

INVARIANTS OF TOPOLOGICAL RELATIVE RIGHT EQUIVALENCES

IMRAN AHMED¹, MARIA APARECIDA SOARES RUAS²,
AND JOÃO NIVALDO TOMAZELLA³

ABSTRACT. Let $(V, 0)$ be the germ of an analytic variety in \mathbb{C}^n and f an analytic function germ defined on V . For functions with isolated singularity on V , Bruce and Roberts introduced a generalization of the Milnor number of f , which we call Bruce-Roberts number, $\mu_{BR}(V, f)$. Like the Milnor number of f , this number shows some properties of f and V . In this paper we investigate algebraic and geometric characterizations of the constancy of the Bruce-Roberts number for families of functions with isolated singularities on V . We also discuss the topological invariance of the Bruce-Roberts number for families of quasihomogeneous functions defined on quasihomogeneous varieties. As application of the results, we prove a relative version of the Zariski multiplicity conjecture for quasihomogeneous varieties.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let $(V, 0)$ be the germ of an analytic variety in \mathbb{C}^n and f an analytic function germ defined on V . For functions with isolated singularity on V , Bruce and Roberts introduce in [4] a generalization of the Milnor number of f , which we will call Bruce-Roberts number, $\mu_{BR}(V, f)$. Like the Milnor number of f , this number shows some properties of f and V . For example, if we consider the group \mathcal{R}_V of automorphisms of $(\mathbb{C}^n, 0)$ preserving V then f is finitely determined with respect to the action of \mathcal{R}_V on \mathcal{O}_n if and only if $\mu_{BR}(V, f)$ is finite and, in this case, the codimension of the orbit of f under this action is $\mu_{BR}(V, f)$.

When $V = \emptyset$, the Bruce-Roberts number reduces to the Milnor number, which is a complete invariant for topological triviality of families of hypersurfaces with isolated singularity in \mathbb{C}^n , $n \neq 3$ ([9]). The μ -constant families had been intensively investigated and they can be characterized by well-known algebraic and geometric conditions. In this paper we present a study of characterizations of the constancy of Bruce-Roberts number for families of functions with isolated singularity defined

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 32S30, 14L30 ; Secondary 14J17, 16W22.

Key words and phrases. function germ, integral closure, Bruce-Roberts number.

1 Partially supported by FAPESP, grant # 2010/01895-3.

2 Partially supported by FAPESP, grant # 2008/54222-6, and CNPq, grant # 303774/2008-8.

3 Partially supported by FAPESP, grant # 2011/19583-0.

on V . We introduce the relative algebraic and geometric analogues and study their role in the characterization of μ_{BR} -constant families.

Our approach was motivated by the following result given by Greuel in [8], p.161.

Theorem 1.1. *For any deformation $F : (\mathbb{C}^n \times \mathbb{C}, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}, 0)$ of a function germ f with isolated singularity at the origin the following statements are equivalent.*

- (1) F is a μ -constant deformation of f .
(2) For every holomorphic curve $\gamma : (\mathbb{C}, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}^n \times \mathbb{C}, 0)$

$$\nu\left(\frac{\partial F}{\partial t} \circ \gamma\right) > \inf\left\{\nu\left(\frac{\partial F}{\partial x_i} \circ \gamma\right) \mid i = 1, \dots, n\right\},$$

(where ν denotes the usual valuation of a complex curve).

- (3) Same statement as in (2) with “ $>$ ” replaced by “ \geq ”.

- (4) $\frac{\partial F}{\partial t} \in \overline{\mathcal{J}_F}$, (where $\overline{\mathcal{J}_F}$ denotes the integral closure of the Jacobean ideal $\mathcal{J}_F = \langle \frac{\partial F}{\partial x_1}, \dots, \frac{\partial F}{\partial x_n} \rangle$ in \mathcal{O}_{n+1}).

- (5) $\frac{\partial F}{\partial t} \in \sqrt{\mathcal{J}_F}$, (where $\sqrt{\mathcal{J}_F}$ denotes the radical of \mathcal{J}_F).

- (6) The polar curve of F with respect to $\{t = 0\}$ does not split i.e.

$$C = \{(x, t) \in \mathbb{C}^n \times \mathbb{C} \mid \frac{\partial F}{\partial x_i} = 0, i = 1 \dots, n\} = \{0\} \times \mathbb{C} \text{ near } (0, 0).$$

We shall denote the conditions (1) to (6) in the relative case by (1_r) to (6_r) . In Theorem 3.3 we show that $(2_r) \Rightarrow (3_r) \Leftrightarrow (4_r) \Rightarrow (5_r)$ in relative case. In Proposition 3.5 we prove the equivalence $(1_r) \Leftrightarrow (6_r)$ in relative case assuming $C = \{(x, t) \in \mathbb{C}^n \times \mathbb{C} \mid dF(\xi_i) = 0, i = 1 \dots, p\}$ is a Cohen-Macaulay variety.

In Theorem 3.11 we show that the implication $(4_r) \Rightarrow (1_r)$ holds in relative case assuming that the logarithmic characteristic variety of V , $LC(V)$, is Cohen-Macaulay such that V is a hypersurface with isolated singularity. The Example 3.1 shows that the implications $(1_r) \Rightarrow (4_r)$ and $(5_r) \Rightarrow (4_r)$ do not hold in relative case. The Example 3.2 proves that (2_r) is not equivalent to (3_r) .

In the last section, we consider families of quasihomogeneous functions defined on quasihomogeneous varieties based on the results of [13]. We discuss the topological invariance of the Bruce-Robert Milnor number. Theorem 4.1 is an interesting application to the relative Zariski multiplicity conjecture. We prove that the conjecture holds for μ_{BR} -constant deformations of a quasihomogeneous germ defined on quasihomogeneous varieties.

2. PRELIMINARY RESULTS

We fix a system of local coordinates x of \mathbb{C}^n . Let $f : (\mathbb{C}^n, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}, 0)$ be the germ of a holomorphic function with isolated singularity and the multiplicity $m(f)$ is the lowest degree in the power series expansion of f at $0 \in \mathbb{C}^n$. Consider the analytic variety $V \subset (\mathbb{C}^n, 0)$. In this note we study function germs $f : (\mathbb{C}^n, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}, 0)$

under the equivalence relation that preserves the analytic variety $(V, 0)$. We say that two germs $f^1, f^2 : (\mathbb{C}^n, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}, 0)$ are C^0 - \mathcal{R}_V -equivalent if there exists a germ of homeomorphism $\psi : (\mathbb{C}^n, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}^n, 0)$ with $\psi(V) = V$ and $f^1 \circ \psi = f^2$. That is,

$$C^0\text{-}\mathcal{R}_V = \{\psi \in C^0\text{-}\mathcal{R} : \psi(V) = V\}$$

where $C^0\text{-}\mathcal{R}$ is the group of germs of homeomorphisms of $(\mathbb{C}^n, 0)$, and we consider its action on the ring \mathcal{O}_n of germs of holomorphic functions $f : (\mathbb{C}^n, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}, 0)$.

We denote by θ_n the set of germs of tangent vector fields in $(\mathbb{C}^n, 0)$; θ_n is a free \mathcal{O}_n module of rank n . Let $I(V)$ be the ideal in \mathcal{O}_n consisting of germs of analytic functions vanishing on V . We denote by $\Theta_V = \{\eta \in \theta_n : \eta(I(V)) \subseteq I(V)\}$, the submodule of germs of vector fields tangent to V .

The tangent space to the action of the group \mathcal{R}_V is $T\mathcal{R}_V(f) = df(\Theta_V^0)$, where Θ_V^0 is the submodule of Θ_V given by the vector fields that are zero at zero. When the point $x = 0$ is a stratum in the logarithmic stratification of the analytic variety, this is the case when V has an isolated singularity at the origin, see [4] for details, both spaces Θ_V and Θ_V^0 coincide.

In what follows we assume that Θ_V is generated by ξ_1, \dots, ξ_p .

Definition 2.1. Let $\mathcal{J}_f(\Theta_V)$ be the ideal $\langle df(\xi_i) : i = 1, \dots, p \rangle$ in \mathcal{O}_n and $f \in \mathcal{O}_n$. The number

$$\mu_{BR}(V, f) = \dim_{\mathbb{C}} \frac{\mathcal{O}_n}{\mathcal{J}_f(\Theta_V)}$$

is the Bruce-Roberts number of f with respect to V .

We call a holomorphic map germ $F : (\mathbb{C}^n \times \mathbb{C}, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}, 0)$, $(x, t) \mapsto F(x, t) = f_t(x)$ a deformation of f if $f_0 = f$ and if $f_t(0) = 0$ for t sufficiently near 0.

We denote by $\mathcal{J}_F(\Theta_V)$ the ideal $\langle dF(\xi_i) : i = 1, \dots, p \rangle$ of \mathcal{O}_{n+1} , where $dF = (\frac{\partial F}{\partial x_1}, \dots, \frac{\partial F}{\partial x_n})$. The deformation F of f is μ_{BR} -constant if $\mu_{BR}(V, f_t) = \mu_{BR}(V, f)$ for t sufficiently small.

The following is an open question in this theory.

Is any μ_{BR} -constant deformation topologically \mathcal{R}_V trivial?

In [4], Bruce and Roberts define the logarithmic characteristic variety of V , $LC(V)$ and show important properties of $\mu_{BR}(V, f)$ when $LC(V)$ is Cohen-Macaulay (CM). By Prop. 5.10 [4], p.72 we know that if the codimension of V is greater than one then $LC(V)$ is not CM. If V is free divisor, in particular a plane curve, $LC(V)$ is CM, and, when V is a quasihomogeneous hypersurface with isolated singularity, $LC(V)$ is CM by [13]. For any hypersurface the problem remains open.

Let us suppose that the vector fields ξ_1, \dots, ξ_p generate Θ_V for some neighbourhood U at $0 \in \mathbb{C}^n$. Then if $T_U^*\mathbb{C}^n$ is the restriction of the cotangent bundle of \mathbb{C}^n in U , we define $LC_U(V)$ as $\{(x, \delta) \in T_U^*\mathbb{C}^n : \delta(\xi_i(x)) = 0, i = 1, \dots, p\}$. Then, $LC(V)$

is the germ of $LC_U(V)$ in $T_0^*\mathbb{C}^n$, and it can be shown that it is independent of the choice of generators of Θ_V .

The integral closure of an ideal I in a ring R , is the ideal \bar{I} , of the elements $h \in R$ that satisfy a relation $h^k + a_1h^{k-1} + \dots + a_{k-1}h + a_k = 0$, with $a_i \in I^i$.

Teissier gave the following characterization for the integral closure of an ideal in \mathcal{O}_n .

Theorem 2.2. ([16], p.288) *If I is an ideal in \mathcal{O}_n , the following statements are equivalent.*

1. $h \in \bar{I}$.
2. For each system of generators h_1, \dots, h_r of I there exists a neighbourhood U of 0 and a constant $c > 0$ such that

$$|h(x)| \leq c \sup\{|h_1(x)|, \dots, |h_r(x)|\}, \text{ for all } x \in U.$$

3. For each analytic curve $\gamma : (\mathbb{C}, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}^n, 0)$, $h \circ \gamma$ lies in $(\gamma^*(I))\mathcal{O}_1$.

Item 3 of this theorem is called the valuation criterion for integral dependence.

3. MAIN RESULTS

By Theorem 1.1, we have the following statements for the relative case:

- (1_r) F is a μ_{BR} -constant deformation of f ;
- (2_r) For every holomorphic curve $\gamma : (\mathbb{C}, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}^n \times \mathbb{C}, 0)$

$$\nu\left(\frac{\partial F}{\partial t} \circ \gamma\right) > \inf\{\nu(dF(\xi_i) \circ \gamma) : i = 1, \dots, p\};$$

- (3_r) Same statement as in (2_r) with “>” replaced by “≥”;
- (4_r) $\frac{\partial F}{\partial t} \in \overline{\mathcal{J}_F(\Theta_V)}$;
- (5_r) $\frac{\partial F}{\partial t} \in \sqrt{\mathcal{J}_F(\Theta_V)}$;
- (6_r) The polar curve of F in V with respect to $\{t = 0\}$ does not split, i.e.,

$$C = \{(x, t) \in \mathbb{C}^n \times \mathbb{C} : dF(\xi_i(x)) = 0, \forall i = 1, \dots, p\} = \{0\} \times \mathbb{C} \text{ near } (0, 0).$$

We now discuss the equivalences in the relative case. In [14], the second and third authors investigated the C^0 - \mathcal{R}_V triviality of families of functions with isolated singularity satisfying condition (4_r). More precisely, in Theorem 6.4 they show that (4_r) and (6_r) imply F is C^0 - \mathcal{R}_V trivial. Moreover based on the example from [14] we show in the next example that the implications (1_r) \Rightarrow (4_r) and (5_r) \Rightarrow (4_r) do not hold in relative case.

Example 3.1. Let $(V, 0) \subset (\mathbb{C}^3, 0)$ be defined by $\Phi(x, y, z) = 2x^2y^2 + y^3 - z^2 + x^4y = 0$ and $F : (\mathbb{C}^4, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}, 0)$ given by $F(x, y, z, t) = y + (a + t)x^2$. The module Θ_V is generated by $\eta_1 = (2x, 4y, 6z)$, $\eta_2 = (0, 2z, x^4 + 4x^2y + 3y^2)$, $\eta_3 = (x^2 + 3y, -4xy, 0)$ and $\eta_4 = (z, 0, 2x^3y + 2xy^2)$. The element $\frac{\partial F}{\partial t} = x^2$ is not in the integral closure of the ideal $\mathcal{J}_F(\Theta_V)$. In fact, given $\gamma : (\mathbb{C}, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}^4, 0)$, $\gamma(s) = (s, -as^2, 0, 0)$, it follows

that $\frac{\partial F}{\partial t} \circ \gamma$ is not in $(\gamma^*(\mathcal{J}_F(\Theta_V)))_{\mathcal{O}_1}$, then by Theorem 2.2, $\frac{\partial F}{\partial t} = x^2$ does not belong to $\overline{\mathcal{J}_F(\Theta_V)}$. Now, the variety V is weighted homogeneous of type $(1, 2, 3; 6)$ and F is also weighted homogeneous of degree 2 with respect to the same set of weights. Moreover, F is a deformation by nonnegative weights of the \mathcal{R}_V -finitely determined weighted homogeneous germ $f_0 = y + ax^2$. Then F is C^0 - \mathcal{R}_V -trivial (see [6] and [15]). One can also verify from direct computations that $\mu_{BR}(V, f_t)$ is constant.

Note that $\mathcal{J}_F(\Theta_V) = \langle 4(a+t)x^2 + 4y, 2z, 2(a+t)x^3 + 6(a+1)xy - 4xy \rangle$. It can be easily seen that there exists k such that $m_3^k \mathcal{O}_4 \subset \mathcal{J}_F(\Theta_V) \subset m_3 \mathcal{O}_4$. It implies that $m_3 \mathcal{O}_4 \subset \sqrt{\mathcal{J}_F(\Theta_V)}$. Therefore, $x^2 = \frac{\partial F}{\partial t} \in \sqrt{\mathcal{J}_F(\Theta_V)}$.

The following example shows that (2_r) is not equivalent to (3_r) .

Example 3.2. Let $(V, 0) \subseteq (\mathbb{C}^2, 0)$ be defined by $\Phi(x, y) = x^3 - y^2 = 0$. The set Θ_V is generated by $\xi_1 = (2x, 3y), \xi_2 = (2y, 3x^2)$. Let $F(x, y, t) = x^5 + y^2 + tx^5$. Thus, $\mathcal{J}_F(\Theta_V) = \langle dF(\xi_1), dF(\xi_2) \rangle = \langle 10x^5 + 6y^2 + 10tx^5, 10x^4y + 6x^2y + 10tx^4y \rangle$.

We show that $\frac{\partial F}{\partial t} = x^5$ satisfies (3_r) but does not satisfy (2_r) . In fact, let $\gamma : (\mathbb{C}, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}^3, 0)$, $\gamma(s) = (\gamma_1(s), \gamma_2(s), \gamma_3(s))$, then $\nu(\frac{\partial F}{\partial t} \circ \gamma) = 5\nu(\gamma_1)$.

If $\nu(\gamma_2) \leq \nu(\gamma_1)$ then $\nu(dF(\xi_1) \circ \gamma) = 2\nu(\gamma_2) < 5\nu(\gamma_1)$.

If $2\nu(\gamma_2) = 5\nu(\gamma_1)$ then $\nu(dF(\xi_2) \circ \gamma) = 2\nu(\gamma_1) + \nu(\gamma_2) = \frac{9}{2}\nu(\gamma_1) < 5\nu(\gamma_1)$.

If $2\nu(\gamma_2) > 5\nu(\gamma_1)$ then $\nu(dF(\xi_1) \circ \gamma) = 5\nu(\gamma_1)$.

If $2\nu(\gamma_2) < 5\nu(\gamma_1)$ then $\nu(dF(\xi_1) \circ \gamma) = 2\nu(\gamma_2) < 5\nu(\gamma_1)$.

Therefore, $\nu(\frac{\partial F}{\partial t} \circ \gamma) \geq \inf\{\nu(dF(\xi_1) \circ \gamma), \nu(dF(\xi_2) \circ \gamma)\}$

Now, by taking $\alpha : (\mathbb{C}, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}^3, 0)$, $\alpha(s) = (s, 0, 0)$, we get

$$\nu(\frac{\partial F}{\partial t} \circ \alpha) = \inf\{\nu(dF(\xi_1) \circ \alpha), \nu(dF(\xi_2) \circ \alpha)\} = 5$$

We establish now the following equivalences in relative case.

Theorem 3.3. Let $V = \Phi^{-1}(0)$, $\Phi : (\mathbb{C}^n, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}^m, 0)$ and $F : (\mathbb{C}^n \times \mathbb{C}, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}, 0)$ be any deformation of f . Then

$$(2_r) \Rightarrow (3_r) \Leftrightarrow (4_r) \Rightarrow (5_r).$$

Proof. $(2_r) \Rightarrow (3_r)$ and $(4_r) \Rightarrow (5_r)$ are trivial, while $(3_r) \Leftrightarrow (4_r)$ is the valuative criterion for integral dependence, Theorem 2.2. \square

The proof of the equivalence between (1_r) and (6_r) depends on the principle of conservation of numbers. The following example indicates that conditions on the variety V alone are not sufficient and the principle may fail even when V is a smooth variety.

Example 3.4. (Example 5.9, [4]) Let $V : x_1 = x_2 = 0$ be a non-singular surface in \mathbb{C}^4 containing 0. Since V has codimension > 1 , it follows from Proposition 5.10 in

[4] that $LC(V)$ is not Cohen-Macaulay. The module Θ_V of vector fields tangent to V is generated by

$$\left\langle x_1 \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1}, x_2 \frac{\partial}{\partial x_2}, x_2 \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1}, x_1 \frac{\partial}{\partial x_2}, \frac{\partial}{\partial x_3}, \frac{\partial}{\partial x_4} \right\rangle.$$

Let $f(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4) = x_1^2 + x_2^2 + x_3^2 + x_4^2$. Then

$$\mathcal{J}_f(\Theta_V) = df(\Theta_V) = \langle x_1^2, x_2^2, x_1x_2, x_3, x_4 \rangle.$$

Therefore, $\mu_{BR}(V, f) = \dim_{\mathbb{C}} \frac{\mathcal{O}_4}{\mathcal{J}_f(\Theta_V)} = 3$. Take $F = f_t = x_1^2 + x_2^2 + x_3^2 + x_4^2 + tx_1$ a deformation of f . Then $dF = (2x_1 + t, 2x_2, 2x_3, 2x_4)$. Therefore,

$$\mathcal{J}_F(\Theta_V) = dF(\Theta_V) = \langle 2x_1^2 + tx_1, 2x_2^2, 2x_1x_2 + tx_2, 2x_1x_2, 2x_3, 2x_4 \rangle.$$

Note that $C : x_2 = x_3 = x_4 = 0, x_1 = 0$ or $x_1 = -t/2$. The singularities of $f_t, t \neq 0$ are $(0,0,0,0)$ and $(-t/2,0,0,0)$. Now, $\mu_{BR}(V, f_t)|_{(0,0,0,0)} = 1 = \mu_{BR}(V, f_t)|_{(-t/2,0,0,0)}$.

Proposition 3.5. *Let $V = \Phi^{-1}(0)$, $\Phi : (\mathbb{C}^n, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}^m, 0)$ and $F : (\mathbb{C}^n \times \mathbb{C}, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}, 0)$ be any deformation of f . Let $C = \{(x, t) \in \mathbb{C}^n \times \mathbb{C} \mid dF(\xi_i) = 0, i = 1, \dots, p\}$ be the polar curve. Now, we state (1_r) and (6_r) as follows.*

(1_r) F is a μ_{BR} -constant deformation of f .

(6_r) The polar curve of F with respect to $\{t = 0\}$ does not split i.e. $C = \{0\} \times \mathbb{C}$ near $(0, 0)$. Then

(i) $(1_r) \Rightarrow (6_r)$

(ii) If the variety C is Cohen-Macaulay then $(6_r) \Rightarrow (1_r)$.

Proof. We prove $(1_r) \Rightarrow (6_r)$. Choose small balls $B = \{x \in \mathbb{C}^n \mid \|x\| < \epsilon\}$, $T = \{t \in \mathbb{C} \mid |t| < \delta\}$ such that δ and ϵ are sufficiently small. Let $C = \{(x, t) \in B \times T \mid dF(\xi_i) = 0, i = 1, \dots, p\}$, where ξ_i are the generators of Θ_V and $\pi : B \times T \rightarrow T$ the projection. Then, we get

$$(3.1) \quad \sum_{(x,t) \in C \cap B \times \{t\}} \mu_{BR}(V, f_t, x) = \mu_{BR}(V, f)$$

for all $t \in T$. It follows that $(1_r) \Rightarrow (6_r)$. Conversely, $(6_r) \Rightarrow (1_r)$ follows immediately from (3.1) assuming that C is Cohen-Macaulay. \square

Note that the Cohen-Macaulay property of $LC(V)$ holds only for hypersurfaces, see [4]. We need the following lemma (see [1], Lemma 6.1) to prove Theorem 3.7.

Lemma 3.6. ([1]) *Let $f : (\mathbb{C}^n, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}^p, 0)$ be an analytic map germ and J an ideal of \mathcal{O}_p . Let $f^* : \mathcal{O}_p \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_n$ be the ring homomorphism induced by f such that $I = f^*(J)$. If \mathcal{O}_p/J is Cohen-Macaulay and $\text{codim}V(I) = \text{codim}V(J)$, then \mathcal{O}_n/I is also Cohen-Macaulay.*

Theorem 3.7. *If V is a hypersurface with $LC(V)$ CM then $(1_r) \Leftrightarrow (6_r)$.*

Proof. Let $\psi_F : \mathbb{C}^n \times \mathbb{C} \rightarrow T^*(\mathbb{C}^n) \cong \mathbb{C}^{2n}$, $(x, t) \mapsto (x, dF(x))$. Let $I \subset \mathcal{O}_{n+1}$ and $J \subset \mathcal{O}_{2n}$ be ideals of C and $LC(V)$, respectively. Then $\psi_F^*(J) = I$ and $\psi_F^{-1}(LC(V)) = V(dF(\Theta_V)) = C$. As $\dim LC(V) = n$ (see [4]) and $\dim C = 1$ then, by Lemma 3.6, C is CM if $LC(V)$ is CM. \square

We now discuss the relation between equivalences in Theorem 3.3 and Proposition 3.5. The following result was obtained by Gaffney in [7].

Theorem 3.8. *Let $G : \mathbb{C}^n \times \mathbb{C}^t \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}^m, 0)$, $(z, s) \mapsto G(z, s)$, defining $X = G^{-1}(0)$ with reduced structure, $Y = 0 \times \mathbb{C}^t$ and X_0 the smooth part of X . Then $\frac{\partial G}{\partial s} \in \overline{\langle z_i \frac{\partial G}{\partial z_j} \rangle}_{\mathcal{O}_X}$ for all tangent vectors $\frac{\partial}{\partial s}$ to $0 \times \mathbb{C}^t$ iff (X_0, Y) is Whitney regular.*

In the above theorem, $\overline{\langle z_i \frac{\partial G}{\partial z_j} \rangle}_{\mathcal{O}_X}$ denotes the integral closure of the \mathcal{O}_X -module $\langle z_i \frac{\partial G}{\partial z_j} : i, j = 1, \dots, n \rangle$. For definitions and properties, see [7].

Let V be a sufficiently small representative of the germ of an ICIS $(V, 0)$. The Milnor fiber of the complex analytic function f , defined on V , with an isolated singularity at 0 (in the stratified way), has the homotopy type of a bouquet of spheres. The Milnor number of $L\hat{e}$, denoted by $\mu_L(f)$, is defined as the number of spheres in the bouquet.

The following is a corollary of Theorem 3.8.

Corollary 3.9. *Let V be an ICIS. Then $\frac{\partial F}{\partial t} \in \overline{\mathcal{J}_F(\Theta_V)}$ implies that $\mu(f_t^{-1}(0) \cap V) = \mu_L(f)$ is constant.*

Proof. Let $V = \Phi^{-1}(0)$, $\Phi : (\mathbb{C}^n, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}^m, 0)$ and $G : (\mathbb{C}^n \times \mathbb{C}, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}^m \times \mathbb{C}, 0)$ defined by $(x, t) \mapsto G(x, t) = (\Phi(x), F(x, t))$. Let $X_t = g_t^{-1}(0)$. The condition $\frac{\partial F}{\partial t} \in \overline{\mathcal{J}_F(\Theta_V)}_{\mathcal{O}_{n+1}}$ implies that $\frac{\partial G}{\partial t} \in \overline{\langle z_i \frac{\partial G}{\partial z_j} \rangle}_{\mathcal{O}_X}$, see Lemma 6.7 in [14]. Then, from Theorem 3.8 we get that (X_0, Y) is Whitney regular. In particular, the Milnor number $\mu(X_t) = \mu(V \cap f_t^{-1}(0))$ is constant. Therefore, $\mu_L(f_t) = \mu(V \cap f_t^{-1}(0))$ is constant. \square

The following result was obtained by Grulha Jr., see [11].

Theorem 3.10. *Let $V \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ be a hypersurface with isolated singularity such that $LC(V)$ is Cohen-Macaulay, and $F : (\mathbb{C}^n \times \mathbb{C}^r, 0) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ a family of functions with isolated singularity, then:*

(a) $\mu_{BR}(V, f_t)$ constant for the family implies $\mu(f_t)$, $\mu_L(f_t)$ and $Eu_{f_t, V}(0)$ constant for the family, where $Eu_{f_t, V}(0)$ denotes the Euler obstruction of f_t on V at 0 (see [5, 11]).

(b) When $\mu(f_t)$ is constant for the family, we have that $Eu_{f_t, V}(0)$ or $\mu_L(f_t)$ constant for the family implies $\mu_{BR}(V, f_t)$ constant for the family.

We establish now the implication $(4_r) \Rightarrow (1_r)$ in relative case assuming that $LC(V)$ is Cohen-Macaulay such that V is a hypersurface with isolated singularity.

Theorem 3.11. *Suppose $LC(V)$ is Cohen-Macaulay such that V is a hypersurface with isolated singularity. Then (4_r) implies (1_r) .*

Proof. Note that $\frac{\partial F}{\partial t} \in \overline{\mathcal{J}_F(\Theta_V)} \subset \overline{\mathcal{J}_F}$. Then $\mu(f_t)$ is constant by Theorem 1.1. The Corollary 3.9 implies that $\mu_L(f_t)$ is constant. Now, item (b) of Theorem 3.10 implies that $\mu_{BR}(f_t)$ is constant. \square

Remark 3.12. Under the hypothesis of Theorem 3.11, it also follows from Theorem 6.4 [14] that (4_r) implies C^0 - \mathcal{R}_V triviality of F .

In the following example of [17], we investigate that all the conditions (1_r) to (6_r) are satisfied.

Example 3.13. Let $V \subset (\mathbb{C}^2, 0)$ defined by $\Phi(x, y) = x^3 - y^2 = 0$ be a cusp. Consider Θ_V generated by $\xi_1 = (2x, 3y)$, $\xi_2 = (2y, 3x^2)$. In the \mathcal{R}_V classification of germs $f : \mathbb{C}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ given by [2], Theorem 4.9 we found the germ $F(x, y, t) = f_t(x, y) = y^2 + ax^n + tx^{n+1}$, $n \geq 4$ that is finitely determined for $a \neq 0$.

Now, $df_t = (anx^{n-1} + (n+1)tx^n, 2y)$. Therefore, $\mathcal{J}_{f_t}(\Theta_V) = df_t(\Theta_V) = \langle 2(anx^n + t(n+1)x^{n+1}) + 6y^2, 2(anx^{n-1}y + t(n+1)x^ny) + 6x^2y \rangle$. Note that V is a free divisor and its logarithmic stratification is holonomic, therefore it follows from [4], Prop. 6.3 that $LC(V)$ is Cohen-Macaulay.

We compute $\mu_{BR}(V, f_t) = \dim_{\mathbb{C}} \frac{\mathcal{O}_2}{\mathcal{J}_{f_t}(\Theta_V)}$
 $= \dim_{\mathbb{C}} \frac{\mathcal{O}_2}{\langle 2(anx^n + (n+1)tx^{n+1}) + 6y^2, 2(anx^{n-1}y + t(n+1)x^ny) + 6x^2y \rangle} = n + 3$, which is constant.

Now, let $\gamma(s) = (\gamma_1(s), \gamma_2(s), \gamma_3(s))$, then $\nu(\frac{\partial f_t}{\partial t} \circ \gamma) = (n+1)\nu(\gamma_1)$. Therefore, we have

If $n\nu(\gamma_1) < 2\nu(\gamma_2)$, $\nu(df_t(\xi_1) \circ \gamma) = n\nu(\gamma_1) < (n+1)\nu(\gamma_1)$.
 If $n\nu(\gamma_1) > 2\nu(\gamma_2)$, $\nu(df_t(\xi_1) \circ \gamma) = 2\nu(\gamma_2) < n\nu(\gamma_1)$.
 If $n\nu(\gamma_1) = 2\nu(\gamma_2)$, $\nu(df_t(\xi_2) \circ \gamma) = 2\nu(\gamma_1) + \nu(\gamma_2) = (2 + \frac{n}{2})\nu(\gamma_1) < (n+1)\nu(\gamma_1)$,
 $n \geq 3$.

It follows that (2_r) holds, hence all conditions hold.

In the following example of [17], we investigate that all the conditions are satisfied except (2_r) .

Example 3.14. Let $V \subset (\mathbb{C}^3, 0)$ parameterized by $(u, -4v^3 - 2uv, 3v^4 - uv^2)$ be a swallowtail. We have Θ_V generated by $\xi_1 = (2x, 3y, 4z)$, $\xi_2 = (6y, -2x^2 - 8z, xy)$, $\xi_3 = (-4x^2 - 16z, -8xy, y^2)$. In the \mathcal{R}_V classification of germs $f : \mathbb{C}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ given by [2], Theorem 4.10 we found the germ $F(x, y, z, t) = f_t(x, y, z) = z + ax^n + tx^{n+1}$, $n \geq 2$ that is finitely determined for $a \neq 0$ and for $n = 2$ we must also have $a \neq 1/12$.

Now, $df_t = (anx^{n-1} + (n+1)tx^n, 0, 1)$. So, $\mathcal{J}_{f_t}(\Theta_V) = df_t(\Theta_V) = \langle 2(anx^n + t(n+1)x^{n+1}) + 4z, 6(anx^{n-1}y + t(n+1)x^ny) + xy, (-4x^2 - 16z)(anx^{n-1} + t(n+1)x^n) + y^2 \rangle$.

Note that V is a free divisor and its logarithmic stratification is holonomic, so it follows from [4], Prop. 6.3 that $LC(V)$ is Cohen-Macaulay.

We compute $\mu_{BR}(V, f_t) = \dim_{\mathbb{C}} \frac{\mathcal{O}_3}{\mathcal{J}_{f_t}(\Theta_V)}$
 $= \dim_{\mathbb{C}} \frac{\mathcal{O}_2}{\langle xy(1+6t(n+1)x^{n-1}+6anx^{n-2}), [-4x^2+8x^n(an+t(n+1)x)](anx^{n-1}+t(n+1)x^n)+y^2 \rangle}$
 $= \dim_{\mathbb{C}} \frac{\mathcal{O}_2}{\langle xyu_1, -4x^{n+1}u_2+y^2 \rangle}$, where $u_1 = 1 + 6t(n+1)x^{n-1} + 6anx^{n-2}$ and $u_2 = an - 2anx^n(an + t(n+1)x) + t(n+1)x^3 - 2t(n+1)x^{n+1}(an + t(n+1)x)$ such that $u_1(0, 0, t) \neq 0 \neq u_2(0, 0, t)$.
 $= \dim_{\mathbb{C}} \frac{\mathcal{O}_2}{\langle xy, 4x^{n+1}+y^2 \rangle} = n + 3$, which is constant.

Now, using Theorem 4.5 in [15] it follows that $x^{n+1} \in \overline{df_t(\Theta_V)}$, consequently (3_r) holds. Taking the curve $\gamma(s) = (s, 0, -\frac{an}{2}s^n, 0)$ we see that (2_r) does not hold.

Example 3.15. In Example 3.1, V is not a free divisor, but we see that $df_t(\Theta_V) = (4(a+t)x^2 + 4y, 2z, 2(a+t)(x^2 + 3y)x - 4xy)$. Then C is a complete intersection and we can apply Prop. 3.5 to get $(1_r) \Leftrightarrow (6_r)$.

4. QUASIHOMOGENEOUS FUNCTIONS AND VARIETIES

The purpose is to prove a relative version of the following theorem (see Greuel [8] and O'Shea [12]).

Theorem 4.1. *Let f be a quasihomogeneous polynomial with isolated singularity and $F : (\mathbb{C}^n \times \mathbb{C}, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}, 0)$, $(x, t) \mapsto F(x, t) = f_t(x)$ a μ -constant deformation of f . Then $m(f_t) = m(f)$ for small values of t .*

It is known that constant topological type implies constant Milnor number (see [16]). Thus, this theorem gives a positive answer to Zariski's multiplicity conjecture in the special case of a quasihomogeneous polynomial with isolated singularity.

In Theorem 4.3 we establish the relative version of above theorem. The result will follow as consequence of the following Lê-Greuel type formula given by Nuño-Ballesteros, Oréfiçe and Tomazella in [13].

Theorem 4.2. ([13]) *Let $(V, 0)$ be a germ of hypersurface with isolated singularity defined by a quasihomogeneous function germ $\Phi : (\mathbb{C}^n, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}, 0)$ and let $f : (\mathbb{C}^n, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}, 0)$ be a \mathcal{R}_V -finitely determined function germ. Then*

$$\mu_{BR}(V, f) = \mu(f) + \mu_L(f).$$

Theorem 4.3. *Let V be a quasihomogeneous hypersurface in \mathbb{C}^n with isolated singularity. Let $f : (\mathbb{C}^n, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}, 0)$ be a quasihomogeneous function with isolated singularity on V and $F : (\mathbb{C}^n \times \mathbb{C}, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}, 0)$ a μ_{BR} -constant deformation of f . Then $m(f_t) = m(f)$ for small values of t .*

Proof. By Theorem 4.2 we have that if $\mu_{BR}(f_t)$ is constant, then $\mu(f_t)$ and $\mu_L(f_t)$ are constant by the upper semicontinuity of these numbers. Now, we get the result directly from Theorem 4.1. \square

We give an example to show that the above result does not hold if we replace μ_{BR} by μ_L , see Example V. 1. in [3].

Example 4.4. Let $V = \Phi^{-1}(0)$, $\Phi(x, y, z) = x^{15} + y^{10} + z^6$ and $f_t : \mathbb{C}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, $f_t(x, y, z) = xy + tz$ are quasihomogeneous with respect to weights (2,3,5). The function $f_0 = xy$ is not \mathcal{R}_V -finitely determined, i.e., $\mu_{BR}(f_t)$ is not finite, however the curve $\Phi^{-1}(0) \cap f_0^{-1}(0)$ is an *ICIS* in \mathbb{C}^3 , see [3]. Moreover, from [3] it follows that $\mu(\Phi^{-1}(0) \cap f_t^{-1}(0)) = \mu_L(f_t) = 126$ is constant for small values of t and $m(f_t)$ is not constant.

As other application of the Theorem 4.2 we can show the \mathcal{R}_V -topological invariance of μ_{BR} , when V is a quasihomogeneous hypersurface with isolated singularity.

Theorem 4.5. *Let V be a quasihomogeneous hypersurface in \mathbb{C}^n with isolated singularity. Let $f, g : (\mathbb{C}^n, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}, 0)$ be \mathcal{R}_V -finitely determined and f C^0 - \mathcal{R}_V -equivalent to g . Then $\mu_{BR}(V, f) = \mu_{BR}(V, g)$.*

Proof. The hypothesis imply that $\mu_L(f) = \mu_L(g)$, this number is a topological invariant. Also, that f and g are C^0 - \mathcal{R} -equivalent, then it is well known that $\mu(f) = \mu(g)$ [10]. Now, we use the Theorem 4.2 to get $\mu_{BR}(V, f) = \mu_{BR}(V, g)$. \square

Acknowledgements: We are very grateful to the referee for the valuable remarks and careful reading of the paper. We also thank J. J. Nuño-Ballesteros for useful comments. We are thankful to FAPESP for its support in producing this manuscript. The second author also thanks CNPq for its partial support.

REFERENCES

- [1] C. Bivià Ausina and J. J. Nuño-Ballesteros, *The deformation multiplicity of a map germ with respect to Boardman symbol*, Proceedings of the Royal Society of Edinburgh, 131A, 1003-1022, 2001.
- [2] J. W. Bruce, N. P. Kirk, A. A. du Plessis, *Complete transversals and the classification of singularities*, Nonlinearity 10 (1997), 253-275.
- [3] Briançon, J.; Galligo, A.; Granger, M. *Déformations équisingulières des germes de courbes gauches réduites*. Mém. Soc. Math. France (N.S.) 1980/81, no. 1, 69.
- [4] J. W. Bruce, M. Roberts, *Critical Points of Functions on Analytic Varieties*, Topology, 27 (1988), no. 1, 57-90.
- [5] J. P. Brasselet, Lê Dũng Tráng and J. Seade, *Euler obstruction and indices of vector fields*, Topology, 2000.
- [6] J. Damon, *Topological triviality and versality for subgroups of \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{K} : II. Sufficient conditions and applications*, Nonlinearity, 5 (1992), 373-412.
- [7] T. Gaffney, *Integral closure of modules*, Invent. Math., 107 (1992), 301-322.
- [8] G. M. Greuel, *Constant Milnor Number implies Constant Multiplicity for Quasihomogeneous Singularities*, Manuscripta Math. 56 (1986), 159-166.
- [9] Lê Dũng Tráng, *Le concept de singularité isolée de fonction analytique*, Adv. Studies in Pure Math. 8 (1986), 215-227.

- [10] J. Milnor, *Singular Points of Complex Hypersurfaces*, Annals of Mathematical Studies 61, Princeton University Press, Princeton, 1968.
- [11] N. G. Grulha Jr, *The Euler obstruction and Bruce-Roberts' Milnor number*, Q.J. Math. 60 (3), 291-302 (2009).
- [12] D. B. O'Shea, *Topologically trivial deformations of isolated quasihomogeneous hypersurface singularities are equimultiple*, Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society, Volume 101, Number 2, 260-262 (1987).
- [13] J. J. Nuño-Ballesteros, B. Oréfica, J. N. Tomazella, *The Bruce-Roberts number of a function on a weighted homogeneous hypersurface*, The Quarterly Journal of Mathematics 00(2011), 1-12.
- [14] M. A. S. Ruas and J. N. Tomazella, *An infinitesimal criterion for topological triviality of families of sections of analytic varieties*, Advanced Studies in Pure Mathematics 43 (2006), 421-436.
- [15] M. A. S. Ruas and J. N. Tomazella, *Topological triviality of families of functions on analytic varieties*, Nagoya Math. J., Vol. 175, 39-50, 2004.
- [16] B. Teissier, *Cycles évanescents: sections planes et conditions de Whitney*, Astérisque **7-8** (1973), 285-362.
- [17] J. N. Tomazella, *Seções de Variedades Analíticas*, Ph.D. Thesis, ICMC-USP, 1999.

IMRAN AHMED, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, COMSATS INSTITUTE OF INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY, M.A. JINNAH CAMPUS, DEFENCE ROAD, OFF RAIWIND ROAD LAHORE, PAKISTAN AND DEPARTAMENTO DE MATEMÁTICA, INSTITUTO DE CIÊNCIAS MATEMÁTICAS E DE COMPUTAÇÃO, UNIVERSIDADE DE SÃO PAULO, AVENIDA TRABALHADOR SÃO CARLENSE 400, SÃO CARLOS-S.P., BRAZIL.

E-mail address: drimranahmed@ciitlahore.edu.pk

MARIA APARECIDA SOARES RUAS, DEPARTAMENTO DE MATEMÁTICA, INSTITUTO DE CIÊNCIAS MATEMÁTICAS E DE COMPUTAÇÃO, UNIVERSIDADE DE SÃO PAULO, AVENIDA TRABALHADOR SÃO CARLENSE 400, SÃO CARLOS-S.P., BRAZIL.

E-mail address: maasruas@icmc.usp.br

JOÃO NIVALDO TOMAZELLA, DEPARTAMENTO DE MATEMÁTICA, UNIVERSIDADE FEDERAL DE SÃO CARLOS - UFSCAR CAIXA POSTAL 676 SÃO CARLOS - SÃO PAULO, CEP 13560-905 BRAZIL

E-mail address: tomazella@dm.ufscar.br