

A REMARK ON MULTIPLICITY OF POSITIVE SOLUTIONS FOR A CLASS OF QUASILINEAR ELLIPTIC SYSTEMS

LYNNYNGS KELLY ARRUDA, FRANCISCO ODAIR DE PAIVA

Universidade Federal de São Carlos
Departamento de Matemática - CCET, Caixa Postal 676
CEP: 13565-905, São Carlos - SP, Brazil

ILMA MARQUES

Universidade Federal do ABC - CMCC
CEP: 09210-170, Santo André - SP, Brazil

(Communicated by the associate editor name)

ABSTRACT. Using variational methods, we prove a nonexistence and multiplicity result of positive solutions for a class of elliptic systems involving a parameter.

1. INTRODUCTION

In this paper we study multiplicity of positive solutions for the the elliptic system

$$(P_\lambda) \quad \begin{cases} -\Delta_p u = \lambda F_u(x, u, v), & \text{in } \Omega, \\ -\Delta_q v = \lambda F_v(x, u, v), & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u = v = 0, & \text{on } \partial\Omega, \end{cases}$$

where Ω is an open bounded domain with smooth boundary $\partial\Omega$, $1 < p, q < \infty$, and λ a positive real parameter. The nonlinearity $F : \Omega \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a C^1 -function, satisfying $F(x, 0, 0) = 0$ and

$$(1) \quad \begin{aligned} F(x, t, s) &= F(x, 0, s) \quad \forall x \in \Omega, \quad s \in \mathbb{R} \quad \text{and} \quad t \leq 0; \\ F(x, t, s) &= F(x, t, 0) \quad \forall x \in \Omega, \quad t \in \mathbb{R} \quad \text{and} \quad s \leq 0. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that $F_t(x, 0, 0) = F_s(x, 0, 0) = 0$, so that (P_λ) has the trivial solution $(0, 0)$. Moreover, assume that F satisfies the following condition

$$(2) \quad |F_t(x, t, s)| \leq C(1 + |t|^{p-1} + |s|^{q\frac{p-1}{p}}) \quad \text{and} \quad |F_s(x, t, s)| \leq C(1 + |s|^{q-1} + |t|^{p\frac{q-1}{q}})$$

for all $(x, t, s) \in \Omega \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$. Under this assumptions the weak solutions of (P_λ) are critical points of the following C^1 -functional, defined on $E = W_0^{1,p}(\Omega) \times W_0^{1,q}(\Omega)$,

$$(3) \quad \Phi_\lambda(u, v) = \int_\Omega \left(\frac{1}{p} |\nabla u|^p + \frac{1}{q} |\nabla v|^q - \lambda F(x, u, v) \right) dx,$$

(see [2]). We remark that (2) implies that F satisfies the following growth condition:

$$(4) \quad |F(x, t, s)| \leq C(1 + |t|^p + |s|^q), \quad \forall (x, t, s) \in \Omega \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}.$$

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary: 35J60, 35J20; Secondary: 58E30.

Key words and phrases. (p, q) -Laplacian problems, positive solutions, nonexistence, multiplicity, variational methods.

The first author was partially supported by the FAPESP (Fundação de Amparo à Pesquisa do Estado de São Paulo - Brazil). Grant 2009/14795-0.

This condition was called “of resonant type” in [2].

It is known that the existence and multiplicity of solutions of (P_λ) rely strongly on the behavior of F at origin and at infinity. Our hypotheses are motivated by an eigenvalue problem considered in [2]. Let $G : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ be a C^1 function such that

$$(5) \quad G(t, s) \leq k(|t|^p + |s|^q).$$

Now, we introduce the following assumptions:

$$(6) \quad \limsup_{\|(t,s)\| \rightarrow 0} \frac{F(x, t, s)}{G(t, s)} \leq 0, \quad \text{uniformly in } x \in \Omega,$$

and

$$(7) \quad \limsup_{\|(t,s)\| \rightarrow \infty} \frac{F(x, t, s)}{H(t, s)} \leq 0, \quad \text{uniformly in } x \in \Omega,$$

where G and H are functions satisfying (5).

Theorem 1.1. *Under the above hypotheses there exists a $\underline{\lambda}$ such that (P_λ) has no positive solution for $\lambda < \underline{\lambda}$. Moreover, if in addition we assume that there exist a ball $B \subset \Omega$ and $t_0, s_0 > 0$ such that $F(x, t_0, s_0) > 0$ for $x \in B$, then there is a $\bar{\lambda}$ such that (P_λ) has at least two positive solutions for $\lambda \geq \bar{\lambda}$.*

Remark 1. By a positive solution we mean a nonnegative pair $(u, v) \in E$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u \nabla \phi + \int_{\Omega} |\nabla v|^{q-2} \nabla v \nabla \psi \\ &\quad - \lambda \int_{\Omega} (F_u(x, u, v) \phi + F_v(x, u, v) \psi) dx. \end{aligned}$$

and either $u > 0$ in Ω or $v > 0$ in Ω .

Remark 2. Theorem 1.1 contains and extends the results of Fernández Bonder in [4] and Manouni & Perera in [6]. In [4, 6], the authors studied the system (P_λ) , with similar conditions on F , but with particular classes of G , namely, $G(u, v) = |u|^p + |v|^q$ and $G(u, v) = u^{\alpha+1} v^{\beta+1}$ with $\frac{\alpha+1}{p} + \frac{\beta+1}{q} = 1$, respectively. Related results for the simple equation can be found in [5, 7]. Systems of the form (P_λ) are usually called gradient systems. See [2], for a comprehensive analysis of such systems.

Notation. In what follows, we will denote by $\lambda_{p,q} = \min\{\lambda_p, \lambda_q\}$, where λ_p and λ_q denote the main eigenvalues of $(-\Delta_p, W_0^{1,p}(\Omega))$ and $(-\Delta_q, W_0^{1,q}(\Omega))$, respectively. We shall often use the same letter C to denote different constants, and C_λ to denote different constants depending only on λ .

Remark 3. We have, for $(u, v) \in E = W_0^{1,p}(\Omega) \times W_0^{1,q}(\Omega)$,

$$\lambda_p \int_{\Omega} |u|^p \leq \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^p dx \quad \text{and} \quad \lambda_q \int_{\Omega} |v|^q \leq \int_{\Omega} |\nabla v|^q dx,$$

from which it follows that

$$(8) \quad 0 < \lambda_{p,q} \leq \frac{\int_{\Omega} [|\nabla u|^p + |\nabla v|^q] dx}{\int_{\Omega} [|u|^p + |v|^q] dx} \quad \text{for } (u, v) \in E.$$

2. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.1.

Proof of nonexistence part:

If (P_λ) has a positive solution (u, v) , multiplying the first equation of (P_λ) by u , the second by v , and integrating by parts we get

$$\int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^p + \int_{\Omega} |\nabla v|^q = \lambda \int_{\Omega} (F_u(x, u, v)u + F_v(x, u, v)v) dx.$$

Using (2) and Holder's inequality, we can show that

$$|F_u(x, u, v)u + F_v(x, u, v)v| \leq C(u^p + v^q),$$

and so

$$\int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^p + \int_{\Omega} |\nabla v|^q \leq \lambda C \int_{\Omega} (|u|^p + |v|^q) dx.$$

It follows that $\lambda \geq \lambda_{p,q}/C$, by (8), which implies that there is no positive solution for λ small. \square

Proof of multiplicity part:

First, observe that nontrivial critical points of Φ_λ are positive solutions of (P_λ) . Indeed, if (u, v) is a critical point of Φ_λ , denoting by u^- and v^- the negative parts of u and v , respectively, we have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= [\Phi'_\lambda(u, v), (u^-, v^-)] \\ &= \int_{\Omega} [|\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u \nabla u^- + |\nabla v|^{q-2} \nabla v \nabla v^-] dx \\ &\quad - \lambda \int_{\Omega} [(F_u(x, u, v)u^- + F_v(x, u, v)v^-)] dx \\ &= -\|u^-\| - \|v^-\| - \lambda \int_{\Omega} [(F_u(x, u, v)u^- + F_v(x, u, v)v^-)] dx. \end{aligned}$$

By (1), we have that $F_u(x, u, v)u^- = 0$ and $F_v(x, u, v)v^- = 0$. It follows that $\|u^-\| + \|v^-\| = 0$, and so $u, v \geq 0$. Also, by [1, 3], we have that $u, v \in L^\infty(\Omega) \cap C^1(\Omega)$. Consequently, by the Harnack inequality, we get that either $u > 0$ in Ω or $v > 0$ in Ω , provided $(u, v) \neq (0, 0)$ (see [9]). Thus, nontrivial critical points of Φ_λ are positive solutions of (P_λ) .

Consider k the constant in (5). Then by (4) and (7), there exists a constant C_λ such that

$$(9) \quad \lambda F(x, t, s) \leq \frac{\lambda_{p,q}}{2k \max\{p, q\}} H(t, s) + C_\lambda, \quad \forall (x, t, s) \in \Omega \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}.$$

Hence, using (5), we have

$$(10) \quad \Phi_\lambda(u, v) \geq \int_{\Omega} \left[\frac{1}{p} |\nabla u|^p + \frac{1}{q} |\nabla v|^q - \frac{\lambda_{p,q}}{2k \max\{p, q\}} H(u, v) - C_\lambda \right] dx$$

$$(11) \quad \geq \frac{1}{p} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^p + \frac{1}{q} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla v|^q - \frac{\lambda_p}{2p} \int_{\Omega} |u|^p - \frac{\lambda_q}{2q} \int_{\Omega} |v|^q - \int_{\Omega} C_\lambda$$

$$(12) \quad = \frac{1}{2} \left(\|u\|^p + \|v\|^q \right) - C_\lambda |\Omega|.$$

So, Φ_λ is bounded from below and coercive. This yields a global minimizer (u_1, v_1) since Φ_λ is weakly lower semi-continuous.

As a consequence of the next lemma, the solution (u_1, v_1) is nontrivial for λ large.

Lemma 2.1. *There is a $\bar{\lambda}$ such that $\inf \Phi_\lambda < 0$ for $\lambda \geq \bar{\lambda}$.*

Proof. Let us consider a sufficiently large compact subset B' of B , where B is a ball such that $F(x, t_0, s_0) > 0$ for $x \in B$ and some $t_0, s_0 > 0$. Consider u_0 and v_0 , smooth functions with compact support in B , such that

$$\begin{aligned} u_0(x) &= t_0, v_0(x) = s_0, \text{ in } B', \\ 0 \leq u_0(x) &\leq t_0, 0 \leq v_0(x) \leq s_0, \text{ in } B \setminus B'. \end{aligned}$$

Then, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} F(x, u_0, v_0) &= \int_{B'} F(x, u_0, v_0) + \int_{B \setminus B'} F(x, u_0, v_0) \\ &\geq \int_{B'} F(x, t_0, s_0) - C \int_{B \setminus B'} (|u_0|^p + |v_0|^q) \\ &\geq \int_{B'} F(x, t_0, s_0) - C(|t_0|^p + |s_0|^q)|B \setminus B'| > 0, \end{aligned}$$

provided $|B \setminus B'|$ is small enough. Hence

$$(13) \quad \Phi_\lambda(u_0, v_0) = \frac{1}{p} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u_0|^p + \frac{1}{q} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla v_0|^q - \lambda \int_{\Omega} F(x, u_0, v_0) < 0,$$

for λ large enough. So there is $\bar{\lambda}$ such that $\inf \Phi_\lambda < 0$, for $\lambda \geq \bar{\lambda}$. \square

Now we fix $\lambda \geq \bar{\lambda}$. Then (u_1, v_1) , the global minimum of Φ_λ , is a positive solution of (P_λ) , provided $\Phi_\lambda(u_1, v_1) < 0$.

Lemma 2.2. *The origin is a strict local minimizer of Φ_λ .*

Proof. It follows from (4) and (6) that there is $C_\lambda > 0$ such that

$$(14) \quad \lambda F(x, t, s) \leq \frac{\lambda_{p,q}}{2k \max\{p, q\}} G(t, s) + C_\lambda (|t|^\alpha + |s|^\beta), \quad \forall (x, t, s) \in \Omega \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R},$$

where $p < \alpha < p^*$ and $q < \beta < q^*$ (here: $p^* = pN/(N-p)$ if $N > p$ and $p^* = \infty$ if $N \leq p$; and $q^* = qN/(N-q)$ if $N > q$ and $q^* = \infty$ if $N \leq q$).

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_\lambda(u, v) &= \frac{1}{p} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^p + \frac{1}{q} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla v|^q - \frac{\lambda_{p,q}}{2k \max\{p, q\}} \int_{\Omega} G(u, v) \\ &\quad - C_\lambda \int_{\Omega} (|u|^\alpha + |v|^\beta) \\ &\geq \frac{1}{p} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^p + \frac{1}{q} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla v|^q - \frac{\lambda_p}{2p} \int_{\Omega} |u|^p - \frac{\lambda_q}{2q} \int_{\Omega} |v|^q \\ &\quad - C_\lambda \int_{\Omega} (|u|^\alpha + |v|^\beta). \end{aligned}$$

By the Sobolev embeddings, we get

$$\Phi_\lambda(u, v) \geq \frac{1}{2p} \|u\|^p + \frac{1}{2q} \|v\|^q - C_\lambda \|u\|^\alpha - C_\lambda \|v\|^\beta,$$

which shows that there are positive numbers a and r such that $\Phi(u, v) > a$ for $\|u\| + \|v\| \leq r$. \square

Conclusion of the proof.

In order to prove the existence of the second solution, we will apply the mountain pass theorem. First, observe that Φ_λ is coercive, so we have that Φ_λ satisfies the Palais-Smale condition. From the above discussion, we know that $(0, 0)$ is a local minimum of Φ_λ on E and $\Phi_\lambda(u_1, v_1) < 0$. Now, consider the minimax level

$$c := \inf_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \max_{(u,v) \in \gamma([0,1])} \Phi_\lambda(u, v) > 0,$$

where $\Gamma = \{\gamma \in C([0, 1], W_0^{1,p} \times W_0^{1,q}) : \gamma(0) = (0, 0), \gamma(1) = (u_1, v_1)\}$. The mountain pass theorem gives a critical point (u_2, v_2) of Φ_λ at level c (see [8]). Thus, (u_2, v_2) is a second positive solution of (P_λ) . \square

REFERENCES

- [1] A. Anane, *Simplicité et isolation de la première valeur propre du p -Laplacien avec poids*, C. R. Acad. Sc. Paris, Série I, **305** (1987), 725-728. MR0920052
- [2] L. Boccardo and D. G. De Figueiredo, *Some remarks on a system of quasilinear elliptic equations*, Nonlinear differ.equ.appl. **9** (2002), 309-323. MR1917376 (2003f:35091)
- [3] E. DiBenedetto, *$C^{1+\alpha}$ local regularity of weak solutions of degenerate elliptic equations*, Nonlinear Anal. **7** (1983), 827-850. MR0709038 (85d:35037)
- [4] J. Fernández Bonder, *Multiple positive solutions for quasilinear elliptic problems with sign-changing nonlinearities*, Abstract and Applied Analysis (2004), 1047-1055. MR2130207 (2006a:35078)
- [5] C. Maya and R. Shivaaji, *Multiple positive solutions for a class of semilinear elliptic boundary value problems*. *Nonlinear Anal.*, **38** (4, Ser. A: Theory Methods) (1999), 497-504. MR1707874 (2000f:35054)
- [6] S. E. Manouni and K. Perera, *Existence and Nonexistence Results for a Class of Quasilinear Elliptic Systems*, Bound. Value Problems, (2007), 1-5. MR2366409 (2008k:35120)
- [7] K. Perera, *Multiple positive solutions for a class of quasilinear elliptic boundary value problems*, Electron. J. Differential Equations (2003), no. 7, 1-5. MR1958042 (2004a:35078)
- [8] P. H. Rabinowitz. *Minimax methods in critical point theory with applications to differential equations*. Published for the Conference Board of the Mathematical Sciences, Washington, DC, 1986. MR0845785 (87j:58024)
- [9] N. S. Trudinger, *On Harnack type inequalities and their application to quasilinear elliptic equations*, Communications on Pure and Applied Mathematics, vol. 20 (1967), 721-747. MR0226198

E-mail address: lynnyngs@dm.ufscar.br

E-mail address: odair@dm.ufscar.br

E-mail address: ilma.marques@ufabc.edu.br