

Sections of Analytic Variety

B. Oréface and J. N. Tomazella

ABSTRACT. We present a study of sections of a germ of analytic variety V through relations of equivalences defined by geometric subgroups, introduced by J. Damon, that in some way preserve V and we show that under certain conditions these equivalences relate. We also obtain a classification under \mathcal{R}_V -equivalence of sections of S_k -singularities.

1. Introduction

Let $(V, 0)$ be the germ of an analytic subvariety of K^n ($K = \mathbb{R}$ or \mathbb{C}). The study of equivalence of the germ $f : (K^n, 0) \rightarrow (K^p, 0)$ by requiring that the germs of diffeomorphisms on K^n preserve V has been done through groups \mathcal{R}_V , \mathcal{K}_{RV} , \mathcal{K}_V and \mathcal{A}_V which are geometric subgroups of the classical groups of singularity theory \mathcal{R} , \mathcal{K} , \mathcal{A} (see [29]). This study began in [1] with V the discriminant of a versal unfolding and has been followed by [27], [31], [4], [5], [6], [11], etc. Results for unfolding, versality, determinacy theorems and topological triviality for these subgroups were presented in [10], [11] and [12]. A specific study for \mathcal{K}_V was given in [8] and [9], for \mathcal{R}_V in [5]. Results about \mathcal{R}_V -topological triviality of families of function germs were given in [28] and [29].

In this paper we present the equivalence relations defined by these subgroups and show that under certain conditions these equivalences are related. For groups \mathcal{R}_V and \mathcal{K}_{RV} we give algebraic and geometric conditions necessary and sufficient for finite determinacy and we obtain a classification of sections of S_k -singularities (defined in [22]) given by submersions under \mathcal{R}_V -equivalence.

2. Equivalence of Sections

Let \mathcal{O}_n be the ring of analytic function germs $f : (K^n, 0) \rightarrow K$, with $K = \mathbb{R}$ or \mathbb{C} and let m_n be its maximal ideal.

A germ of a subset $(V, 0) \subset (K^n, 0)$ is the germ of analytic variety if there exist analytic function germs f_1, \dots, f_r such that $V = \{x \in K^n : f_1(x) = \dots = f_r(x) = 0\}$. We denote by $I(V)$ the ideal in \mathcal{O}_n consisting of germs vanishing on V , that is, $I(V) = \{\phi \in \mathcal{O}_n : \phi(x) = 0 \forall x \in V\}$.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 32S05, Secondary 58K40.

The first author has been partially supported by FAPESP Grant 2008/53944-8. and by CAPES Grant BEX 2820/10-2.

DEFINITION 2.1. A section of V is a set C obtained by the intersection of V with the germ of a variety $(X, 0) \subset (K^n, 0)$.

All germs which we consider will be analytic. Classically the three principal notions of equivalence for map germs are \mathcal{R} , \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{K} -equivalence. We consider the space of analytic germs $f : (K^n, 0) \rightarrow (K^p, 0)$, which we denote by $\mathcal{O}_{n,p}$. Let \mathcal{D}_s denote the group of germs of diffeomorphisms $\varphi : (K^s, 0) \rightarrow (K^s, 0)$. The group $\mathcal{R} = \mathcal{D}_n$ acts on $\mathcal{O}_{n,p}$ by $\varphi.f = f \circ \varphi^{-1}$. The group $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{D}_n \times \mathcal{D}_p$ acts on $\mathcal{O}_{n,p}$ by $(\varphi, \phi).f = \phi \circ f \circ \varphi^{-1}$. The group \mathcal{K} , contact equivalence, consists of $H \in \mathcal{D}_{n+p}$ such that there is a $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}_n$ so that $H \circ i = i \circ \varphi$ and $\pi \circ H = \varphi \circ \pi$ where $i(x) = (x, 0)$ is the inclusion $i : K^n \rightarrow K^{n+p}$ and $\pi(x, y) = x$ is the projection $\pi : K^{n+p} \rightarrow K^n$, therefore $H(x, y) = (\varphi(x), \theta(x, y))$ with $\theta(x, 0) = 0$. Then \mathcal{K} acts on $\mathcal{O}_{n,p}$ by $(\varphi(x), H.f(x)) = H(x, f(x))$ that is $\text{graph}(H.f) = H(\text{graph}(f))$. Germs are \mathcal{R} , \mathcal{A} or \mathcal{K} -equivalent if they lie in common orbits of the group actions.

We can study sections of V in two ways: X is given by a parametrization $g : (K^m, 0) \rightarrow (K^n, 0)$ or as an inverse image, $X = l^{-1}(0)$, $l : (K^n, 0) \rightarrow (K^p, 0)$. We describe below these different approaches.

In the first case, i e, taking the section of V as an image of a map germ $g : (K^m, 0) \rightarrow (K^n, 0)$, $m < n$, the important equivalence relations are:

DEFINITION 2.2. Two germs g and $g' : (K^m, 0) \rightarrow (K^n, 0)$ are:

(i) \mathcal{A}_V -equivalent if there exist germs $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}_m$ and $\phi \in \mathcal{D}_n$ with $g \circ \varphi = \phi \circ g'$ and $\phi(V) = V$.

(ii) \mathcal{K}_V -equivalent if there exists $H = (\varphi, \theta) \in \mathcal{K}$ such that $H(x, g(x)) = (\varphi(x), g'(\varphi(x)))$ and $H(K^m \times V) \subseteq K^m \times V$. That is,

$$\mathcal{K}_V = \{H = (\varphi, \theta) \in \mathcal{K} : \theta(K^m \times V) \subseteq V\}.$$

This subgroup of \mathcal{K} can be seen as the semi-direct product of \mathcal{R} and \mathcal{C}_V where

$$\mathcal{C}_V = \{H = (id, \theta) \in \mathcal{K} : \theta(K^n \times V) \subseteq V\}$$

and id is the germ at 0 of the identity mapping on K^m .

The \mathcal{K} -equivalence is the one which shows the equivalence of the germs of varieties $g^{-1}(0)$, then the \mathcal{K}_V -equivalence shows the equivalence of the germs of varieties $g^{-1}(V)$. The group \mathcal{K}_V is a geometric subgroup of \mathcal{K} which satisfies the basic theorems of theory of singularities, see [8]. Relations between \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{K}_V are given in [9].

When $X = l^{-1}(0)$ for a germ $l : (K^n, 0) \rightarrow (K^p, 0)$, we consider the following relations of equivalence:

DEFINITION 2.3. Two germs $l, l' : (K^n, 0) \rightarrow (K^p, 0)$ are:

(i) \mathcal{R}_V -equivalent if there exists $\phi \in \mathcal{D}_n$ with $\phi(V) = V$ and $l \circ \phi = l'$. That is,

$$\mathcal{R}_V = \{\phi \in \mathcal{D}_n : \phi(V) = V\}$$

(ii) \mathcal{K}_{RV} -equivalent if there exists $H = (\varphi, \theta) \in \mathcal{K}$ with $\varphi(V) = V$ and $H(x, l(x)) = (\varphi(x), l'(\varphi(x)))$. That is,

$$\mathcal{K}_{RV} = \{H = (\varphi, \theta) \in \mathcal{K} : \varphi(V) = V\}$$

When $p = 1$, the group \mathcal{R}_V has been studied by some authors, such as [5], [13] and [26].

The group \mathcal{K}_{RV} can be seen as semi-direct of product \mathcal{R}_V and \mathcal{C} . Some results on this group were obtained by R. Atique in [2].

DEFINITION 2.4. Let $f : (K^n, 0) \rightarrow (K^p, 0)$ be an analytic map germ, we denote by I_f the ideal generated by components f_1, \dots, f_p of f . A germ $l : (K^p, 0) \rightarrow (K^s, 0)$ is a reduced defining equation for f if the ideal of the functions which vanish on $(f(K^n), 0)$ is generated by I_l , i.e., $I(f(K^n)) = \langle l_1, \dots, l_s \rangle$ as an \mathcal{O}_p -module.

In [18], it's shown that f and $g : (K^n, 0) \rightarrow (K^p, 0)$ are \mathcal{K} -equivalent if only if there exists $h \in \mathcal{D}_n$ taking I_f to I_g , that is $h^*(I_f) = I_g$, where $h^* : \mathcal{O}_n \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_n$ is the ring isomorphism induced by h . It follows that if f and g are \mathcal{K} -equivalent then there is diffeomorphism $h : (K^n, 0) \rightarrow (K^n, 0)$ such that $h(f^{-1}(0)) = g^{-1}(0)$. We remark that the converse is not true, for example, consider $f(x) = x$ and $g(x) = x^2$. However, we can show the following.

LEMMA 2.5. *Let $f, g : (\mathbb{C}^n, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}^p, 0)$, with I_f and I_g radical ideals. If there exists $h : (\mathbb{C}^n, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}^n, 0)$ such that $h(f^{-1}(0)) = g^{-1}(0)$, then f and g are \mathcal{K} -equivalent.*

PROOF. It's enough to show that $h^*(I_g) = I_f$. Let $l \in I_g$ then $l(z) = 0 \forall z \in g^{-1}(0)$. Taking $x \in f^{-1}(0)$, by hypothesis it follows that $h(x) \in g^{-1}(0)$. Hence,

$$h^*(l)(x) = (l \circ h)(x) = l(h(x)) = 0 \Rightarrow l \circ h \in I(V(I_f)) = \sqrt{I_f} = I_f$$

where the penultimate equality follows from the Hilbert's Nullstellensatz Theorem for germs of analytic functions (see [21]), therefore $h^*(I_g) \subseteq I_f$. As h^* is an isomorphism, we can show similarly that $(h^*)^{-1}(I_f) \subseteq I_g$, thus $I_f \subseteq h^*(I_g)$. Therefore $h^*(I_g) = I_f$. \square

The following result connects \mathcal{A}_V classification of section to \mathcal{K}_{RV} classification of reduced defining equation of sections.

THEOREM 2.6. *Given $g, g' : (\mathbb{C}^m, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}^n, 0)$, let $l, l' : (\mathbb{C}^n, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}^p, 0)$ be defining equation for g, g' respectively.*

- (a) *If g, g' are \mathcal{A}_V -equivalent then l, l' are \mathcal{K}_{RV} -equivalent.*
- (b) *If g, g' are \mathcal{A} -finitely determined with $n > 2$ and l, l' are \mathcal{K}_{RV} -equivalent then g, g' are \mathcal{A}_V -equivalent.*

PROOF. (a) If g, g' are \mathcal{A}_V -equivalent then there exists $\phi \in \mathcal{D}_m$ and $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}_n$ such that $g \circ \phi = \varphi \circ g'$ and $\varphi(V) = V$. Hence, $\varphi(\text{Im}(g')) = \text{Im}(g)$,

$$(l \circ \varphi)^{-1}(0) = \varphi^{-1}(l^{-1}(0)) \supseteq \varphi^{-1}(\text{Im}(g)) = \text{Im}(g'), \text{ then}$$

$$I((l \circ \varphi)^{-1}(0)) \subseteq I_{l'} \Rightarrow (l \circ \varphi)^{-1}(0) \supseteq l'^{-1}(0)$$

we have

$$(l' \circ \varphi^{-1})^{-1}(0) = \varphi(l'^{-1}(0)) \supseteq \varphi(\text{Im}(g')) = \text{Im}(g), \text{ then}$$

$$I((l' \circ \varphi^{-1})^{-1}(0)) \subseteq I_l \Rightarrow \varphi(l'^{-1}(0)) \supseteq l^{-1}(0) \Rightarrow l'^{-1}(0) \supseteq (l \circ \varphi)^{-1}(0)$$

Therefore

$$(l \circ \varphi)^{-1}(0) = l'^{-1}(0)$$

as I_l and $I_{l'}$ are radical ideals it follows from Lemma 2.5 that l, l' are \mathcal{K}_{RV} -equivalent, since $\varphi(V) = V$.

(b) If l, l' are \mathcal{K}_{RV} equivalent then there exists $h \in \mathcal{D}_n$, $h(V) = V$ such that $(l \circ h)^{-1}(0) = l'^{-1}(0)$. Thus, $(h^{-1} \circ g)(\mathbb{C}^m) = g'(\mathbb{C}^m)$, and by uniqueness of the normalisation (see [16]) it follows that there exists $\alpha \in \mathcal{D}_m$ such that $h^{-1} \circ g = g' \circ \alpha$, that is, g, g' are \mathcal{A}_V -equivalent. \square

With the hypothesis added that g, g' are germs of immersion we can connect the \mathcal{A}_V -equivalence to the \mathcal{K}_V -equivalence.

THEOREM 2.7. *Let $g, g' : (K^m, 0) \rightarrow (K^n, 0)$ be germs of immersion. Then g and g' are \mathcal{A}_V -equivalent if and only if they are \mathcal{K}_V -equivalent.*

PROOF. We can suppose that $g(x) = (x, \varphi(x))$ and $g'(x) = (\phi_1(x), \phi_2(x))$ (changing the coordinate only in the source). Supposing g, g' are \mathcal{K}_V -equivalent then there exists $H \in \mathcal{D}_{m+n}$ given by $H(x, y) = (h(x), \theta(x, y))$ with $\theta(x, 0) = 0$, $\theta(x, V) \subseteq V$ and

$$H(x, x, \varphi(x)) = (h(x), \theta(x, x, \varphi(x))) = (h(x), \phi_1(h(x)), \phi_2(h(x)))$$

Let $\tilde{\theta} : (K^n, 0) \rightarrow (K^n, 0)$ with $\tilde{\theta}(y) = \theta(y_1, \dots, y_m, y)$. Then

$$\tilde{\theta} \circ g(x) = \tilde{\theta}(x, \phi(x)) = \theta(x, x, \phi(x)) = (\phi_1(h(x)), \phi_2(h(x))) = g' \circ h(x)$$

and $\tilde{\theta}(V) \subseteq V$, $\tilde{\theta} \in \mathcal{D}_n$, since $H \in \mathcal{D}_n$. Therefore g, g' are \mathcal{A}_V -equivalent.

Since \mathcal{A}_V is a subgroup of \mathcal{K}_V , it is immediate that if g and g' are \mathcal{A}_V -equivalent then they are \mathcal{K}_V -equivalent. \square

The following example shows that if g or g' is not an immersion then Proposition 2.7 can be false.

EXAMPLE 2.8. Define $g, g' : (K, 0) \rightarrow (K^2, 0)$ by $g(t) = (t^2, 0)$, $g'(t) = (t^2, t^3)$ and $V = \{(0, y), y \in K\}$. Taking $H(t, x, y) = (t, x, y - tx)$, $H(t, V) \subseteq K \times V$ and $H(t, t^2, t^3) = (t, t^2, 0)$. Therefore g, g' are \mathcal{K}_V -equivalent, but g, g' are not \mathcal{A}_V -equivalent, since g, g' are not \mathcal{A} -equivalent, since g' is 3- \mathcal{A} -determined and g is not \mathcal{A} -finitely determined.

LEMMA 2.9. *If $I(V) = \langle \phi_i \rangle_{i=1, \dots, r}$ as an \mathcal{O}_n -module then $I(K^m \times V) = \langle \phi_i \rangle_{i=1, \dots, r}$ as an \mathcal{O}_{m+n} -module.*

PROOF. $P \in I(K^m \times V)$ if and only if $P(x, y) = 0$, $\forall (x, y) \in K^m \times V$.

By Hadamard's Lemma (see [18]), $P(x, y) - P(0, y) = \sum_{i=1}^m q_i(x, y)x_i$ where

$$q_i(x, y) = \int_0^1 \frac{\partial P}{\partial x_i}(tx_1, \dots, tx_m, y_1, \dots, y_n) dt$$

since $P|_{K^m \times \{y\}} \equiv 0$, we have that $q_i|_{K^m \times \{y\}} \equiv 0 \forall y \in V$.

Therefore, $q_i(K^m \times V) \equiv 0$, i.e., $q_i \in I(K^m \times V)$. From the hypothesis, $P(0, y) = \sum_{i=1}^r h_i(y)\phi_i(y)$, with $h_i \in \mathcal{O}_n$, then

$$P(x, y) = \sum_{i=1}^r h_i(y)\phi_i(y) + \sum_{i=1}^m q_i(x, y)x_i,$$

therefore $I(K^m \times V) \subseteq I(V)_{\mathcal{O}_n} + I(K^m \times V)\langle x_1, \dots, x_m \rangle$, hence

$$I(K^m \times V) \subseteq I(V)_{\mathcal{O}_{m+n}} + I(K^m \times V)\langle x_1, \dots, x_m, y_1, \dots, y_n \rangle.$$

By Nakayama Lemma (see [18]), we have

$$I(K^m \times V) \subseteq I(V)_{\mathcal{O}_{m+n}} = \langle \phi_i \rangle_{i=1, \dots, r} \text{ as an } \mathcal{O}_{m+n}\text{-module.}$$

As the other inclusion is immediate, the result follows. \square

THEOREM 2.10. *Two germs $g, g' : (K^m, 0) \rightarrow (K^n, 0)$ are \mathcal{K}_V -equivalent if and only if there exists $h \in \mathcal{D}_m$ such that $g' \circ h, g$ are \mathcal{C}_V -equivalent.*

PROOF. If g, g' are \mathcal{K}_V -equivalent then there exist germs of diffeomorphism H and h with $H(x, y) = (h(x), \theta(x, y))$, $\theta(x, 0) = 0$, $\theta(x, V) \subseteq V \forall x \in K^m$ and $H \circ (id, g) = (h, g' \circ h)$. Let $H' = (h^{-1}, \pi_2)$, where $\pi_2(x, y) = y$ thus, $H' \circ H(id, g) = (id, \theta(id, g)) = (id, g' \circ h)$, that is, g and $g' \circ h$ are \mathcal{C}_V -equivalent.

If $g, g' \circ h$ are \mathcal{C}_V -equivalent then there exist germs of diffeomorphism H , with $H(x, y) = (x, \theta(x, y))$, $\theta(x, V) \subseteq V \forall x \in K^m$ and $H(id, g) = (id, g' \circ h)$. Let $H'(x, y) = (h(x), y)$, thus $H' \circ H(x, y) = (h(x), \theta(x, y))$. Therefore $H' \circ H(id, g) = (h, \theta(id, g)) = (h, g' \circ h)$, that is, g and g' are \mathcal{K}_V -equivalent. \square

THEOREM 2.11. *Let $g, g' : (K^m, 0) \rightarrow (K^n, 0)$ and $I(V)$ be the ideal generated by ϕ_1, \dots, ϕ_r as an \mathcal{O}_n -module, then:*

- (a) *If g and g' are \mathcal{C}_V -equivalent then $\langle \phi_i \circ g \rangle_{i=1, \dots, r} = \langle \phi_i \circ g' \rangle_{i=1, \dots, r}$.*
- (b) *If g and g' are \mathcal{K}_V -equivalent then $\langle \phi_i \circ g \rangle_{i=1, \dots, r}$ and $\langle \phi_i \circ g' \rangle_{i=1, \dots, r}$ are isomorphic. Therefore the germs $F = (\phi_1 \circ g, \dots, \phi_r \circ g)$ and $G = (\phi_1 \circ g', \dots, \phi_r \circ g')$ are \mathcal{K} -equivalent.*

PROOF. (a) It is enough to show that $\langle \phi_i \circ g' \rangle \subseteq \langle \phi_i \circ g \rangle$, since the other inclusion is shown analogously. We show that each $\phi_i \circ g'$ can be written $\phi_i \circ g' = \sum_{j=1}^r a_{ij}(\phi_j \circ g)$ with $a_{ij} \in \mathcal{O}_{m+n}$, as g and g' are \mathcal{C}_V -equivalent, there exists a germ of diffeomorphism H such that $H(x, y) = (x, \theta(x, y))$, $\theta(x, 0) = 0$, $\theta(x, V) \subseteq V$ and $H(x, g(x)) = (x, \theta(x, g(x))) = (x, g'(x))$ as $\theta(x, V) \subseteq V \Rightarrow \phi_i(\theta(x, V)) \equiv 0 \Rightarrow \phi_i \circ \theta \in I(K^m \times V)$.

By Lemma 2.9, we can write each $\phi_i \circ \theta$ as

$$\phi_i \circ \theta(x, y) = \sum_{j=1}^r a_{ij}(x, y) \phi_j(y) \text{ with } a_{ij} \in \mathcal{O}_{m+n}$$

therefore

$$\phi_i \circ \theta(x, g(x)) = \sum_{j=1}^r a_{ij}(x, g(x)) \phi_j(g(x)),$$

then $\phi_i \circ \theta(x, g(x)) = \phi_i \circ g'(x)$. It follows that $\phi_i \circ g' = \sum_{j=1}^r a_{ij}(\phi_j \circ g)$.

(b) If g and g' are \mathcal{K}_V -equivalent then there exists a diffeomorphism h of K^m such that $g' \circ h$ and g are \mathcal{C}_V -equivalent, by part (a), $\langle \phi_i \circ g \rangle = \langle \phi_i \circ g' \circ h \rangle$. Thus the isomorphism $h^* : \mathcal{O}_m \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_m$ takes $\langle \phi_i \circ g' \rangle$ to $\langle \phi_i \circ g \rangle$. Therefore these ideals are isomorphic. \square

The following example shows that the condition $\langle \phi_i \circ g' \rangle$ isomorphic $\langle \phi_i \circ g \rangle$ does not imply that g and g' are \mathcal{K}_V -equivalent.

EXAMPLE 2.12. Let $V = \{(x, 0), x \in K\} \subseteq K^2$, $I(V) = \langle y \rangle_{\mathcal{O}_2} = \langle \phi \rangle_{\mathcal{O}_2}$. We take $g, g' : (K, 0) \rightarrow (K^2, 0)$ with $g(t) = (t, t^2)$, $g'(t) = (0, t^2)$, so $(\phi \circ g)(t) = t^2 = (\phi \circ g')(t)$, therefore $\langle \phi \circ g \rangle = \langle \phi \circ g' \rangle$. But g and g' are not \mathcal{K}_V -equivalent, since they are not \mathcal{K} -equivalent.

However, the following result is true.

THEOREM 2.13. ([14]) Let $V = \phi^{-1}(0)$ where $\phi : (K^n, 0) \rightarrow (K, 0)$ be a submersion, let $g, g' : (K^m, 0) \rightarrow (K^n, 0)$ be embedding and $h : (K^n, 0) \rightarrow (K^n, 0)$ be a diffeomorphism such that $h^*(\langle \phi \circ g' \rangle) = \langle \phi \circ g \rangle$, then g and g' are \mathcal{K}_V -equivalent.

THEOREM 2.14. *Let $(V, 0) \subset (K^n, 0)$. Two germs $l, l' : (K^r, 0) \rightarrow (K^n, 0)$ are \mathcal{K}_{RV} -equivalent if and only if there exists germ of diffeomorphism $\phi : (K^n, 0) \rightarrow (K^n, 0)$ with $\phi(V) = V$ such that $l' \circ \phi$ and l are \mathcal{C} -equivalent.*

PROOF. If l and l' are \mathcal{K}_{RV} -equivalent, then there exist germs of diffeomorphism H and ϕ with $H(x, y) = (\phi(x), \theta(x, y))$, $\theta(x, 0) = 0$, $\phi(V) = V$ and $H \circ (id, l) = (\phi, l' \circ \phi)$.

Let $H' = (\phi^{-1}, \pi_2)$, where $\pi_2(x, y) = y$. Then $H' \circ H(id, l) = (id, \theta(id, l)) = (id, l' \circ \phi)$, that is, l and $l' \circ \phi$ are \mathcal{C} -equivalent and $\phi(V) = V$.

Conversely, if l and $l' \circ \phi$ are \mathcal{C} -equivalent and $\phi(V) = V$, then there exists germ of diffeomorphism H with $H(x, y) = (x, \theta(x, y))$, $H(id, l) = (id, l' \circ \phi)$.

Let $H'(x, y) = (\phi(x), y)$. We have that $H' \circ H(x, y) = (\phi(x), \theta(x, y))$. Therefore $H' \circ H(id, l) = (\phi, \theta(id, l)) = (\phi, l' \circ \phi)$, then l, l' are \mathcal{K}_{RV} -equivalent. \square

In this work, given an analytic variety germ $(V, 0) \subset (K^n, 0)$, we will always define a section of V through an equation $h = 0$, with $h : (K^n, 0) \rightarrow (K^p, 0)$. Hence, our main interest is action of the groups \mathcal{R}_V and \mathcal{K}_{RV} on the set $\mathcal{O}(n, p)$ of the germs of analytic maps $h : (K^n, 0) \rightarrow (K^p, 0)$.

As an application of the equivalence studied, we will see in the following proposition that the \mathcal{A} classification of bigerms reduces to \mathcal{A}_V or \mathcal{K}_{RV} classification of sections. This result extends for any dimension of the result of R. Atique [2].

A bigerm $G : (K^m, S) \rightarrow (K^n, 0)$, $S = \{x_1, x_2\}$ and $x_i \in K^m$, $i = 1, 2$ is the equivalence classes of the maps that coincide in the neighborhood of S . Without loss of generality we can consider $x_1 = x_2 = 0$ and the germ G as

$$G : \begin{cases} f : (K^m, 0) \rightarrow (K^n, 0) \\ g : (K^m, 0) \rightarrow (K^n, 0) \end{cases}$$

(The equivalence of bigerm is defined by different diffeomorphisms in source and a unique diffeomorphism in the target, see [2]).

THEOREM 2.15. *Consider the bigerms*

$$G : \begin{cases} f : (K^m, 0) \rightarrow (K^n, 0) \\ g : (K^m, 0) \rightarrow (K^n, 0) \end{cases} \quad G' : \begin{cases} f : (K^m, 0) \rightarrow (K^n, 0) \\ g' : (K^m, 0) \rightarrow (K^n, 0) \end{cases}$$

with $n > 2$ and f, g, g' \mathcal{A} -finitely determined. Let l and l' be the defining equation for $g(K^m)$ and $g'(K^m)$ respectively and let V be the image of f . The following statements are equivalent

- (i) G, G' are \mathcal{A} -equivalent,
- (ii) g, g' are \mathcal{A}_V -equivalent,
- (iii) l, l' are \mathcal{K}_{RV} -equivalent.

PROOF. If G, G' are \mathcal{A} -equivalent then there exist diffeomorphisms $\phi_f, \phi_g : (K^m, 0) \rightarrow (K^m, 0)$ and $\varphi : (K^n, 0) \rightarrow (K^n, 0)$ such that $f \circ \phi_f = \varphi \circ f$ and $g \circ \phi_g = \varphi \circ g'$.

Hence $\varphi(\text{Im}(f)) \subseteq \text{Im}(f)$. It follows that $\varphi(V) \subseteq V$, then g, g' are \mathcal{A}_V -equivalent.

Assuming that g, g' are \mathcal{A}_V -equivalent, there exist diffeomorphisms $\phi_g : (K^m, 0) \rightarrow (K^m, 0)$ and $\varphi : (K^n, 0) \rightarrow (K^n, 0)$ such that $g \circ \phi_g = \varphi \circ g'$ and $\varphi(\text{Im}(f)) \subseteq \text{Im}(f)$. Therefore $(\varphi \circ f)(K^n) \subseteq f(K^n)$, by uniqueness of normalisation (see [16], [17]), there exists ϕ such that $f \circ \phi = \varphi \circ f$, hence G, G' are \mathcal{A} -equivalent. It follows from Proposition 2.6 that (ii) is equivalent to (iii). \square

3. Finite Determinacy

Results on finite determinacy of groups ($\mathcal{G}_V = \mathcal{R}_V$ or \mathcal{K}_{RV}) have been obtained by some authors. The group \mathcal{R}_V was studied in [5], [13] and [26]. There are not many results about group \mathcal{K}_{RV} but there are some results in [2].

Our interest is in groups of diffeomorphisms that preserve an analytic variety V . To get these diffeomorphisms the basic technique is the integration of vector fields tangent to V . The main references for studying these vector fields are [5], [8] and [30].

DEFINITION 3.1. ([5]) Let $(V, 0) \subset (K^n, 0)$ be the germ of an analytic variety and let ξ be a germ of analytic vector field on $(K^n, 0)$. Then ξ is said to be *logarithmic* for $(V, 0)$ if, when considered as a derivation $\xi : \mathcal{O}_n \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_n$, $g \mapsto \xi g$, we have $\xi g \in I(V)$ for all $g \in I(V)$, where $\xi g = dg(\xi)$. The \mathcal{O}_n -module of such vector fields is denoted by Θ_V or $Derlog(V)$, that is,

$$\Theta_V = \{\xi \in \mathcal{O}_n^n : \xi g \in I(V), \forall g \in I(V)\}$$

The main properties of Θ_V are given by the following proposition.

THEOREM 3.2. ([5]) (i) Θ_V is the set of the vector field germs at $0 \in K^n$ which are tangent to the set of regular points of V .

(ii) Θ_V is finitely generated as an \mathcal{O}_n -module.

(iii) If $\xi \in \Theta_V$ and $\xi(0) = 0$, then the flow ϕ_t generated by ξ preserves $(V, 0)$. Thus $\phi_t \in \mathcal{R}_V$ for all t .

DEFINITION 3.3. A germ $f : (K^n, 0) \rightarrow (K^p, 0)$ is k - \mathcal{G}_V -finitely determined if all germs g , such that $j^k g(0) = j^k f(0)$ is \mathcal{G}_V -equivalent to f . If f is k - \mathcal{G}_V -finitely determined for some k , we say that f is \mathcal{G}_V -finitely determined.

DEFINITION 3.4. Let $f : (K^n, 0) \rightarrow (K^p, 0)$. We denote by Θ_V^0 the subset of Θ_V constituted by vector fields that vanish at origin. The tangent spaces and the extended tangent spaces of the groups \mathcal{R}_V and \mathcal{K}_{RV} are respectively:

$$\begin{aligned} T\mathcal{R}_V(f) &= df(\Theta_V^0), & T\mathcal{R}_{V,e}(f) &= df(\Theta_V) \\ T\mathcal{K}_{RV}(f) &= df(\Theta_V^0) + f^*(m_p)\mathcal{O}_n^p, & T\mathcal{K}_{RV,e}(f) &= df(\Theta_V) + f^*(m_p)\mathcal{O}_n^p \end{aligned}$$

The next proposition gives a necessary condition to \mathcal{G}_V -finite determinacy.

THEOREM 3.5. If $f : (K^n, 0) \rightarrow (K^p, 0)$ is \mathcal{G}_V -finitely determined, then

$$T\mathcal{G}_V(f) \supset m_n^l \mathcal{O}_n^p,$$

for some positive integer l .

For groups \mathcal{R}_V and \mathcal{K}_{RV} , the essential part of proof, as in groups \mathcal{K} , \mathcal{A} , \mathcal{L} and \mathcal{R} , is to note that $J^k \mathcal{G}_V$, the set of k -jets of elements \mathcal{G}_V , is a Lie group acting smoothly on $J^k(n, p)$. R. Pellikaan in [25] shows that $J^k \mathcal{R}_V$ is a Lie group and as $\mathcal{K}_{RV} = \mathcal{R}_V \cdot \mathcal{C}$ (semi-direct product) it follows that $J^k \mathcal{K}_{RV}$ is Lie group.

The theorems on infinitesimal criterion for \mathcal{G}_V -finite determinacy are known, see for instance [2], [6], [5].

THEOREM 3.6. If $T\mathcal{G}_V(f) \supseteq m_n^k \mathcal{O}_n^p$ then f is k - \mathcal{G}_V -determined, $\mathcal{G}_V = \mathcal{R}_V$, \mathcal{K}_{RV} .

The following corollary is useful for the classification of germs, since it reduces the estimate of tangent space in the finite dimension case.

COROLLARY 3.7. *If $T\mathcal{G}_V(f) + m_n^{k+1}\mathcal{O}_n^p \supseteq m_n^k\mathcal{O}_n^p$ then f is k - \mathcal{G}_V -determined.*

PROOF. This follows Nakayama Lemma, since $T\mathcal{G}_V(f)$ is an \mathcal{O}_n -module, for $\mathcal{G}_V = \mathcal{R}_V, \mathcal{K}_{RV}$. \square

DEFINITION 3.8. (a) We denote by Θ_V^1 the subset of Θ_V constituted by vector fields whose 1-jets are null. If $f : (K^n, 0) \rightarrow (K^p, 0)$ we denote

$$\begin{aligned} T\mathcal{R}_V^1(f) &= df(\Theta_V^1) \\ T\mathcal{K}_{RV}^1(f) &= df(\Theta_V^1) + f^*(m_p)m_n\mathcal{O}_n^p. \end{aligned}$$

(b) We denote by $H^k(n, p)$ the vector space of all the maps $K^n \rightarrow K^p$, where the components are homogeneous polynomials of degree k .

(c) The standard k -jet space $m_n\mathcal{O}_n/m_n^{k+1}\mathcal{O}_n$ is denoted $J^k(n, 1)$.

An efficient method for the classification of singularities finitely determined is the *complete transversal method* described by Bruce, Kirk and du Plessis in [3].

THEOREM 3.9. ([3]) Let $f : (K^n, 0) \rightarrow (K^p, 0)$ be an analytic germ and let T be a vector subspace of the set $H^{k+1}(n, p)$, such that $J^{k+1}(T\mathcal{G}_V^1 f) + T \supset H^{k+1}(n, p)$. Then every $(k+1)$ -jet g with $j^k g = j^k f$ lies in the same \mathcal{G}_V^1 -orbit of some $(k+1)$ -jet of the form $j^{k+1} f + t$, for some $t \in T$.

COROLLARY 3.10. *If $T\mathcal{G}_V^1 f \supseteq m_n^{k+1}\mathcal{O}_n^p$ then f is k - \mathcal{G}_V -determined.*

LEMMA 3.11. *Let $f : (K^n, 0) \rightarrow (K^p, 0)$ be an analytic germ and let $\{\xi_1, \dots, \xi_r\}$ be generators of Θ_V . Then f is \mathcal{K}_{RV} -finitely determined if and only if $Jf(\Theta_V) + f^*(m_p) \supseteq m_n^k$ for some k , where $Jf(\Theta_V)$ is the ideal in \mathcal{O}_n generated by all the $(p \times p)$ -minors of the matrix $(\nabla f_i(\xi_j))_{i=1, \dots, p}^{j=1, \dots, r}$ (if $p > r$, then $Jf(\Theta_V) = 0$).*

PROOF. The proof is an adaptation of the result correspondent for the group \mathcal{K} ([15], Lemma 2.12).

If f is \mathcal{K}_{RV} -finitely determined, then by Proposition 3.5, there exists l such that $df(\Theta_V) + f^*(m_p)\mathcal{O}_n^p \supset m_n^l\mathcal{O}_n^p$. Let $u \in m_n^l\mathcal{O}_n^p = m_n^k$, $u = u_1 \dots u_p$ where $u_i \in m_n^l$. Since

$$(0, \dots, 0, u_i, 0, \dots, 0) \in df(\Theta_V) + f^*(m_p)\mathcal{O}_n^p$$

there exists $\eta_i \in \Theta_V$ such that

$$df(\eta_i) = (0, \dots, 0, u_i, 0, \dots, 0) \text{ mod } f^*(m_p)\mathcal{O}_n^p$$

with $\eta_i = a_1^i \xi_1 + \dots + a_r^i \xi_r$ and $a_j^i \in \mathcal{O}_n$.

Hence,

$$df \begin{pmatrix} a_1^1 \xi_1^1 + \dots + a_r^1 \xi_r^1 & \dots & a_1^p \xi_1^1 + \dots + a_r^p \xi_r^1 \\ a_1^1 \xi_1^2 + \dots + a_r^1 \xi_r^2 & \dots & a_1^p \xi_1^2 + \dots + a_r^p \xi_r^2 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ a_1^1 \xi_1^n + \dots + a_r^1 \xi_r^n & \dots & a_1^p \xi_1^n + \dots + a_r^p \xi_r^n \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} u_1 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & u_2 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & u_p \end{pmatrix}$$

mod $f^*(m_p)\mathcal{O}_n^p$. Thus,

$$df \begin{pmatrix} \xi_1^1 & \xi_2^1 & \dots & \xi_r^1 \\ \xi_1^2 & \xi_2^2 & \dots & \xi_r^2 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \xi_1^n & \xi_2^n & \dots & \xi_r^n \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} a_1^1 & a_2^1 & \dots & a_r^1 \\ a_1^2 & a_2^2 & \dots & a_r^2 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ a_1^p & a_2^p & \dots & a_r^p \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} u_1 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & u_2 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & u_p \end{pmatrix}$$

$\text{mod } f^*(m_p)\mathcal{O}_n^p$, otherwise,

$$\begin{pmatrix} \nabla f_1(\xi_1) & \cdots & \nabla f_1(\xi_r) \\ \nabla f_2(\xi_1) & \cdots & \nabla f_2(\xi_r) \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \nabla f_p(\xi_1) & \cdots & \nabla f_p(\xi_r) \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} a_1^1 & a_1^2 & \cdots & a_1^p \\ a_2^1 & a_2^2 & \cdots & a_2^p \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ a_r^1 & a_r^2 & \cdots & a_r^p \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} u_1 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & u_2 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & u_p \end{pmatrix}$$

$\text{mod } f^*(m_p)\mathcal{O}_n^p$. Taking the determinant of each side of the equation, it follows that $u \in Jf(\Theta_V) + f^*(m_p)$.

Assuming now that $Jf(\Theta_V) + f^*(m_p) \supseteq m_n^k$. It is enough to show that

$$Jf(\Theta_V)\mathcal{O}_n^p \subseteq df(\Theta_V).$$

Let $M = (\nabla f_i(\xi_{j_s}))_{i=1, \dots, p}^{s=1, \dots, p}$ be a $(p \times p)$ sub-matrix of the matrix $(\nabla f_i(\xi_j))_{i=1, \dots, p}^{j=1, \dots, r}$. Let $\{e_1, \dots, e_p\}$ be the standard base of K^p , then $(\det M)e_k \in Jf(\Theta_V)\mathcal{O}_n^p$ and

$$(\det M)e_k = \begin{pmatrix} \nabla f_1(\xi_1) & \cdots & \nabla f_1(\xi_r) \\ \nabla f_2(\xi_1) & \cdots & \nabla f_2(\xi_r) \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \nabla f_p(\xi_1) & \cdots & \nabla f_p(\xi_r) \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \vdots \\ \text{cof}(\nabla f_k(\xi_{j_1})) \\ \vdots \\ \text{cof}(\nabla f_k(\xi_{j_p})) \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

where $\text{cof}(\nabla f_k(\xi_{j_s}))$ is the cofactor of $\nabla f_i(\xi_{j_s})$ in the matrix M , then

$$(\det M)e_k = df \begin{pmatrix} \xi_1^1 & \xi_2^1 & \cdots & \xi_r^1 \\ \xi_1^2 & \xi_2^2 & \cdots & \xi_r^2 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \xi_1^n & \xi_2^n & \cdots & \xi_r^n \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \vdots \\ \text{cof}(\nabla f_k(\xi_{j_1})) \\ \vdots \\ \text{cof}(\nabla f_k(\xi_{j_p})) \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} (\det M)e_k &= df \begin{pmatrix} \xi_{j_1}^1 \text{cof}(\nabla f_k(\xi_{j_1})) + \cdots + \xi_{j_p}^1 \text{cof}(\nabla f_k(\xi_{j_p})) \\ \xi_{j_1}^2 \text{cof}(\nabla f_k(\xi_{j_1})) + \cdots + \xi_{j_p}^2 \text{cof}(\nabla f_k(\xi_{j_p})) \\ \vdots \\ \xi_{j_1}^n \text{cof}(\nabla f_k(\xi_{j_1})) + \cdots + \xi_{j_p}^n \text{cof}(\nabla f_k(\xi_{j_p})) \end{pmatrix} \\ &= df(\text{cof}(\nabla f_k(\xi_{j_1}))\xi_{j_1} + \text{cof}(\nabla f_k(\xi_{j_2}))\xi_{j_2} + \cdots + \text{cof}(\nabla f_k(\xi_{j_p}))\xi_{j_p}) \end{aligned}$$

therefore, $(\det M)e_k \in df(\Theta_V)$ and $Jf(\Theta_V)\mathcal{O}_n^p \subseteq df(\Theta_V)$. \square

The following proposition is the geometric criterion for the \mathcal{K}_{RV} -finitely determinacy.

THEOREM 3.12. *Let $f : (\mathbb{C}^n, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}^p, 0)$ and let $(V, 0) \subseteq (\mathbb{C}^n, 0)$. Then f is \mathcal{K}_{RV} -finitely determined if and only if $V(Jf(\Theta_V)) \cap f^{-1}(0) \subseteq \{0\}$.*

PROOF. By Lemma 3.11, f is \mathcal{K}_{RV} -finitely determined if and only if $Jf(\Theta_V) + f^*(m_p) \supseteq m_n^k$ for some k . Then, $V(Jf(\Theta_V) + f^*(m_p)) \subseteq V(m_n^k)$. Hence,

$$V(Jf(\Theta_V)) \cap V(f^*(m_p)) \subseteq \{0\} \text{ and } V(Jf(\Theta_V)) \cap f^{-1}(0) \subseteq \{0\}.$$

Going in the other direction, assuming that $V(Jf(\Theta_V)) \cap f^{-1}(0) \subseteq \{0\}$ we get

$$I(V(Jf(\Theta_V) + f^*(m_p))) \supseteq I(\{0\}).$$

Thus, by *Hilbert's Nullstellensatz Theorem* for analytic function germs (see [21]),

$$\sqrt{Jf(\Theta_V) + f^*(m_p)} \supseteq m_n,$$

hence $Jf(\Theta_V) + f^*(m_p) \supseteq m_n^k$ for some k . \square

The following theorem is the geometric criterion for the \mathcal{R}_V -finite determinacy and its proof is a consequence of the proof of Proposition 3.12.

THEOREM 3.13. *Let $(V, 0) \subseteq (\mathbb{C}^n, 0)$ be an analytic variety germ and let $f : (\mathbb{C}^n, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}, 0)$ be an analytic function germ. Let $V(f) = \{x \in \mathbb{C}^n : \xi f(x) = 0 \text{ for all } \xi \in \Theta_V\}$. Then f is \mathcal{R}_V -finitely determined if and only if $V(f) = \{0\}$ or \emptyset .*

In the real case, the necessary condition remains true, that is, if f is \mathcal{G}_V -finitely determined then: $V(Jf(\Theta_V)) \cap f^{-1}(0) \subseteq \{0\}$ for $\mathcal{G}_V = \mathcal{K}_{RV}$ and the set $\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : \xi f(x) = 0 \text{ for all } \xi \in \Theta_V\}$ is $\{0\}$ or \emptyset for $\mathcal{G}_V = \mathcal{R}_V$.

THEOREM 3.14. *Let $(V, 0) \subseteq (K^n, 0)$ be an analytic variety germ and let $f : (K^n, 0) \rightarrow (K, 0)$. If f is \mathcal{R}_V -finitely determined then $f^{-1}(0)$ is transverse to V away from 0.*

PROOF. By hypothesis there exists a positive integer l such that $df(\Theta_V) \supseteq m_n^l$, thus we have that $V(df(\Theta_V)) \subseteq \{0\}$. However, $V(df(\Theta_V))$ describes the set of points where $f^{-1}(0)$ is not transverse to V , thus $f^{-1}(0)$ is transverse to V away from 0. \square

In the following example we show that the converse of Theorem 3.14 is not true.

EXAMPLE 3.15. Let $V \subseteq (\mathbb{C}^2, 0)$ be defined by $\varphi(x, y) = x^3 - y^2 = 0$. We have that Θ_V is generated by $\alpha_1 = (2x, 3y), \alpha_2 = (2y, 3x^2)$. Let $f(x, y) = y^2$, $f^{-1}(0) = x$ -axis. It is transverse to V away from 0 but f is not \mathcal{R}_V -finitely determined.

Bruce and Roberts, in [5], introduced a generalization of Milnor number of a function germ f related to a variety V by $\mu_{BR}(f, V) = \dim \frac{\mathcal{O}_n}{df(\Theta_V)}$. We will call it the Bruce-Roberts number of f with respect to V . Like the Milnor number of f , this number shows some geometric properties of f and V . For instance, if one considers the group \mathcal{R}_V , then f is finitely determined with respect to the action of \mathcal{R}_V on \mathcal{O}_n if and only if $\mu_{BR}(f, V)$ is finite and, in this case, the codimension of the orbit of f under this action is equal to $\mu_{BR}(f, V)$. We refer to [5] for more details.

4. Section of the Singularities S_k

The goal of this section is to classify germs of submersion $h : (\mathbb{C}^3, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}, 0)$ by action of \mathcal{R}_V where V is the image of S_k -singularities classified by Mond in [22], $S_k = \{(x, y^2, y^3 + x^{k+1}y)\}$, $k \geq 1$, in this case the sections are space curves $C_k = S_k \cap h^{-1}(0)$.

We have that $\varphi_k(x, y, z) = 2x^{k+1}y^2 + y^3 - z^2 + x^{2(k+1)}y = 0$ is a reduced defining equation for the images of S_k (obtained using Gröbner basis methods) and the germ of the singular set of S_k is a smooth curve given by $\Sigma_k = \{(x, -x^{k+1}, 0)\}$.

Given a finite function germ $f : (C, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}, 0)$ on a complex analytic reduced space curve $(C, 0)$, in [24] is shown that

$$\mu(f|_C) = \mu(C) + \deg(f) - 1,$$

where $\mu(C)$ is the Milnor number of the curve, as defined by Buchweitz and Greuel [7], $\deg(f)$ is the degree of f (that is, the number of inverse images of a generic value), and $\mu(f|_C)$ is the Milnor number of f , introduced by Goryunov [19] for curves in $(\mathbb{C}^3, 0)$ and by Mond and D. van Straten [23] for the general case of curves in $(\mathbb{C}^n, 0)$. As Σ_k is a smooth curve it follows that $\mu(h|_{\Sigma_k}) = \deg(h|_{\Sigma_k}) - 1$.

THEOREM 4.1. Θ_{S_k} is the \mathcal{O}_3 -module generated by

$$\begin{aligned} \eta_1 &= (2x, 2(k+1)y, 3(k+1)z) & \eta_2 &= (0, 2z, x^{2(k+1)} + 4x^{k+1}y + 3y^2) \\ \eta_3 &= (x^{k+1} + 3y, -2(k+1)x^k y, 0) & \eta_4 &= (z, 0, (k+1)(x^{2k+1}y + x^k y^2)) \end{aligned}$$

PROOF. If $\varphi_k = 2x^{k+1}y^2 + y^3 - z^2 + x^{2(k+1)}y$ then $S_k = \varphi_k^{-1}(0)$. We have that $d\varphi_k = (\varphi_{k_x}, \varphi_{k_y}, \varphi_{k_z}) = (2(k+1)x^k y^2 + 2(k+1)x^{2k+1}y, 4x^{k+1}y + 3y^2 + x^{2(k+1)}, -2z)$,

$$d\varphi_k(\eta_1) = 6(k+1)\varphi_k \text{ and } d\varphi_k(\eta_2) = d\varphi_k(\eta_3) = d\varphi_k(\eta_4) = 0,$$

so η_1, η_2, η_3 and η_4 are in Θ_{S_k} .

Now if $\xi \in \Theta_{S_k}$ then $d\varphi_k(\xi) = \lambda\varphi_k$ for some $\lambda \in \mathcal{O}_3$, then

$$d\varphi_k(\xi - \frac{1}{6(k+1)}\lambda\eta_1) = d\varphi_k(\xi) - \frac{\lambda}{6(k+1)}d\varphi_k(\eta_1) = d\varphi_k(\xi) - \lambda\varphi_k = 0,$$

so we need only to check that η_1, η_2, η_3 and η_4 generate all germs of vector ξ such that $d\varphi_k(\xi) = 0$. Writing $\xi = (\xi_1, \xi_2, \xi_3)$, we have $d\varphi_k(\xi) = 0$ if and only if

$$\xi_1\varphi_{k_x} + \xi_2\varphi_{k_y} - \xi_3\varphi_{k_z} = 0,$$

if and only if (ξ_1, ξ_2, ξ_3) is a syzygy of $(\varphi_{k_x}, \varphi_{k_y}, \varphi_{k_z})$ which is generated by

$$(\varphi_{k_y}, -\varphi_{k_x}, 0) = (y + x^{k+1})\eta_1, (\varphi_{k_z}, 0, -\varphi_{k_x}) = -\frac{1}{2}\eta_4 \text{ and } (0, -\varphi_{k_z}, \varphi_{k_y}) = \eta_2,$$

so the result follows. \square

We follow the standard classification techniques, namely to classify germs inductively at the jet level until a sufficient jet is obtained (and hence produces a finitely determined germ). For this we use the complete transversal method, Mather's Lemma and the results on finite determinacy. To calculate the Milnor number and the Bruce-Roberts number we use SINGULAR (see [20]).

THEOREM 4.2. *Let V be the image of S_k , $k \geq 1$ and $h : (\mathbb{C}^3, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}, 0)$ be a germ of submersion \mathcal{R}_V -finitely determined with $\mu(h|_{\Sigma_k}) \leq k$. Then h is \mathcal{R}_V -equivalent to one of the following germs*

h	$\mu_{BR}(h, S_k)$	$\mu(C_k)$	$\mu(h _{\Sigma_k})$
x	1	2	0
$y + a_2x^2, a_2 \neq 0$	3	5	1
$y + a_3x^3 + a_4x^4, a_3 \neq 0$	5	8	2
$y + a_4x^4 + a_5x^5 + a_6x^6, a_4 \neq 0$	7	11	3
\vdots	\vdots	\vdots	\vdots
$y + a_{k+1}x^{k+1} + a_{k+2}x^{k+2} + \cdots + a_{2k}x^{2k},$ $a_{k+1} \neq 0, 1$	$2k + 1$	$3k + 2$	k
$y + x^{k+1} + a_lx^l + \cdots + a_{l+k-1}x^{l+k-1},$ $l \geq k + 2, a_l \neq 0$	$l + k$	$2l + k$	k
$y + a_lx^l + a_{l+1}x^{l+1} + \cdots + a_{l+k-1}x^{l+k-1},$ $l \geq k + 2, a_l \neq 0$	$l + k$	$l + 2k + 1$	k
$z + a_2x^2, a_2 \neq 0$	4	6	1
$z + a_3x^3 + a_4x^4, a_3 \neq 0$	7	10	2
$z + a_4x^4 + a_5x^5 + a_6x^6, a_4 \neq 0$	10	14	3
\vdots	\vdots	\vdots	\vdots
$z + a_lx^l + a_{l+1}x^{l+1} + \cdots + a_{2l-2}x^{2l-2},$ $a_l \neq 0, l \leq k + 1$	$3l - 2$	$4l - 2$	$l - 1$

In what follows we give a sketch of the proof.

With the intention of setting ideas, we start with the case $k = 1$:

Section of S_1 .

We start classifying by the 1-jets. By Mather's Lemma and change of scale the orbit in $J^1(3, 1)$ of the action of $J^1\mathcal{R}_V$ reduces to: x, y, z and 0.

Case (i): Classification of germs h for which the 1-jet is x .

Consider the germ $h(x, y, z) = x$. Then $T\mathcal{R}_V(h) + \mathcal{M}^2 \supset \mathcal{M}^1$ and x is 1- \mathcal{R}_V -determined.

Case (ii): Classification of germs h for which the 1-jet is y .

Consider the germ $h(x, y, z) = y$, by geometric criterion, y is not \mathcal{R}_V -finite. Then a complete transversal is given by $h = y + a_lx^l$.

(a): If $l = 2$, $a_2 \neq 0$ and $a_2 \neq 1$ then $h(x, y, z) = y + a_2x^2$ is 2- \mathcal{R}_V -determined.

When $a_2 = 1$, $h = y + x^2$ is not \mathcal{R}_V -finite, a complete transversal is $y + x^2 + a_lx^l$.

Let $h = y + x^2 + a_lx^l$ with $a_l \neq 0$, then $T\mathcal{R}_V(h) + \mathcal{M}^{l+2} \supseteq \mathcal{M}^{l+1}$ hence $y + x^2 + a_lx^l$ is $(l + 1)$ - \mathcal{R}_V -determined. It shows that in fact it is (l) - \mathcal{R}_V -determined for all $a_l \neq 0$.

(b): If $l \neq 2$ and $a_l \neq 0$ then $h = y + a_lx^l$ is l - \mathcal{R}_V -determined.

Case (iii): Classification of the germs for which the 1-jet is z .

Consider the germ $h(x, y, z) = z$ which is not \mathcal{R}_V -finite.

As $J^2(T\mathcal{R}_V^1(h)) + \mathbb{C}\{x^2, xy, y^2\} \supseteq H^2$, all the 2-jets which 1-jet is z are \mathcal{R}_V -equivalent to $z + a_2x^2 + bxy + cy^2$. We have

$$J^2(T\mathcal{R}_V(h)) = \langle 2a_2x^2 + 3bxy + 4cy^2 + 3z, 2bxz + 4cyz + 3y^2, 6a_2xy + 3by^2, 2a_2xz + byz \rangle,$$

therefore $y^2 \in j^2(T\mathcal{R}_V(h))$, $\forall a_2, b, c$ if $a_2 \neq 0$, $xy \in j^2(T\mathcal{R}_V(h))$, $\forall b, c$.
Hence, by Mather's Lemma, we have:

- For $a_2 \neq 0$, $h = z + a_2x^2 + bxy + cy^2 \sim z + a_2x^2$ is $2-\mathcal{R}_V$ -determined.
- For $a_2 = 0$, $b \neq 0$, $h = z + bxy + cy^2 \sim z + bxy$ and $\mu(h|_{\Sigma_1}) = 2 > 1$.
- For $a_2 = b = 0$, $j^2(h) = z$ and $\mu(h|_{\Sigma_1}) \geq 2$.

Sections of S_k .

We have that orbits in $J^1(3, 1)$ of the act of $J^1\mathcal{R}_V$ are: x, y, z and 0 .

Case (i): Classification of the germs h for which the 1-jet is x .

Let $h(x, y, z) = x$, so $T\mathcal{R}_V(h) \supset \mathcal{M}^1$ and x is $1-\mathcal{R}_V$ -determined.

Case (ii): Classification of the germs h for which the 1-jet is y .

The generators of the tangent space are: $\eta_1h = 2(k+1)y$, $\eta_2h = 2z$, $\eta_3h = -2(k+1)x^3y$ and $\eta_4h = 0$ and a l -complete transversal is $y + a_lx^l$.

Let $h = y + a_lx^l$, then $\eta_1h = 2a_lx^l + 2(k+1)y$, $\eta_2h = 2z$, $\eta_3h = la_lx^{k+l} + 3la_lx^{l-1}y - 2(k+1)x^ky$ and $\eta_4h = la_lx^{l-1}z$.

(a): If $l = k+1$, $a_{k+1} \neq 0$ and $a_{k+1} \neq 1$ then $h = y + a_{k+1}x^{k+1}$ is \mathcal{R}_V -finitely determined.

In this case $T\mathcal{R}_V(h) \supseteq \mathcal{M}^{2k+1}$, if $a_{k+1} \neq 0$ and $a_{k+1} \neq 1$, thus $y + a_{k+1}x^{k+1}$ is $(2k+1)-\mathcal{R}_V$ -determined. Successively using the complete transversal method we obtain $F = y + a_{k+1}x^{k+1} + a_{k+2}x^{k+2} + \dots + a_{2k}x^{2k} + a_{2k+1}x^{2k+1}$, as $x^{2k+1} \in T\mathcal{R}_V(F)$, then by Mather's Lemma $F \sim y + a_{k+1}x^{k+1} + a_{k+2}x^{k+2} + \dots + a_{2k}x^{2k}$.

For $a_{k+1} = 1$, let $h = y + x^{k+1}$, then $\eta_1h = 2(k+1)x^{k+1} + 2(k+1)y$, $\eta_2h = 2z$, $\eta_3h = (k+1)x^{2k+1} + (k+1)x^ky$ and $\eta_4h = (k+1)x^kz$. Hence by geometric criterion, $y + x^{k+1}$ is not \mathcal{R}_V -finite. A l -complete transversal for $y + x^{k+1}$ is $y + x^{k+1} + a_lx^l$, $l > (k+1)$.

Let $h = y + x^{k+1} + a_lx^l$ with $a_l \neq 0$. Then $\eta_1h = 2(k+1)x^{k+1} + 2(k+1)y + 2a_lx^l$, $\eta_2h = 2z$, $\eta_3h = (k+1)x^{2k+1} + (k+1)x^ky + la_lx^{k+l} + 3la_lx^{l-1}y$ and $\eta_4h = (k+1)x^kz + la_lx^{l-1}z$. Hence $T\mathcal{R}_V(h) + \mathcal{M}^{k+l+1} \supseteq \mathcal{M}^{k+l}$, thus $y + x^{k+1} + a_lx^l$ is $(k+l)-\mathcal{R}_V$ -determined. Successively using complete transversal method we obtain $F = y + x^{k+1} + a_lx^l + \dots + a_{k+l-1}x^{k+l-1} + a_{k+l}x^{k+l}$, as $x^{k+l} \in T\mathcal{R}_V(F)$, then by Mather's Lemma $F \sim y + x^{k+1} + a_lx^l + \dots + a_{k+l-1}x^{k+l-1}$.

(b): If $l \neq (k+1)$ and $a_l \neq 0$ then $h = y + a_lx^l$ is \mathcal{R}_V -finitely determined.

If $l > (k+1)$, $T\mathcal{R}_V(h) + \mathcal{M}^{k+l+1} \supseteq \mathcal{M}^{k+l}$ and $y + a_lx^l$ is $(k+l)-\mathcal{R}_V$ -determined. Successively using the complete transversal method we obtain $F = y + a_lx^l + \dots + a_{k+l-1}x^{k+l-1} + a_{k+l}x^{k+l}$, as $x^{k+l} \in T\mathcal{R}_V(F)$, then by Mather's Lemma $F \sim y + a_lx^l + \dots + a_{k+l-1}x^{k+l-1}$.

If $l < (k+1)$ then $T\mathcal{R}_V(h) + \mathcal{M}^{2l} \supseteq \mathcal{M}^{2l-1}$ and $y + a_lx^l$ is $(2l-1)-\mathcal{R}_V$ -determined. Successively using the complete transversal method we obtain $y + a_lx^l + \dots + a_{2l-2}x^{2l-2} + a_{2l-1}x^{2l-1}$, as $x^{2l-1} \in T\mathcal{R}_V(F)$, then by Mather's Lemma $F \sim y + a_lx^l + \dots + a_{2l-2}x^{2l-2}$.

Case (iii): Classification of the germs h for which the 1-jet is z .

By geometric criterion, $h = z$ is not \mathcal{R}_V -finite. A 2-complete transversal for z is $h = z + a_2x^2 + bxy + cy^2$.

$$J^2(T\mathcal{R}_V) = \langle 4a_2x^2 + (4+2k)bxy + 4(k+1)cy^2 + 3(k+1)z, \\ 2bxz + 4cyz + 3y^2, 6a_2xy + 3by^2, 2a_2xz + byz \rangle.$$

We have $j^2x\eta_1h = 3(k+1)xz$, $j^2y\eta_1h = 3(k+1)yz$, $j^2\eta_2h = 2bxz +$

$4cyz + 3y^2 \in j^2\eta_3h = 6a_2xy + 3by^2$. Then $a_2xy, y^2 \in j^2(TR_V(h))$ and by Mather's Lemma:

For $a_2 \neq 0$, $h = z + a_2x^2 + bxy + cy^2 \sim z + a_2x^2$.

For $a_2 = 0$, $b \neq 0$, $h = z + bxy + cy^2 \sim z + bxy$.

For $a_2 = b = 0$, $h = z$.

If $a_2 \neq 0$, $h = z + a_2x^2$ is $2\mathcal{R}_V$ -determined.

If $a_2 = 0$ and $b \neq 0$ taking $h = z + bxy$. We have $\mu(h|_{\Sigma_k}) = k + 1 > k$.

If $b = 0$, let $j^{l-1}h = z$, $l \geq 3$, a l -complete transversal for z is $z + a_lx^l + b_{l-1}x^{l-1}y$ if $3 \leq l \leq (2k + 2)$ and $z + a_lx^l$ if $l \geq (2k + 3)$.

Then for $h = z + a_lx^l + b_{l-1}x^{l-1}y$, $\eta_1h = 2la_lx^l + 2(l+k)b_{l-1}x^{l-1}y + 3(k+1)z$, $\eta_2h = 2b_{l-1}x^{l-1}z + x^{2k+2} + 4x^{k+1}y + 3y^2$, $\eta_3h = la_lx^{l+k} + 3la_lx^{l-1}y + (l-2k-3)b_{l-1}x^{l+k-1}y + (3l-3)b_{l-1}x^{l-2}y^2$ and $\eta_4h = la_lx^{l-1}z + (l-1)b_{l-1}x^{l-2}yz + (k+1)x^{2k+1}y + (k+1)x^ky^2$

thus, for $a_l \neq 0$, $x^{l-1}y \in TR_V(h)$ and by Mather's Lemma $h \sim z + a_lx^l$.

Let $h = z + a_lx^l$, with $a_l \neq 0$. If $l > k + 1$, $\mu(h|_{\Sigma_k}) \geq k + 1 > k$, then we do not need to classify. If $l \leq k + 1$, h is $(2l - 1)\mathcal{R}_V$ -determined and $x^{2l-1} \in TR_V(\tilde{h})$ with $\tilde{h} = z + a_lx^l + a_{l+1}x^{l+1} + \dots + a_{2l-2}x^{2l-2}$, then $h \sim z + a_lx^l + a_{l+1}x^{l+1} + \dots + a_{2l-2}x^{2l-2}$.

References

1. V. I. Arnold, *Wave front evolution and equivariant Morse lemma*, Commun. Pure App. Math. **29** (1976), 557–582.
2. R. Wik-Atique, *On the classification of multi-germs of maps from \mathbb{C}^2 to \mathbb{C}^3 under A -equivalence*, in J.W.Bruce and F.Tari(eds.) *Real and Complex Singularities*, Research Notes in Maths Series, Chapman & Hall / CRC, 119-133.
3. J. W. Bruce, N. P. Kirk, and A. A. du Plessis, *Complete transversals and the classification of singularities*, Nonlinearity **10** (1997), 253–275.
4. J. W. Bruce and P. L. Giblin, *Smooth maps of discriminant varieties*, Proc. London Math. Soc. (3) **50** (1984), 535–551.
5. J. W. Bruce and R. M. Roberts, *Critical points of functions on analytic varieties*, Topology **27** (1988), 57–90.
6. J. W. Bruce and J. M. West, *Functions on a crosscap*, Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. **123** (1998), no. 1, 19–39.
7. R. O. Buchweitz and G. M. Greuel, *The Milnor number and deformations of complex curve singularities*. Invent. Math. **58** (1980), 241–281.
8. J. Damon, *Deformations of sections of singularities and Gorenstein surface singularities*, Amer. J. Math. **109** (1987), 695–722.
9. J. Damon, *A-equivalence and the equivalence of sections of images and discriminants*, Singularity Theory and its Applications: Warwick 1989, Part I, Lecture Notes in Math., **1462** (1991), 93–121, Springer-Verlag, New York.
10. J. Damon, *The unfolding and determinacy theorems for subgroups of A and K* , Memoirs Amer. Math. Soc. **306** (1984).
11. J. Damon, *Topological triviality and versality for subgroups of A and K* , Memoirs Amer. Math. Soc. **389** (1988).
12. J. Damon, *Topological triviality and versality for subgroups of A and K : II. Sufficient conditions and applications*, Nonlinearity **5**(1992), 373–412.
13. A. Dimca, *Function germs defined on isolated hypersurface singularities*, Composition Math. **53** (1984), 245–258.
14. M. C. R. Fuster, S. Mancini, M. A. S. Ruas, *Stability and genericity of composition of maps*, Matemática Contemporânea, **5** (1993), 29–51.
15. T. Gaffney, *Properties of finitely determined germs*, Thesis, Brandeis University, 1975.
16. T. Gaffney, L. Wilson, *Equivalence of generic mappings and C^∞ normalization*, Compositio Mathematica **49** (1983), 291–308.

17. T. Gaffney, L. Wilson, *Equivalence theorems in global singularity theory*, Proc. of Symposia in Pure Math. **40**(1983), 439–447.
18. C. G. Gibson, *Singular points of smooth mappings*. Research Notes in Mathematics **25** London, Pitman.
19. V. V. Goryunov, *Functions on space curves*, J. Lond. Math. Soc. (2) **61** (2000), no. 3, 807–822.
20. G. M. Greuel and G. Pfister, SINGULAR 3.1.0, *A computer algebra system for polynomial computations*, <http://www.singular.uni-kl.de>.
21. R. C. Gunning and H. Rossi, *Analytic functions of several complex variables*, Prentice-Hall, 1965.
22. D. Mond, *On the classification of maps from \mathbb{R}^2 to \mathbb{R}^3* , Proc. London Math. Soc., **50** (1985), 333–369.
23. Mond, D. and D. van Straten, *Milnor number equals Tjurina number for functions on space curves*. J. Lond. Math. Soc., II. Ser. **63**, **1**, (2001) 177–187.
24. J. J. Nuño-Ballesteros and J. N. Tomazella, *The Milnor number of a function on a space curve germ*, Bull. Lond. Math. Soc. **40** (2008), no.1, 129–138.
25. R. Pellikaan, *Finite determinacy of functions with non-isolated singularities*, Proc. London Math. Soc., (3) **57** (1988), 357–382.
26. S. Izumiya and S. Matsuoka, *Notes on smooth functions germs on varieties*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **97** (1989), 146–150.
27. O. Lyashko, *Classification of critical points of functions on a manifold with singular boundary*, Funct. Anal. Appl. (1984), 187–193.
28. M. A. S. Ruas and J. N. Tomazella, *Topological triviality of families of functions on analytic varieties*, Nagoya Math. Journal, **175** September, (2004).
29. M. A. S. Ruas and J. N. Tomazella, *An infinitesimal criterion for topological triviality of families of sections of analytic varieties*, Singularity Theory and Its Applications. Adv. Studies in Pure Math., **43** (2006), 421–436.
30. K. Saito, *Theory of logarithmic differential forms and logarithmic vector fields*, J. Fac. Sci. Univ. Tokyo Sect. 1A Math. **27** (1980), 265–291.
31. D. Siersma, *Singularities of functions on boundaries, corners, etc.*, Quart. J. Math. **32** (1981), 119–127.

DEPARTAMENTO DE MATEMÁTICA, UNIVERSIDADE FEDERAL DE SÃO CARLOS, RODOVIA WASHINGTON LUIS, KM 235, CAIXA POSTAL 676, SÃO CARLOS, SP, BRAZIL
E-mail address: `bruna@dm.ufscar.br`

DEPARTAMENTO DE MATEMÁTICA, UNIVERSIDADE FEDERAL DE SÃO CARLOS, RODOVIA WASHINGTON LUIS, KM 235, CAIXA POSTAL 676, SÃO CARLOS, SP, BRAZIL
E-mail address: `tomazella@dm.ufscar.br`