightharpoonup u$  weakly in  $H_{\mu}$ , as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , it follows from Theorem 2.2 that  $u$  is a weak solution of the problem (1). Then we obtain  $I'_{\lambda,\mu}(u) = 0$  in  $H_{\mu}^{-1}$ .

Note that

$$I_{\lambda,\mu}(u) - \frac{1}{2_*} \langle I'_{\lambda,\mu}(u), u \rangle = \left( \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2_*} \right) \|u\|_{\mu,a}^2 - \lambda \left( \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2_*} \right) \int_{\Omega} h(x)|x|^{-c} u_+^2 dx.$$

As  $I'_{\lambda,\mu}(u) = 0$  in  $H_{\mu}^{-1}$ , we have  $\langle I'_{\lambda,\mu}(u), u \rangle = 0$ . Thus

$$I_{\lambda,\mu}(u) \geq \|u\|_{\mu,a}^2 \left[ \left( \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2_*} \right) \left( 1 - \frac{\lambda}{\lambda_1} \right) \right].$$

Since  $2 < 2_*$  and  $\lambda \in (0, \lambda_1)$ , we obtain  $I_{\lambda,\mu}(u) \geq 0$ .  $\blacksquare$



**Theorem 4.1** Suppose (H) holds and  $h(x) > 0$  for all  $x \in \Omega$ . Let  $(u_n)$  be a sequence in  $H_\mu$  such that

$$I_{\lambda,\mu}(u_n) \rightarrow l < l^* = \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2_*}\right) \|k^+\|_\infty^{\frac{-2}{2_*-2}} (S_{a,b,\mu})^{2_*/(2_*-2)}$$

and

$$I'_{\lambda,\mu}(u_n) \rightarrow 0 \text{ in } H_\mu^{-1}, \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

If  $\lambda_1$  is the first eigenvalue of the problem (9) and  $\lambda \in (0, \lambda_1)$ , then there exists a subsequence strongly convergent in  $H_\mu$ .

*Proof.* The proof is essentially the same as in Theorem 3.1, replacing  $q$  by  $2_*$  in (17) and using the variational characterization of  $\lambda_1$ . ■

**Lemma 4.3** Suppose (H) and (K) hold,  $h(x) > 0$  for all  $x \in \Omega$ , (A1) or (A2) is satisfied and  $\mu \geq 0$ . Let  $l^*$  be as in Theorem 4.1 and let  $\lambda_1$  be the first eigenvalue of the problem (9). Then there exists  $\varepsilon_1 \in (0, 1)$  such that

$$\sup_{t \geq 0} I_{\lambda,\mu}(t\tilde{v}_{\varepsilon_1}) < l^*,$$

for all  $\lambda \in (0, \lambda_1)$ .

*Proof.* The proof is similar to Lemma 3.4. ■

**Proof of Theorem 1.2.** Given  $\lambda \in (0, \lambda_1)$ , as  $\int_\Omega k(x)|x|^{-2_*b}\tilde{v}_{\varepsilon_1+}^{2_*} dx > 0$ , it follows from Lemma 4.1 that there exist  $t_0 > 0$  and a sequence  $(u_n) \subset H_\mu$  such that

$$I_{\lambda,\mu}(u_n) \rightarrow c \quad \text{and} \quad I'_{\lambda,\mu}(u_n) \rightarrow 0 \text{ in } H_\mu^{-1}, \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty \quad (33)$$

where

$$0 < c = \inf_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \max_{t \in [0,1]} I_{\lambda,\mu}(\gamma(t))$$

and

$$\Gamma = \{\gamma \in C([0,1], H_\mu); \gamma(0) = 0, \gamma(1) = t_0\tilde{v}_{\varepsilon_1}\}.$$

From (33) we obtain  $I_{\lambda,\mu}(u_n) = c + o_n(1)$  and  $I'_{\lambda,\mu}(u_n) = o_n(1)$ . Using that

$$-\langle I'_{\lambda,\mu}(u_n), u_n \rangle \leq |\langle I'_{\lambda,\mu}(u_n), u_n \rangle| \leq |I'_{\lambda,\mu}(u_n)| \cdot \|u_n\|_{\mu,a},$$

we have

$$c + o_n(1) + o_n(1) \frac{1}{2_*} \|u_n\|_{\mu,a} \geq \|u_n\|_{\mu,a}^2 \left[ \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2_*}\right) \left(1 - \frac{\lambda}{\lambda_1}\right) \right]. \quad (34)$$

So we conclude that  $(u_n)$  is bounded in  $H_\mu$ .

Following analogously to proof of Theorem 1.1 and using Lemma 4.3, (33), and Theorem 4.1, we obtain a nontrivial nonnegative weak solution,  $v_\lambda \in H_\mu$ , of problem (1) for all  $\lambda \in (0, \lambda_1)$ . ■

### 4.1 Proof of Theorem 1.3

Let  $\mathcal{M} = \{u \in H_\mu : \int_\Omega h(x)|x|^{-c}u^2 dx = 1\}$ .

**Lemma 4.4** *Suppose (H) and (K) hold,  $h(x) > 0$  for all  $x \in \Omega$ , and  $k(x) > 0$  for all  $x \in \Omega$ . If  $\lambda_1$  is the first eigenvalue to problem (9) then  $\lambda_1 = \int_\Omega (|x|^{-2a}|\nabla u|^2 - \mu|x|^{-2(a+1)}u^2) dx$  for some  $u \in \mathcal{M}$  if and only if  $u$  is an eigenfunction associated to  $\lambda_1$ .*

*Proof.* Suppose  $\lambda_1 = \|u\|_{\mu,a}^2$  for some  $u \in \mathcal{M}$ . We define the following functionals  $F, G : H_\mu \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  given by

$$F(u) = \|u\|_{\mu,a}^2 \text{ and } G(u) = \int_\Omega h(x)|x|^{-c}u^2 dx, \forall u \in H_\mu.$$

It follows from Theorem 1.2 of [14] that  $F$  has a minimum  $u_0$  in  $\mathcal{M}$ . Thus

$$F(u_0) = \|u_0\|_{\mu,a}^2 = \lambda_1 = F(u),$$

which shows that  $u$  is a minimum of  $F$ . Since

$$\langle G'(u), u \rangle = 2 \int_\Omega h(x)|x|^{-c}u^2 dx = 2 \neq 0,$$

from Lagrange's multiplier rule there exists a  $\tilde{\lambda}_1$  such that

$$\langle F'(u), v \rangle = \tilde{\lambda}_1 \langle G'(u), v \rangle,$$

for all  $v \in H_\mu$ . We have for  $v = u$  that

$$\lambda_1 = \int_\Omega (|x|^{-2a}|\nabla u|^2 - \mu|x|^{-2(a+1)}u^2) dx = \tilde{\lambda}_1 \int_\Omega h(x)|x|^{-c}u^2 dx = \tilde{\lambda}_1$$

which means that  $u$  is eigenfunction associated to  $\lambda_1$ .

Conversely, let  $u$  be an eigenfunction associated to  $\lambda_1$ . Then we have

$$\int_\Omega (|x|^{-2a}|\nabla u|^2 - \mu|x|^{-2(a+1)}u^2) dx = \lambda_1 \int_\Omega h(x)|x|^{-c}u^2 dx$$

and so

$$\lambda_1 = \frac{\int_\Omega (|x|^{-2a}|\nabla u|^2 - \mu|x|^{-2(a+1)}u^2) dx}{\int_\Omega h(x)|x|^{-c}u^2 dx}.$$

Define  $v = \frac{u}{(\int_\Omega h(x)|x|^{-c}u^2 dx)^{1/2}}$ . Note that  $v \in \mathcal{M}$ . Moreover

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_1 &\leq \int_\Omega (|x|^{-2a}|\nabla v|^2 - \mu|x|^{-2(a+1)}v^2) dx \\ &= \frac{\int_\Omega (|x|^{-2a}|\nabla u|^2 - \mu|x|^{-2(a+1)}u^2) dx}{\int_\Omega h(x)|x|^{-c}u^2 dx} \\ &= \lambda_1. \end{aligned}$$

■

**Lemma 4.5** *Suppose (H) and (K) hold,  $h(x) > 0$  for all  $x \in \Omega$ , and  $k(x) > 0$  for all  $x \in \Omega$ . If  $u$  is an eigenfunction associated to  $\lambda_1$ , then  $|u|$  is also an eigenfunction associated to  $\lambda_1$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $u$  be an eigenfunction associated to  $\lambda_1$ . From Lemma 4.4 we have that  $u$  achieves the minimum in (10). Since  $\|\nabla|u|\|_{\mu,a} = \|\nabla u\|_{\mu,a}$  and  $|u| \in \mathcal{M}$ , using Lemma 4.4 again, we have that  $|u|$  achieves the minimum in (10) and then  $|u|$  is an eigenfunction associated to  $\lambda_1$ . ■

**Proof of Theorem 1.3.** Suppose, by contradiction, that  $u \in H_\mu$  is a nontrivial nonnegative weak solution of the problem (1) for some  $\lambda > \lambda_1$  and let  $\varphi_1$  be an eigenfunction associated to  $\lambda_1$ . It follows from Lemma 4.5 that  $|\varphi_1|$  is an eigenfunction associated to  $\lambda_1$ . Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} \left( |x|^{-2a} \nabla u \nabla |\varphi_1| - \mu |x|^{-2(a+1)} u |\varphi_1| \right) dx &= \lambda \int_{\Omega} h(x) |x|^{-c} u |\varphi_1| dx \\ &+ \int_{\Omega} k(x) |x|^{-2_* b} u^{2_*-1} |\varphi_1| dx \end{aligned} \quad (35)$$

and

$$\int_{\Omega} \left( |x|^{-2a} \nabla u \nabla |\varphi_1| - \mu |x|^{-2(a+1)} u |\varphi_1| \right) dx = \lambda_1 \int_{\Omega} h(x) |x|^{-c} u |\varphi_1| dx \quad (36)$$

From (35) and (36) we obtain

$$\lambda_1 \int_{\Omega} h(x) |x|^{-c} u |\varphi_1| dx = \lambda \int_{\Omega} h(x) |x|^{-c} u |\varphi_1| dx + \int_{\Omega} k(x) |x|^{-2_* b} u^{2_*-1} |\varphi_1| dx.$$

So we have

$$(\lambda_1 - \lambda) \int_{\Omega} h(x) |x|^{-c} u |\varphi_1| dx = \int_{\Omega} k(x) |x|^{-2_* b} u^{2_*-1} |\varphi_1| dx,$$

which is a contradiction since  $\lambda > \lambda_1$ ,  $h(x) > 0$  for all  $x \in \Omega$ , and  $k(x) > 0$  for all  $x \in \Omega$ . ■

## References

- [1] M. Boucekif, A. Matallah, Singular elliptic equations involving a concave term and critical Caffarelli-Kohn-Nirenberg exponent with sign-changing weight functions, *Electronic Journal of Differential Equations*, 2010 (2010) (32) 1-12.
- [2] H. Brézis, E. Lieb, A relation between pointwise convergence of functions and convergence of functionals, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 88 (1983) 486-490.
- [3] H. Brézis, L. Nirenberg, Positive solutions of nonlinear elliptic equations involving critical Sobolev exponents, *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* 36 (1983) 437-477.

- [4] L. Caffarelli, R. Kohn, L. Nirenberg, First order interpolation inequality with weights, *Compos. Math.* 53 (1984) 259-275.
- [5] N. Chaudhuri, M. Ramaswamy, Existence of positive solutions of some semilinear elliptic equations with singular coefficients, *Royal Society of Edinburgh*, 131A (2001) 1275-1295.
- [6] K.S. Chou, C.W. Chu, On the best constant for a weighted Sobolev-Hardy Inequality, *J. London Math. Soc.* 2 (1993) 137-151.
- [7] L.C. Evans, *Partial differential equations*, Graduate studies in mathematics 19, Amer. Math. Soc. Providence, Rhode Island, 1998.
- [8] A. Ferrero, F. Gazzola, Existence of solutions for singular critical growth semilinear elliptic equations, *J. Differential Equations* 177 (2001) 494-522.
- [9] P. Han, Quasilinear elliptic problems with critical exponents and Hardy terms, *Nonlinear Anal.* 61 (2005) 735-758.
- [10] E. Jannelli, The role played by space dimension in elliptic critical problems, *J. Differential Equations* 156 (1999) 407-426.
- [11] M. Lin, Some further results for a class of weighted nonlinear elliptic equations, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 337 (2008) 537-546.
- [12] O.H. Miyagaki, On a class of semilinear elliptic problems in  $R^N$  with critical growth, *Nonlinear Anal.* 29 (7) (1997) 773-781.
- [13] R.S. Rodrigues, On elliptic problems involving critical Hardy-Sobolev exponents and sign-changing function, *Nonlinear Anal.* 73 (2010) 857-880.
- [14] M. Struwe, *Variational Methods, Applications to Nonlinear PDE and Hamiltonian Systems*, Springer-Verlag (1980).
- [15] B. J. Xuan, S. Su, Y. Yan, Existence results for Brézis-Nirenberg problems with Hardy potential and singular coefficients, *Nonlinear Anal.* 67 (2007) 2091-2106.
- [16] B. J. Xuan, The solvability of quasilinear Brézis-Nirenberg-type problems with singular weights, *Nonlinear Anal.* 62 (4) (2005) 703-725.
- [17] T. F. Wu, Multiple positive solutions for semilinear elliptic equations with critical weighted Hardy-Sobolev exponents, *Nonlinear Anal.* 74 (2011) 2602-2611.