

ON MULTIPLE SOLUTIONS FOR A SINGULAR QUASILINEAR ELLIPTIC SYSTEM INVOLVING CRITICAL HARDY-SOBOLEV EXPONENTS

O. H. MIYAGAKI AND R. S. RODRIGUES

ABSTRACT. This paper is concerned with the existence of nontrivial solutions for a class of degenerate quasilinear elliptic systems involving critical Hardy-Sobolev type exponents. The lack of compactness is overcome by using the Brezis-Nirenberg approach, and the multiplicity result is obtained by combining a version of the Ekeland's variational principle due to Mizoguchi with the Ambrosetti-Rabinowitz mountain pass theorem.

1. INTRODUCTION

In this paper, we will combine a version of the well-known mountain pass theorem due to Ambrosetti and Rabinowitz [5] with the Ekeland's variational principle [14] to establish conditions for the existence of nontrivial solutions of the quasilinear elliptic system with nonlinear perturbation involving critical Hardy-Sobolev exponents of the type

$$(1) \quad \begin{cases} -L_{a,p}u &= \theta|x|^{-c_1p^*}h|u|^{\theta-2}|v|^\delta u + \mu\alpha|x|^{-c_1p^*}|u|^{\alpha-2}|v|^\gamma u & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N, \\ -L_{b,q}v &= \delta|x|^{-c_2q^*}h|u|^\theta|v|^{\delta-2}v + \mu\gamma|x|^{-c_2q^*}|u|^\alpha|v|^{\gamma-2}v & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N, \\ u, v &\geq 0 \text{ and } u, v \not\equiv 0, & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N, \end{cases}$$

where $L_{e,r}w \equiv \operatorname{div}(|x|^{-er}|\nabla w|^{r-2}\nabla w)$ and the exponents verifies

$$\begin{aligned} 1 < p, q < N, \quad -\infty < a < (N-p)/p, \quad -\infty < b < (N-q)/q, \\ a \leq c_1 < a+1, \quad b \leq c_2 < b+1, \quad d_1 = 1+a-c_1, \quad d_2 = 1+b-c_2, \\ p^* = Np/(N-d_1p), \quad q^* = Nq/(N-d_2q), \quad c_1p^* = c_2q^*, \\ \alpha, \gamma, \theta, \delta > 1 \text{ and } \mu > 0, \end{aligned} \quad (H_{exp})$$

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 35B25, 35B33, 35D05, 35J55, and 35J70.

Key words and phrases. DEGENERATE QUASILINEAR EQUATIONS, elliptic system, critical exponent, singular perturbation.

First author's was supported in part by CNPq-Brazil and AGIMB-Millennium Institute MCT/Brazil.

Second author's was supported in part by Capes-Brazil.

with

$$(2) \quad \frac{\theta}{p} + \frac{\delta}{q} < 1 \text{ and } \frac{\alpha}{p^*} + \frac{\gamma}{q^*} = 1, \quad (p, q\text{-sublinear/critical})$$

and

$$(3) \quad h \in L^{k'}(\mathbb{R}^N, |x|^{-c_1 p^*}), \quad h \geq 0,$$

where $p_1 \in (1, p)$, $q_1 \in (1, q)$, and $k, k' > 1$ are taken such that $kp_1 = p^*$, $kq_1 = q^*$ and $\theta/p_1 + \delta/q_1 = 1/k' + 1/k = 1$.

The study of the elliptic systems has been motivated by a great number of applications, for instance, in fluid mechanics, in newtonian fluids, in flow through porous media, reaction-diffusion problems, nonlinear elasticity, petroleum extraction, astronomy, glaciology, etc, see [15] and references cited there.

Technically, the variational systems behave in a certain sense like in the scalar case. However, the study of systems has additional difficulty, mainly, coming from the mutual action of the variables u and v , for instance, the possibility of the existence of semisolutions, in other words, the existence of weak solutions of the type $(u, 0)$ and $(0, v)$, see [21, 29]. Besides this fact, in our work, there exists another difficulty due to the lack of compactness of the embedding $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega, |x|^{-ap}) \hookrightarrow L^{p^*}(\Omega, |x|^{-c_1 p^*})$, where $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ is a smooth domain. In the next section, the precise definitions of these spaces as well as some properties of them will be established.

A pioneer work on problems involving critical Sobolev exponents is the celebrated paper of Brezis and Nirenberg [9], in which the authors proved, among others, that problem

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u = u^{2^*-1} + \mu u^{r-1} & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u > 0 & \text{in } \Omega \text{ and } u = 0 \text{ on } \partial\Omega \end{cases}$$

possesses a solution for each $\mu > 0$, where Ω is a bounded smooth domain of \mathbb{R}^N ($N \geq 3$), $2 < r < 2^*$. Here $2^* = 2N/(N-2)$ is the critical Sobolev exponent of the embedding $H_1^0(\Omega)$ into $L^{2^*}(\Omega)$. From this paper, many authors have widely studied this type of the problems with much greater generalities. See e.g. [3, 17, 24]. The above problem involving the p -laplacian operator, that is, the operator given by $\Delta_p u \equiv \operatorname{div}(|\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u)$, $1 < p < N$, we would like to cite, e.g., [7, 12, 16, 19, 27, 33] and see also references therein. We recall that in this case the critical Sobolev exponent is $p^* = pN/(N-p)$. Now, elliptic equations involving more general operator, such as the degenerate quasilinear operator given by $L_{a,p} u \equiv \operatorname{div}(|x|^{-ap} |\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u)$, we refer the papers by [11, 13, 30, 31, 32] and references cited there. Here the best Sobolev (Hardy) exponent, of the embedding

$W_0^{1,p}(\Omega, |x|^{-ap}) \hookrightarrow L^{p^*}(\Omega, |x|^{-c_1 p^*})$, is defined by $p^* = Np/(N - (1+a-c_1)p)$. The lack of compactness of the above mentioned embedding is overcome by analyzing the critical level $(1/N)S^{N/p}$, where S is the best Sobolev constant, which is given by

$$S = \inf_{u \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega) \setminus \{0\}} \left\{ \frac{\int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^p dx}{\left(\int_{\Omega} |u|^{p^*} dx\right)^{\frac{p}{p^*}}} \right\}.$$

While, for more general operator the critical level is motivated by the best Hardy-Sobolev constant characterized by

$$C_{a,p}^* = C_{a,p}^*(\Omega) = \inf_{u \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega, |x|^{-ap}) \setminus \{0\}} \left\{ \frac{\int_{\Omega} |x|^{-ap} |\nabla u|^p dx}{\left(\int_{\Omega} |x|^{-c_1 p^*} |u|^{p^*} dx\right)^{\frac{p}{p^*}}} \right\}.$$

When we are working with a system involving Δ_p and Δ_q operators is hard to find a well appropriated critical level, mainly, when $p \neq q$. Actually, Adriouch and Hamidi in [1] pointed out that this is a open question. But, recently, Silva and Xavier in [28] were able to treat, in a certain context, the case $p \neq q$. For the particular case $p = q$, Morais and Souto in [26] defined the following critical level number S_H/p , where

$$S_H = \inf_{W \setminus \{0\}} \left\{ \frac{\int_{\Omega} (|\nabla u|^p + |\nabla v|^p) dx}{\left(\int_{\Omega} H(u,v) dx\right)^{\frac{p}{p^*}}} \right\},$$

$W = W_0^{1,p}(\Omega) \times W_0^{1,q}(\Omega)$ and H is homogeneous nonlinearity of degrees p^* .

Our first result deal with the case $p \neq q$.

Theorem 1.1. *Suppose that (H_{exp}) , (2), and (3), hold true. Assume also that $\max\{p, q\} < \min\{p^*, q^*\}$. Then, for each $\mu > 0$, there exists $\lambda_0 = \lambda_0(\mu) > 0$ such that system (1) possesses a weak solution, where each component is nontrivial and nonnegative, for each $h \in L^{k'}(\mathbb{R}^N, |x|^{-c_1 p^*})$ with $0 < \|h\|_{L^{k'}(\mathbb{R}^N, |x|^{-c_1 p^*})} < \lambda_0$.*

Before enunciating the second result, we will introduce the following definition:

Definition 1. Consider $1 < p < N$, $-\infty < a < (N-p)/p$, $a \leq c_1 < a+1$, $d_1 = 1+a-c_1$, $p^* = Np/(N-d_1p)$, $k, k', p_1 = q_1 > 1$ as in (3). Fixing c_0 and R_0 positive constants, we define the set \mathbb{E} as the subset of $L^{k'}(\mathbb{R}^N, |x|^{-c_1 p^*})$ given by nonnegative functions $h \in L^{k'}(\mathbb{R}^N, |x|^{-c_1 p^*})$ satisfying

$$\left(1 + R^{\frac{d_1 p(N-p-ap)}{(p-1)(N-d_1 p)}}\right)^{\frac{-(N-d_1 p)p_1}{d_1 p}} R^{N-c_1 p^*} \inf_{B(0,2R)} h \geq c_0, \text{ for some } R \in (0, R_0).$$

Also, for $\lambda > 0$, consider \mathbb{E}_λ the subset of \mathbb{E} given by

$$\mathbb{E}_\lambda = \left\{ h \in \mathbb{E} : \|h\|_{L^{k'}(\mathbb{R}^N, |x|^{-c_1 p^*})} < \lambda \right\}.$$

Theorem 1.2. *In addition to (H_{exp}) , (2), and (3), assume that $p = q$, $a = b \geq 0$, $p_1 = q_1$. Then, for each $\mu > 0$, there exists $\lambda_0 = \lambda_0(\mu) > 0$ such that system (1) possesses at least two weak solutions, where each component is nontrivial and nonnegative, for each $h \in \mathbb{E}_\lambda$, provided that $0 < \lambda < \lambda_0$.*

2. PRELIMINARIES

We will set some spaces and their norms. Consider Ω a smooth open domain, not necessarily bounded, of \mathbb{R}^N with $0 \in \Omega$. If $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ and $l \geq 1$, let $L^l(\Omega, |x|^\alpha)$ be the subspace of $L^l(\Omega)$ of the Lebesgue measurable functions $u : \mathbb{R}^N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfying

$$\|u\|_{L^l(\Omega, |x|^\alpha)} := \left(\int_{\Omega} |x|^\alpha |u|^l dx \right)^{\frac{1}{l}} < \infty.$$

If $1 < p < N$ and $-\infty < a < (N-p)/p$, we define $W^{1,p}(\Omega, |x|^{-ap})$ (resp. $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega, |x|^{-ap})$) as being the completion of $C^\infty(\Omega)$ (resp. $C_0^\infty(\Omega)$) with respect to the norm $\|\cdot\|$ defined by

$$\|u\| := \left(\int_{\Omega} |x|^{-ap} |\nabla u|^p dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}.$$

First of all, from the Caffarelli, Kohn and Nirenberg inequality (see [10]) and the standard approximation argument, it is easy to see that there exists $C = C_{a,c_1} > 0$ such that

$$\left(\int_{\Omega} |x|^{-c_1 p^*} |u|^{p^*} dx \right)^{\frac{p}{p^*}} \leq C \left(\int_{\Omega} |x|^{-ap} |\nabla u|^p dx \right), \forall u \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega, |x|^{-ap}).$$

The proof of the next lemma is completely similar to the proof of [4, Theorem 5] (see [26, Lemma 3] for $p \neq 2$).

Lemma 2.1. *Suppose that Ω is a smooth open domain, not necessarily bounded, of \mathbb{R}^N with $0 \in \Omega$, $1 < p < N$, $-\infty < a < (N-p)/p$, $a \leq c_1 < a+1$, $d_1 = 1+a-c_1$, $p^* = Np/(N-d_1p)$, and $\alpha + \gamma = p^*$, then*

$$\tilde{S} := \inf_{(u,v) \in \tilde{W}} \left\{ \frac{\int_{\Omega} |x|^{-ap} (|\nabla u|^p + |\nabla v|^p) dx}{\left(\int_{\Omega} |x|^{-c_1 p^*} |u|^\alpha |v|^\gamma dx \right)^{\frac{p}{p^*}}} \right\},$$

where

$$\tilde{W} = \left\{ (u, v) \in \left(W_0^{1,p}(\Omega, |x|^{-ap}) \right)^2 : |u||v| \not\equiv 0 \right\},$$

satisfies

$$\tilde{S} = [(\alpha/\gamma)^{\gamma/p^*} + (\alpha/\gamma)^{-\alpha/p^*}] C_{a,p}^*.$$

Furthermore, if $C_{a,p}^*$ is achieved by $w_0 \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega, |x|^{-ap})$, then \tilde{S} is achieved by (sw_0, tw_0) , for all $s, t > 0$ satisfying $s/t = (\alpha/\gamma)^{1/p}$.

We define the space

$$W_{a,c_1}^{1,p}(\Omega) = \left\{ u \in L^{p^*}(\Omega, |x|^{-c_1 p^*}) : |\nabla u| \in L^p(\Omega, |x|^{-ap}) \right\},$$

equipped with the norm

$$\|u\|_{W_{a,c_1}^{1,p}(\Omega)} = \|u\|_{L^{p^*}(\Omega, |x|^{-c_1 p^*})} + \|\nabla u\|_{L^p(\Omega, |x|^{-ap})}.$$

We consider the best Hardy-Sobolev constant given by

$$\tilde{S}_{a,p} = \inf_{W_{a,c_1}^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^N) \setminus \{0\}} \left\{ \frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |x|^{-ap} |\nabla u|^p dx}{\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |x|^{-c_1 p^*} |u|^{p^*} dx \right)^{\frac{p}{p^*}}} \right\}.$$

Also, we define

$$R_{a,c_1}^{1,p}(\Omega) = \left\{ u \in W_{a,c_1}^{1,p}(\Omega) : u(x) = u(|x|) \right\},$$

endowed with the norm

$$\|u\|_{R_{a,c_1}^{1,p}(\Omega)} = \|u\|_{W_{a,c_1}^{1,p}(\Omega)}.$$

Actually, Horiuchi in [22] proved that, if $a \geq 0$,

$$(4) \quad \tilde{S}_{a,p,R} = \inf_{R_{a,c_1}^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^N) \setminus \{0\}} \left\{ \frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |x|^{-ap} |\nabla u|^p dx}{\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |x|^{-c_1 p^*} |u|^{p^*} dx \right)^{\frac{p}{p^*}}} \right\} = \tilde{S}_{a,p}.$$

and it is achieved by functions of the form

$$y_\epsilon(x) := k_{a,p}(\epsilon) U_{a,p,\epsilon}(x), \forall \epsilon > 0,$$

where

$$k_{a,p}(\epsilon) = \tilde{c}\epsilon^{(N-d_1 p)/d_1 p^2} \text{ and } U_{a,p,\epsilon}(x) = \left(\epsilon + |x|^{\frac{d_1 p(N-p-ap)}{(p-1)(N-d_1 p)}} \right)^{-\left(\frac{N-d_1 p}{d_1 p}\right)}.$$

Moreover, y_ϵ satisfies

$$(5) \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |x|^{-ap} |\nabla y_\epsilon|^p dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |x|^{-c_1 p^*} |y_\epsilon|^{p^*} dx.$$

See also Clément, Figueiredo and Mitidieri [13, Proposition 1.4].

The proof of the next lemma can be proved arguing as in [9], more exactly, see [31, Lemma 5.1].

Lemma 2.2. Consider Ω a smooth open domain, not necessarily bounded, of \mathbb{R}^N , $0 \in \Omega$, $1 < p < N$, $-\infty < a < (N-p)/p$, $a \leq c_1 < a+1$, $d_1 = 1 + a - c_1$, $p^* = Np/(N-d_1p)$, and $l, k, k' > 1$, where k and k' are conjugated exponents with $kl = p^*$. Let R_0, c_0 be positive constants as in definition 1 and $\psi \in C_0^\infty(B(0, 3R_0))$ with $\psi \geq 0$ for a.e. in $B(0, 3R_0)$ and $\psi \equiv 1$ for a.e. in $B(0, 2R_0)$, then the function given by

$$u_\epsilon(x) = \frac{\psi(x)U_{a,p,\epsilon}(x)}{\|\psi U_{a,p,\epsilon}\|_{L^{p^*}(\Omega, |x|^{-c_1p^*})}}$$

satisfies

$$(6) \quad \|u_\epsilon\|_{L^{p^*}(\Omega, |x|^{-c_1p^*})}^p = 1, \quad \|\nabla u_\epsilon\|_{L^p(\Omega, |x|^{-ap})}^p \leq \tilde{S}_{a,p,R} + O(\epsilon^{(N-d_1p)/d_1p}),$$

and

$$(7) \quad \|h^{1/l} u_\epsilon\|_{L^l(\Omega, |x|^{-c_1p^*})}^l \geq \begin{cases} O(\epsilon^{(N-d_1p)l/d_1p^2}) & \text{if } l < \frac{(N-c_1p^*)(p-1)}{N-p-ap}, \\ O(\epsilon^{(N-d_1p)l/d_1p^2} |\ln(\epsilon)|) & \text{if } l = \frac{(N-c_1p^*)(p-1)}{N-p-ap}, \\ O(\epsilon^{\frac{(N-d_1p)(p-1)[(N-c_1p^*)p-(N-p-ap)l]}{d_1p^2(N-p-ap)}}) & \\ \text{if } l > \frac{(N-c_1p^*)(p-1)}{N-p-ap}, \end{cases}$$

for all $h \in L^{k'}(\Omega, |x|^{-c_1p^*})$ with $\inf_{B(0,2R)} h > 0$ for some $0 < R < R_0$ and $h \geq 0$.

Moreover, the inequality (7) is uniform in $h \in L^{k'}(\Omega, |x|^{-c_1p^*})$, $h \geq 0$ for a.e. in Ω , satisfying

$$(8) \quad \frac{R^{N-c_1p^*}}{(1 + R^{d_1p(N-a-ap)/(p-1)(N-d_1p)})^{(N-d_1p)l/d_1p}} \inf_{B(0,2R)} h \geq c_0,$$

for some $0 < R < R_0$.

Definition 2. Let us consider $\{(u_n, v_n)\}$ a sequence in $W_0^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^N, |x|^{-ap}) \times W_0^{1,q}(\mathbb{R}^N, |x|^{-bq})$. We say that the sequence $\{(u_n, v_n)\}$ is a *Palais Smale* sequence for the operator I at the level c (or simply, $(PS)_c$ -sequence) if

$$I(u_n, v_n) \longrightarrow c \text{ and } I'(u_n, v_n) \longrightarrow 0, \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Our approach will be variational techniques, that is, we have to find the critical points of the Euler-Lagrange functional $I : W_0^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^N, |x|^{-ap}) \times W_0^{1,q}(\mathbb{R}^N, |x|^{-bq}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ given by

$$\begin{aligned} I(u, v) &= \frac{1}{p} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |x|^{-ap} |\nabla u|^p dx + \frac{1}{q} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |x|^{-bq} |\nabla v|^q dx \\ &\quad - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |x|^{-c_1p^*} h u_+^\theta v_+^\delta dx - \mu \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |x|^{-c_1p^*} u_+^\alpha v_+^\gamma dx; \end{aligned}$$

which is well defined and is of class C^1 , with Gâteaux derivative given by

$$\begin{aligned} \langle I(u, v), (w, z) \rangle &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |x|^{-ap} |\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u \nabla w dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |x|^{-bq} |\nabla v|^{q-2} \nabla v \nabla z dx \\ &\quad - \theta \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |x|^{-c_1 p^*} h u_+^{\theta-1} v_+^\delta w dx - \delta \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |x|^{-c_1 p^*} h u_+^\theta v_+^{\delta-1} z dx \\ &\quad - \mu \alpha \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |x|^{-c_1 p^*} u_+^{\alpha-1} v_+^\gamma w dx - \mu \gamma \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |x|^{-c_1 p^*} u_+^\alpha v_+^{\gamma-1} z dx, \end{aligned}$$

where $u_\pm = \max\{0, \pm u\} \in W_0^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^N, |x|^{-ap})$ (Similarly $v_\pm = \max\{0, \pm v\} \in W_0^{1,q}(\mathbb{R}^N, |x|^{-bq})$) (see [2]).

Lemma 2.3. *Let be $\lambda_0 > 0$ and assume that (H_{exp}) and (3) hold. If $\{(u_n, v_n)\}$ is a Palais Smale sequence in $W_0^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^N, |x|^{-ap}) \times W_0^{1,q}(\mathbb{R}^N, |x|^{-bq})$ for the operator I at the level c , then $\{(u_{n_+}, v_{n_+})\}$ is a bounded Palais Smale sequence for the operator I at the level c , uniformly in $h \in \mathbb{E}_\lambda$ and $\mu > 0$ with $0 < \lambda < \lambda_0$.*

PROOF. By definition of $(PS)_c$ -sequence we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} c + \|(u_n, v_n)\| + O_n(1) &\geq I(u_n, v_n) - \left\langle I'(u_n, v_n), (u_n/p^*, v_n/q^*) \right\rangle \\ &\geq \left(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{p^*}\right) \|u_n\|^p + \left(\frac{1}{q} - \frac{1}{q^*}\right) \|v_n\|^q + \left(\frac{\theta}{q^*} + \frac{\delta}{q^*} - 1\right) \\ &\quad \times \left(\frac{\theta C^{p_1/p}}{p_1} + \frac{\delta C^{q_1/q}}{q_1}\right) (\|u_n\|^{p_1} + \|v_n\|^{q_1}) \lambda_0. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, as $p_1 \in (1, p)$ and $q_1 \in (1, q)$, it follows that $\{(u_n, v_n)\}$ is bounded in $W_0^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^N, |x|^{-ap}) \times W_0^{1,q}(\mathbb{R}^N, |x|^{-bq})$, uniformly in $h \in \mathbb{E}_\lambda$ and $\mu > 0$ with $0 < \lambda < \lambda_0$. In particular, we have that $\{(u_{n_-}, v_{n_-})\}$ and $\{(u_{n_+}, v_{n_+})\}$ are bounded sequences in $W_0^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^N, |x|^{-ap}) \times W_0^{1,q}(\mathbb{R}^N, |x|^{-bq})$, then

$$(9) \quad -\|u_{n_-}\|^p = \left\langle I'(u_n, v_n), (u_{n_-}, 0) \right\rangle \longrightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty$$

and similarly

$$(10) \quad -\|v_{n_-}\|^q = \left\langle I'(u_n, v_n), (0, v_{n_-}) \right\rangle \longrightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Moreover, we get

$$\begin{aligned} I(u_{n_+}, v_{n_+}) &= I(u_n, v_n) + \|u_{n_-}\|^p + \|v_{n_-}\|^q \\ &= I(u_n, v_n) + O_n(1), \end{aligned}$$

where $O_n(1) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Hence, from (9) and (10), we obtain

$$I(u_{n_+}, v_{n_+}) \longrightarrow c \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Similarly, if $(w, z) \in W_0^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^N, |x|^{-ap}) \times W_0^{1,q}(\mathbb{R}^N, |x|^{-bq})$, we prove that

$$\left\langle I'(u_{n+}, v_{n+}), (w, z) \right\rangle = \left\langle I'(u_n, v_n), (w, z) \right\rangle + O_n(1),$$

hence $I'(u_{n+}, v_{n+}) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. \square

Lemma 2.4. *Suppose that (H_{exp}) and (3) hold. Then, for every bounded $(PS)_c$ -sequence $\{(u_n, v_n)\}$ with $u_n, v_n \geq 0$, for a.e. in \mathbb{R}^N , there exists a weak solution $(u, v) \in W_0^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^N, |x|^{-ap}) \times W_0^{1,q}(\mathbb{R}^N, |x|^{-bq})$ of system (1), where $u, v \geq 0$ for a.e. in Ω .*

PROOF. By boundedness of $\{(u_n, v_n)\}$ there exists $(u, v) \in W_0^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^N, |x|^{-ap}) \times W_0^{1,q}(\mathbb{R}^N, |x|^{-bq})$ such that $u_n \rightharpoonup u$ weakly in $W_0^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^N, |x|^{-ap})$ and $v_n \rightharpoonup v$ weakly in $W_0^{1,q}(\mathbb{R}^N, |x|^{-bq})$, as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

First of all, by the diagonal argument, up to a subsequence if necessary, we can admit that $u_n(x) \rightarrow u(x)$ and $v_n(x) \rightarrow v(x)$, for a.e. in \mathbb{R}^N , as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Also, arguing as in [20] (see also, [6, 18]) we can prove that $\nabla u_n(x) \rightarrow \nabla u(x)$ and $\nabla v_n(x) \rightarrow \nabla v(x)$ for a.e. $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$, as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Again, since $\{(u_n, v_n)\}$ is bounded $W_0^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^N, |x|^{-ap}) \times W_0^{1,q}(\mathbb{R}^N, |x|^{-bq})$, we obtain that $\{u_n^{(\theta-1)k}\}$ is bounded in $L^{\frac{p^*}{(\theta-1)k}}(\mathbb{R}^N, |x|^{-c_1 p^*})$ and $\{v_n^{\delta k}\}$ is bounded in $L^{\frac{q^*}{\delta k}}(\mathbb{R}^N, |x|^{-c_2 q^*})$, and from (3) we get $(\theta-1)k/p^* + \delta k/q^* + k/p^* = 1$; consequently, by Hölder's inequality we have that $\{u_n^{\theta-1} v_n^\delta w\}$ is bounded in $L^k(\mathbb{R}^N, |x|^{-c_1 p^*})$, for each $w \in W_0^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^N, |x|^{-ap})$. Similarly, we get that $\{u_n^\theta v_n^{\delta-1} z\}$ is bounded in $L^k(\mathbb{R}^N, |x|^{-c_2 q^*})$, for each $z \in W_0^{1,q}(\mathbb{R}^N, |x|^{-bq})$. Also, it is easy check that $\{|\nabla u_n|^{p-2} \nabla u_n\}$ is bounded in $\left(L^{\frac{p}{p-1}}(\mathbb{R}^N, |x|^{-ap})\right)^N$ and $\{|\nabla v_n|^{q-2} \nabla v_n\}$ is bounded in $\left(L^{\frac{q}{q-1}}(\mathbb{R}^N, |x|^{-bq})\right)^N$. Moreover, as $\alpha/p^* + \gamma/q^* = 1$ we get

$$\frac{\alpha-1}{p^*-1} + \frac{\gamma p^*}{q^*(p^*-1)} = \frac{\gamma-1}{q^*-1} + \frac{\alpha q^*}{p^*(q^*-1)} = 1 \text{ and } \frac{p^*-1}{\alpha-1}, \frac{q^*-1}{\gamma-1} > 1,$$

and using Hölder's inequality again, we achieve that $\{u_n^{\alpha-1} v_n^\gamma\}$ is bounded in $L^{\frac{p^*}{\alpha-1}}(\mathbb{R}^N, |x|^{-c_1 p^*})$ and $\{u_n^\alpha v_n^{\gamma-1}\}$ is bounded in $L^{\frac{q^*}{\gamma-1}}(\mathbb{R}^N, |x|^{-c_2 q^*})$.

Therefore, from [23, Lemma 4.8] we obtain the following weakly convergences

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla u_n &\rightharpoonup \nabla u \text{ weakly in } (L^{\frac{p}{p-1}}(\mathbb{R}^N, |x|^{-ap}))^N \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty, \\ \nabla v_n &\rightharpoonup \nabla v \text{ weakly in } (L^{\frac{q}{q-1}}(\mathbb{R}^N, |x|^{-bq}))^N \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty, \\ u_n^{\theta-1} v_n^\delta w &\rightharpoonup u^{\theta-1} v^\delta w \text{ weakly in } L^k(\mathbb{R}^N, |x|^{-c_1 p^*}) \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty, \\ u_n^\theta v_n^{\delta-1} z &\rightharpoonup u^\theta v^{\delta-1} z \text{ weakly in } L^k(\mathbb{R}^N, |x|^{-c_2 q^*}) \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty, \\ u_n^{\alpha-1} v_n^\gamma &\rightharpoonup u^{\alpha-1} v^\gamma \text{ weakly in } L^{\frac{p^*}{\alpha-1}}(\mathbb{R}^N, |x|^{-c_1 p^*}) \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty, \end{aligned}$$

$$u_n^\alpha v_n^{\gamma-1} \rightharpoonup u^\alpha v^{\gamma-1} \text{ weakly in } L^{\frac{q^*}{q^*-1}}(\mathbb{R}^N, |x|^{-c_1 p^*}) \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty,$$

where $(w, z) \in W_0^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^N, |x|^{-ap}) \times W_0^{1,q}(\mathbb{R}^N, |x|^{-bq})$.

Hence, by the definition of $(PS)_c$ -sequence we conclude

$$\langle I'(u, v), (w, z) \rangle = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle I'(u_n, v_n), (w, z) \rangle = 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty,$$

for all $(w, z) \in W_0^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^N, |x|^{-ap}) \times W_0^{1,q}(\mathbb{R}^N, |x|^{-bq})$, that is, (u, v) is a weak solution of system (1). \square

Lemma 2.5. *Let (u, v) be the weak solution of system (1) above obtained, which is a weak limit of a $(PS)_c$ -sequence. Then, each component of (u, v) is nontrivial provided that one of the conditions below hold*

i) $c < 0$.

ii) $p = q$, $a = b$, $p^* = q^*$, and $0 < c < (\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{p^*})(\mu p^*)^{\frac{-p}{p^*-p}} \tilde{S}^{\frac{p^*}{p^*-p}}$.

PROOF. Let $\{(u_n, v_n)\} \subset W_0^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^N, |x|^{-ap}) \times W_0^{1,q}(\mathbb{R}^N, |x|^{-bq})$ be a bounded $(PS)_c$ -sequence, with $u_n, v_n \geq 0$ for a.e. in \mathbb{R}^N , such that $u_n \rightharpoonup u$ weakly in $W_0^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^N, |x|^{-ap})$ and $v_n \rightharpoonup v$ weakly in $W_0^{1,q}(\mathbb{R}^N, |x|^{-bq})$, as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Suppose by contradiction that $u(x) = 0$ for a.e. $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$. As in the proof of Lemma 2.4 we get

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |x|^{-c_1 p^*} h u_n^\theta v_n^\delta dx = 0.$$

Then, we obtain

$$0 = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle I'(u_n, v_n), (u_n, 0) \rangle = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(\|u_n\|^p - \mu \alpha \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |x|^{-c_1 p^*} u_n^\alpha v_n^\gamma dx \right)$$

and

$$0 = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle I'(u_n, v_n), (0, v_n) \rangle = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(\|v_n\|^q - \mu \gamma \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |x|^{-c_1 p^*} u_n^\alpha v_n^\gamma dx \right).$$

We can take $l \geq 0$ such that

$$(11) \quad l = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\|u_n\|^p}{\alpha} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\|v_n\|^q}{\gamma} = \mu \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |x|^{-c_1 p^*} u_n^\alpha v_n^\gamma dx.$$

Also, we get

$$(12) \quad c = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} I(u_n, v_n) = \left(\frac{\alpha}{p} + \frac{\gamma}{q} - 1 \right) l \geq 0,$$

so we have (i).

Now, supposing $a = b$, $p = q$, and $p^* = q^*$, then by Lemma 2.1 we see that the constant \tilde{S} is well defined and $\tilde{S} > 0$. If $l = 0$, then $c = 0$, which is an absurd. Thus, we can suppose that $l > 0$, and by the definition of \tilde{S} we achieve

$$\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |x|^{-c_1 p^*} u_n^\alpha v_n^\gamma dx \right)^{\frac{p}{p^*}} \tilde{S} \leq \|u_n\|^p + \|v_n\|^p, \forall n.$$

Therefore, by taking the limit in the above inequality and using (11), we have

$$\left(\frac{l}{\mu} \right)^{\frac{p}{p^*}} \tilde{S} \leq (\alpha + \gamma)l = p^*l,$$

then

$$(13) \quad l \geq (\mu)^{\frac{-p}{p^*-p}} (p^*)^{\frac{-p^*}{p^*-p}} \tilde{S}^{\frac{p^*}{p^*-p}}.$$

Substituting the equation (13) in (12) we obtain

$$c \geq \left(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{p^*} \right) (p^* \mu)^{\frac{-p}{p^*-p}} \tilde{S}^{\frac{p^*}{p^*-p}},$$

hence we conclude (ii). \square

Lemma 2.6. *In addition to (H_{exp}) and (3), assume that $p = q$, $0 \leq a = b < (N - p)/p$, and $p^* = q^*$. Then, all the Palais Smale sequences $\{(u_n, v_n)\} \subset (W_0^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^N, |x|^{-ap}))^2$ for the operator I at the level c , with $u_n, v_n \geq 0$, is precompact provided that*

$$(14) \quad c < \left(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{p^*} \right) (\mu p^*)^{\frac{-p}{p^*-p}} \tilde{S}^{\frac{p^*}{p^*-p}} - K(h),$$

where

$$K(h) = \left[1 - \left(\frac{\theta + \delta}{p^*} \right) \right] \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |x|^{-c_1 p^*} h u_n^\theta v_n^\delta dx.$$

PROOF. We have by Lemma 2.3 that $\{(u_n, v_n)\}$ is a bounded sequence in $(W_0^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^N, |x|^{-ap}))^2$; consequently, there exists $(u, v) \in (W_0^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^N, |x|^{-ap}))^2$ such that $u_n \rightharpoonup u$ and $v_n \rightharpoonup v$ weakly in $W_0^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^N, |x|^{-ap})$, as $n \rightarrow \infty$. By the diagonal argument, up to a subsequence if necessary, we can admit that $u_n(x) \rightarrow u(x)$ and $v_n(x) \rightarrow v(x)$, for a.e. in \mathbb{R}^N , as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Also, as in Lemma 2.4 we can suppose that $\nabla u_n(x) \rightarrow \nabla u(x)$ and $\nabla v_n(x) \rightarrow \nabla v(x)$, for a.e. $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$, as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Define $\tilde{u}_n = u_n - u$ and $\tilde{v}_n = v_n - v$. Then, by the Young's inequality, we infer that $\{u_n^\theta v_n^\delta\}$ is a bounded sequence in $L^k(\mathbb{R}^N, |x|^{-c_1 p^*})$, therefore, by [23, Lemma 4.8] we obtain $u_n^\theta v_n^\delta \rightharpoonup u^\theta v^\delta$ weakly in $L^k(\mathbb{R}^N, |x|^{-c_1 p^*})$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. In particular, we have

$$(15) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |x|^{-c_1 p^*} h u_n^\theta v_n^\delta dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |x|^{-c_1 p^*} h u^\theta v^\delta dx,$$

for all function $h \in L^{k'}(\mathbb{R}^N, |x|^{-c_1 p^*})$ with $h \geq 0$.

Now, by a Brezis and Lieb result [8, Theorem 1], we have

- i.:** $\|u_n\|^p = \|\tilde{u}_n\|^p + \|u\|^p + O_n(1)$, as $n \rightarrow \infty$.
- ii.:** $\|v_n\|^p = \|\tilde{v}_n\|^p + \|v\|^p + O_n(1)$, as $n \rightarrow \infty$.
- iii.:**

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |x|^{-c_1 p^*} |u_n|^\alpha |v_n|^\gamma dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |x|^{-c_1 p^*} |\tilde{u}_n|^\alpha |\tilde{v}_n|^\gamma dx \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |x|^{-c_1 p^*} |u|^\alpha |v|^\gamma dx + O_n(1), \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned}$$

We recall that the proof of identity **iii.** follows arguing as in [26, Lemma 8].

In virtue of Lemma 2.4 we have that (u, v) is a weak solution of system (1), that is, $\langle I'(u, v), (w, z) \rangle = 0$ for all $(w, z) \in (W_0^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^N, |x|^{-ap}))^2$. By using (15) and **i. – iii.**, we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \|\tilde{u}_n\|^p - \mu\alpha \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |x|^{-c_1 p^*} |\tilde{u}_n|^\alpha |\tilde{v}_n|^\gamma dx \\ &= \|\tilde{u}_n\|^p - \|u\|^p - \mu\alpha \left[\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |x|^{-c_1 p^*} u_n^\alpha v_n^\gamma dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |x|^{-c_1 p^*} u^\alpha v^\gamma dx \right] + O_n(1) \\ &= \langle I'(u_n, v_n), (u_n, 0) \rangle - \langle I'(u, v), (u, 0) \rangle + O_n(1) \\ &= O_n(1), \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Analogously, we obtain

$$\|\tilde{v}_n\|^p - \mu\gamma \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |x|^{-c_1 p^*} |\tilde{u}_n|^\alpha |\tilde{v}_n|^\gamma dx = O_n(1).$$

Thus, we can take $l \geq 0$ such that

$$l = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\|\tilde{u}_n\|^p}{\alpha} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\|\tilde{v}_n\|^p}{\gamma} = \mu \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |x|^{-c_1 p^*} |\tilde{u}_n|^\alpha |\tilde{v}_n|^\gamma dx.$$

If $l = 0$ the result is proved. Suppose by contradiction that $l > 0$. By the definition of $(PS)_c$ -sequence we get

$$\begin{aligned} (16) \quad c + O_n(1) &= I(u_n, v_n) - \frac{1}{p^*} \langle I'(u_n, v_n), (u_n, v_n) \rangle \\ &= \left(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{p^*}\right) (\|\tilde{u}_n\|^p + \|\tilde{v}_n\|^p) + \left(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{p^*}\right) (\|u\|^p + \|v\|^p) \\ &\quad + \left(\frac{\theta+\delta}{p^*} - 1\right) \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |x|^{-c_1 p^*} h u_n^\theta v_n^\delta dx + O_n(1) \\ &\geq \left(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{p^*}\right) p^* l + \left(\frac{\theta+\delta}{p^*} - 1\right) \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |x|^{-c_1 p^*} h u_n^\theta v_n^\delta dx + O_n(1). \end{aligned}$$

By using the definition of \tilde{S} we achieve

$$\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |x|^{-c_1 p^*} u_n^\alpha v_n^\gamma dx \right)^{\frac{p}{p^*}} \tilde{S} \leq \|u_n\|^p + \|v_n\|^p, \forall n.$$

Hence, taking the limit in the above inequality we get

$$\left(\frac{l}{\mu} \right)^{\frac{p}{p^*}} \tilde{S} \leq (\alpha + \gamma)l = p^* l$$

then

$$(17) \quad l \geq (\mu)^{\frac{-p}{p^*-p}} (p^*)^{\frac{-p^*}{p^*-p}} \tilde{S}^{\frac{p^*}{p^*-p}}.$$

Substituting (17) in (16) and taking the limit, we obtain

$$c \geq \left(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{p^*} \right) (\mu p^*)^{\frac{-p}{p^*-p}} \tilde{S}^{\frac{p^*}{p^*-p}} - K(h),$$

which contradicts the inequality (14). \square

3. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.1

Consider $h \in L^{k'}(\mathbb{R}^N, |x|^{-c_1 p^*}) \setminus \{0\}$ with $h \geq 0$ for a.e. in \mathbb{R}^N and $(u, v) \in W_0^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^N, |x|^{-ap}) \times W_0^{1,q}(\mathbb{R}^N, |x|^{-bq})$ with $\|(u, v)\| \leq 1$, then we obtain

$$(18) \quad \begin{aligned} I(u, v) &\geq \min\left\{\frac{1}{p}, \frac{1}{q}\right\} (\|u\|^{\max\{p,q\}} + \|v\|^{\max\{p,q\}}) \\ &\quad - \left(\frac{\theta}{p_1} C^{p_1/p} + \frac{\delta}{q_1} C^{q_1/q} \right) \|h\|_{L^{k'}(\Omega, |x|^{-c_1 p^*})} (\|u\|^{\min\{p_1, q_1\}} + \|v\|^{\min\{p_1, q_1\}}) \\ &\quad - \mu \left(\frac{\alpha}{p^*} C^{p^*/p} + \frac{\gamma}{q^*} C^{q^*/q} \right) (\|u\|^{\min\{p^*, q^*\}} + \|v\|^{\min\{p^*, q^*\}}) \\ &\geq \|(u, v)\|^{\max\{p,q\}} \left[2^{1-\max\{p,q\}} \min\left\{\frac{1}{p}, \frac{1}{q}\right\} \right. \\ &\quad \left. - k_1(h) \|(u, v)\|^{\min\{p_1, q_1\} - \max\{p,q\}} - k_2(\mu) \|(u, v)\|^{\min\{p^*, q^*\} - \max\{p,q\}} \right], \end{aligned}$$

where

$$k_1(h) = 2 \left(\frac{\theta}{p_1} C^{p_1/p} + \frac{\delta}{q_1} C^{q_1/q} \right) \|h\|_{L^{k'}(\mathbb{R}^N, |x|^{-c_1 p^*})}$$

and

$$k_2(\mu) = 2\mu \left(\frac{\alpha C^{p^*/p}}{p^*} + \frac{\gamma C^{q^*/q}}{q^*} \right).$$

Let $f_{h,\mu} : (0, +\infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be given by

$$f_{h,\mu}(s) = k_1(h) s^{\min\{p_1, q_1\} - \max\{p,q\}} + k_2(\mu) s^{\min\{p^*, q^*\} - \max\{p,q\}},$$

where $\min\{p_1, q_1\} < \max\{p, q\} < \min\{p^*, q^*\}$. Therefore, the minimum point of $f_{h,\mu}$ is

$$s_{h,\mu} = \left(\frac{(\max\{p,q\} - \min\{p_1, q_1\}) k_1(h)}{(\min\{p^*, q^*\} - \max\{p,q\}) k_2(\mu)} \right)^{\frac{1}{\min\{p^*, q^*\} - \min\{p_1, q_1\}}},$$

for all $\|h\|_{L^{k'}(\mathbb{R}^N, |x|^{-c_1 p^*})}, \mu > 0$.

Then, for each $\mu > 0$, we can choose $\lambda_0 = \lambda_0(\mu) > 0$ satisfying for all $h \in L^{k'}(\mathbb{R}^N, |x|^{-c_1 p^*})$ with $0 < \|h\|_{L^{k'}(\mathbb{R}^N, |x|^{-c_1 p^*})} < \lambda_0$ the conditions: $0 < s_{h,\mu} < 1$ and $2^{1-\max\{p,q\}} \min\{1/p, 1/q\} - f_{h,\mu}(s_{h,\mu}) > 0$. Consequently, by (18) we have

$$I(u, v) \geq (s_{h,\mu})^{\max\{p,q\}} (2^{1-\max\{p,q\}} \min\{\frac{1}{p}, \frac{1}{q}\} - f_{h,\mu}(s_{h,\mu})) > 0,$$

for all $(u, v) \in W_0^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^N, |x|^{-ap}) \times W_0^{1,q}(\mathbb{R}^N, |x|^{-bq})$ with $\|(u, v)\| = s_{h,\mu}$, where $0 < \|h\|_{L^{k'}(\mathbb{R}^N, |x|^{-c_1 p^*})} < \lambda_0$.

Now, we will adapt an argument used by Mizoguchi in [25, Theorem 1] (see also [3]). The operator $I|_{\overline{B(0, s_{h,\mu})}} : \overline{B(0, s_{h,\mu})} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is bounded from below and continuous. Evidently

$$\inf_{\partial \overline{B(0, s_{h,\mu})}} I > 0.$$

Let us take $(u_0, v_0) \in W_0^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^N, |x|^{-ap}) \times W_0^{1,q}(\mathbb{R}^N, |x|^{-bq})$ with $u_0, v_0 \geq 0$ for a.e. in \mathbb{R}^N and $h \cdot u_0 \cdot v_0 \not\equiv 0$, then we get $t_0 \in (0, 1)$ such that

$$I(t_0^{1/p} u_0, t_0^{1/q} v_0) \leq \left(\frac{1}{p} \|u_0\|^p + \frac{1}{q} \|v_0\|^q \right) t - t^{\frac{p}{p} + \frac{q}{q}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |x|^{-c_1 p^*} h u_0^p v_0^q dx < 0$$

and $(t_0^{1/p} u_0, t_0^{1/q} v_0) \in B(0, s_{h,\mu})$. Therefore, we have

$$\inf_{\overline{B(0, s_{h,\mu})}} I \leq \inf_{B(0, s_{h,\mu})} I < 0 < \inf_{\partial \overline{B(0, s_{h,\mu})}} I.$$

Now, for each ξ satisfying

$$(19) \quad 0 < \xi < \inf_{\partial \overline{B(0, s_{h,\mu})}} I - \inf_{B(0, s_{h,\mu})} I,$$

follow from definition of the infimum of I in $\overline{B(0, s_{h,\mu})}$ that there exists $(w_{0,\xi}, z_{0,\xi}) \in \overline{B(0, s_{h,\mu})}$ such that

$$(20) \quad I(w_{0,\xi}, z_{0,\xi}) \leq \inf_{\overline{B(0, s_{h,\mu})}} I + \xi.$$

Thus, the Ekeland's variational principle implies that there exists $(u_\xi, v_\xi) \in \overline{B(0, s_{h,\mu})}$ satisfying

$$(21) \quad I(u_\xi, v_\xi) \leq I(w_{0,\xi}, z_{0,\xi})$$

and

$$(22) \quad I(u_\xi, v_\xi) < I(w, z) + \xi \|(w, z) - (u_\xi, v_\xi)\|, \quad (w, z) \neq (u_\xi, v_\xi).$$

In particular, by combining (19), (20), and (21), we obtain

$$I(u_\xi, v_\xi) < \inf_{\partial \overline{B(0, s_{h,\mu})}} I,$$

then $(u_\xi, v_\xi) \in B(0, s_{h,\mu})$.

Let us define the operator $T : \overline{B(0, s_{h,\mu})} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ given by

$$T(w, z) = I(w, z) + \xi \|(w, z) - (u_\xi, v_\xi)\|,$$

so by (22) follows that (u_ξ, v_ξ) is a strict local minimum of T , therefore

$$\frac{T((u_\xi, v_\xi) + \eta(w, z)) - T(u_\xi, v_\xi)}{\eta} \geq 0$$

for $\eta > 0$ small and (w, z) belongs to unity sphere of $W_0^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^N, |x|^{-ap}) \times W_0^{1,q}(\mathbb{R}^N, |x|^{-bq})$. Thus, we obtain

$$\frac{I((u_\xi, v_\xi) + \eta(w, z)) - I(u_\xi, v_\xi)}{\eta} + \xi \|(w, z)\| \geq 0,$$

and passing to the limit as $\eta \rightarrow 0$ it follows that

$$\langle I'(u_\xi, v_\xi), (w, z) \rangle \geq -\xi \|(w, z)\|,$$

therefore

$$\|I'(u_\xi, v_\xi)\| \leq \xi.$$

Hence, we can construct $\{(u_n, v_n)\} \subset W_0^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^N, |x|^{-ap}) \times W_0^{1,q}(\mathbb{R}^N, |x|^{-bq})$ a $(PS)_c$ -sequence with $c := \inf_{B(0, s_{h,\mu})} I$, which, by virtue of Lemma 2.3, can be considered bounded and $u_n, v_n \geq 0$ for a.e. in \mathbb{R}^N .

Consequently, by Lemma 2.4 we have that system (1) possesses a weak solution $(u, v) \in W_0^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^N, |x|^{-ap}) \times W_0^{1,q}(\mathbb{R}^N, |x|^{-bq})$ with $u, v \geq 0$ for a.e. in \mathbb{R}^N . Finally, as $\inf_{B(0, s_{h,\mu})} I < 0$, by Lemma 2.5 we have that u, v are nontrivial. \square

4. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.2

Analogously to the proof of Theorem 1.1, for each $\mu > 0$, we can find $\lambda_0 > 0$, a $(PS)_c$ -sequence $\{(u_n, v_n)\} \subset \left(W_0^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^N, |x|^{-ap})\right)^2$ with $u_n, v_n \geq 0$ for a.e. in \mathbb{R}^N , and $\sigma, \rho \in (0, 1)$ satisfying

$$(23) \quad I(w, z) \geq \sigma \quad \text{if} \quad \|(w, z)\| = \rho,$$

and

$$I(u_n, v_n) \longrightarrow \inf_{B(0, \rho)} I < 0 \quad \text{and} \quad I'(u_n, v_n) \longrightarrow 0, \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty,$$

for each $h \in \mathbb{E}_\lambda$, provided that $0 < \lambda < \lambda_0$.

From the boundedness of $\{(u_n, v_n)\}$, uniformly in $h \in \mathbb{E}_\lambda$ and $\mu > 0$ with $0 < \lambda < \lambda_0$, we obtain $K(h) \leq M \|h\|_{L^{k'}(\mathbb{R}^N, |x|^{-c_1 p^*})} \rightarrow 0$ as $\|h\|_{L^{k'}(\mathbb{R}^N, |x|^{-c_1 p^*})} \rightarrow 0$, for some $M > 0$. Therefore, changing λ_0 by other smaller, if necessary, we get

$$\inf_{\overline{B(0, \rho)}} I < 0 \leq \left(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{p^*}\right) (p^* \mu)^{\frac{-p}{p^* - p}} \tilde{S}_{p^* - p}^{p^*} - K(h),$$

for all $h \in \mathbb{E}_\lambda$, since that $0 < \lambda < \lambda_0$. Consequently, by Lemma 2.6 there exists a subsequence of $\{(u_n, v_n)\}$, that we will be denoted by $\{(u_n, v_n)\}$, such that $u_n \rightarrow u$ and $v_n \rightarrow v$ strongly in $W_0^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^N, |x|^{-ap})$, as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Hence, we conclude

$$I(u_n, v_n) \longrightarrow I(u, v) < 0 \text{ and } I'(u_n, v_n) \longrightarrow I'(u, v) \equiv 0, \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty,$$

that is, (u, v) is a weak solution of system (1), where u, v are nonnegatives and $I(u, v) < 0$. Moreover, from Lemma 2.5 we obtain that u, v are nontrivial.

In the next step, we will prove the existence of the second weak solution.

Consider $(u_0, v_0) \in \left(W_0^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^N, |x|^{-ap})\right)^2$ with $u_0 \cdot v_0 \not\equiv 0$ and $u_0, v_0 \geq 0$ for a.e. in \mathbb{R}^N , then we obtain

$$(24) \quad I(t^{1/p}u_0, t^{1/p}v_0) \leq \frac{1}{p}(\|u_0\|^p + \|v_0\|^p)t - \mu t^{\frac{\alpha+\gamma}{p}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |x|^{-c_1 p^*} u_0^\alpha v_0^\gamma dx \longrightarrow -\infty,$$

as $t \rightarrow \infty$.

Thus, by (23) and (24) we have the geometric condition of mountain pass theorem, provided that $h \in \mathbb{E}_\lambda$ with $0 < \lambda < \lambda_0$.

Lemma 4.1. *Let us consider $s_0 = s_1/(s_1^\alpha t_1^\gamma)^{\frac{1}{p^*}}$ and $t_0 = t_1/(s_1^\alpha t_1^\gamma)^{\frac{1}{p^*}}$, where $s_1, t_1 > 0$ and $s_1/t_1 = (\alpha/\gamma)^{1/p}$, and u_ϵ the function defined in Lemma 2.2. Then, there exists $\epsilon, \eta > 0$ such that*

$$\sup_{t \geq 0} I(t(s_0 u_\epsilon), t(t_0 u_\epsilon)) \leq \eta < \left(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{p^*}\right)(\mu p^*)^{\frac{-p}{p^*-p}} \tilde{S}_{p^*-p}^{p^*},$$

uniformly in $h \in \mathbb{E}_\lambda$ with $\|h\|_{L^{k'}(\mathbb{R}^N, |x|^{-c_1 p^*})} > 0$.

PROOF. Due to the geometric conditions of the mountain pass theorem, for each $\epsilon > 0$ there exists $t_\epsilon > 0$ such that

$$0 < \sigma \leq \sup_{t \geq 0} I(t(s_0 u_\epsilon), t(t_0 u_\epsilon)) = I(t_\epsilon(s_0 u_\epsilon), t_\epsilon(t_0 u_\epsilon)).$$

Moreover, if we suppose by contradiction that there exists a subsequence $\{t_{\epsilon_n}\}$ such that $t_{\epsilon_n} \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, we obtain, by using Lemma 2.2, that

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &< \sigma \leq \sup_{t \geq 0} I(t(s_0 u_{\epsilon_n}), t(t_0 u_{\epsilon_n})) \\ &\leq \frac{t_{\epsilon_n}^p}{p}(s_0^p + t_0^p)(\tilde{S}_{a,p,R} + O(\epsilon_n^{(N-d_1 p)/d_1 p})) \longrightarrow 0, \end{aligned}$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$, which is an absurd. Then, we have $l > 0$ with $t_\epsilon \geq l$, for all $\epsilon > 0$.

Consequently, by using Lemma 2.2 and putting $c_0 = l^{p_1} s_0^\theta t_0^\delta$, we get

$$(25) \quad \sup_{t \geq 0} I(t(s_0 u_\epsilon), t(t_0 u_\epsilon)) \leq \left(\frac{s_1^p + t_1^p}{(s_1^\alpha t_1^\gamma)^{\frac{p}{p^*}}}\|u_\epsilon\|^p\right) \frac{t_\epsilon^p}{p} - \lambda c_0 \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |x|^{-c_1 p^*} u_\epsilon^{p_1} dx - \mu t_\epsilon^{p^*}.$$

Notice that

$$(26) \quad t_{1_\epsilon} = (\mu p^*)^{\frac{-1}{p^*-p}} \left(\frac{s_1^p + t_1^p}{(s_1^\alpha t_1^\gamma)^{p/p^*}} \right)^{\frac{1}{p^*-p}} \|u_\epsilon\|^{\frac{p}{p^*-p}}$$

is the unique maximum point of $f_\epsilon : (0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, given by

$$f_\epsilon(t) = \frac{(s_1^p + t_1^p) t^p}{(s_1^\alpha t_1^\gamma)^{p/p^*} p} \|u_\epsilon\|^p - \mu t^{p^*}.$$

It is easy to see that the following inequality holds,

$$(27) \quad (A + B)^k \leq A^k + k(A + B)^{k-1}B,$$

for all $A, B \geq 0$ and $k \geq 1$ (See [24]). Observe that

$$(28) \quad \left[\frac{s_1^p + t_1^p}{(s_1^\alpha t_1^\gamma)^{p/p^*}} \right] = [(\alpha/\gamma)^{\gamma/p^*} + (\alpha/\gamma)^{-\alpha/p^*}].$$

By using the Caffarelli-Kohn-Nirenberg's inequality it follows that $W_0^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^N, |x|^{-ap}) \subset W_{a,c_1}^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^N)$, then

$$(29) \quad \tilde{S}_{a,p} \leq C_{a,p}^*.$$

Substituting (26) in (25), from (27), (28), and using Lemma 2.2(6), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{t \geq 0} I(t(s_0 u_\epsilon), t(t_0 u_\epsilon)) &\leq \left(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{p^*}\right) (\mu p^*)^{\frac{-p}{p^*-p}} \left\{ \left[\left(\frac{\alpha}{\gamma}\right)^{\frac{\gamma}{p^*}} + \left(\frac{\alpha}{\gamma}\right)^{\frac{-\alpha}{p^*}} \right] \tilde{S}_{a,p,R} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + O\left(\epsilon^{\frac{N-d_1 p}{d_1 p}}\right) \right\}^{\frac{p^*}{p^*-p}} - c_0 \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |x|^{-c_1 p^*} h u_\epsilon^{p_1} dx \end{aligned}$$

Combining (4) with (29) we get

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{t \geq 0} I(t(s_0 u_\epsilon), t(t_0 u_\epsilon)) &\leq \left(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{p^*}\right) (\mu p^*)^{\frac{-p}{p^*-p}} \left\{ \left[\left(\frac{\alpha}{\gamma}\right)^{\frac{\gamma}{p^*}} + \left(\frac{\alpha}{\gamma}\right)^{\frac{-\alpha}{p^*}} \right] C_{a,p}^* \right\}^{\frac{p^*}{p^*-p}} \\ &\quad + O\left(\epsilon^{\frac{N-d_1 p}{d_1 p}}\right) - c_0 \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |x|^{-c_1 p^*} h u_\epsilon^{p_1} dx \end{aligned}$$

Now, from Lemma 2.1, we have

$$(30) \quad \begin{aligned} \sup_{t \geq 0} I(t(s_0 u_\epsilon), t(t_0 u_\epsilon)) &\leq \left(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{p^*}\right) (\mu p^*)^{\frac{-p}{p^*-p}} \tilde{S}_{\frac{p^*}{p^*-p}} + O\left(\epsilon^{\frac{N-d_1 p}{d_1 p}}\right) \\ &\quad - c_0 \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |x|^{-c_1 p^*} h u_\epsilon^{p_1} dx. \end{aligned}$$

Suppose that $p_1 < (N - c_1 p^*)(p - 1)/(N - p - ap)$ and observe that

$$(31) \quad \frac{(N-d_1 p)p_1}{d_1 p^2} < \frac{N-d_1 p}{d_1 p},$$

then, by Lemma 2.2 and (30), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{t \geq 0} I(t(s_0 u_\epsilon), t(t_0 u_\epsilon)) &\leq \left(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{p^*}\right) (\mu p^*)^{\frac{-p}{p^*-p}} \tilde{S}^{\frac{p^*}{p^*-p}} + O(\epsilon^{(N-d_1 p)/d_1 p}) \\ &\quad - O(\epsilon^{(N-d_1 p)p_1/d_1 p^2}) \\ &\leq \eta < \left(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{p^*}\right) (\mu p^*)^{\frac{-p}{p^*-p}} \tilde{S}^{\frac{p^*}{p^*-p}}, \end{aligned}$$

uniformly in $h \in \mathbb{E}_\lambda$, for some $\eta > 0$ and $\epsilon > 0$ small enough.

If $p_1 = (N - c_1 p^*)(p - 1)/(N - p - ap)$, by (31), (30), and Lemma 2.2, we can choose $\epsilon > 0$ small enough such that

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{t \geq 0} I(t(s_0 u_\epsilon), t(t_0 u_\epsilon)) &\leq \left(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{p^*}\right) (\mu p^*)^{\frac{-p}{p^*-p}} \tilde{S}^{\frac{p^*}{p^*-p}} + O(\epsilon^{(N-d_1 p)/d_1 p}) \\ &\quad - O(\epsilon^{(N-d_1 p)p_1/d_1 p^2} |\ln(\epsilon)|) \\ &\leq \eta < \left(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{p^*}\right) (\mu p^*)^{\frac{-p}{p^*-p}} \tilde{S}^{\frac{p^*}{p^*-p}}, \end{aligned}$$

uniformly in $h \in \mathbb{E}_\lambda$ and for some $\eta > 0$.

Assume that $p_1 > (N - c_1 p^*)(p - 1)/(N - p - ap)$, then we have

$$\frac{(N - c_1 p^*)(p - 1)(N - d_1 p)}{d_1 p(N - p - ap)} < \frac{(N - d_1 p)p_1}{d_1 p},$$

so, from (31), (30), and Lemma 2.2, there exists $\epsilon > 0$ small enough satisfying

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{t \geq 0} I(t(s_0 u_\epsilon), t(t_0 u_\epsilon)) &\leq \left(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{p^*}\right) (\mu p^*)^{\frac{-p}{p^*-p}} \tilde{S}^{\frac{p^*}{p^*-p}} + O(\epsilon^{(N-d_1 p)/d_1 p}) \\ &\quad - O\left(\epsilon^{\frac{(N-d_1 p)(p-1)(N-c_1 p^*)}{d_1 p(N-p-ap)} - \frac{(N-d_1 p)p_1}{d_1 p} + \frac{(N-d_1 p)p_1}{d_1 p^2}}\right) \\ &\leq \eta < \left(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{p^*}\right) (\mu p^*)^{\frac{-p}{p^*-p}} \tilde{S}^{\frac{p^*}{p^*-p}}, \end{aligned}$$

uniformly in $h \in \mathbb{E}_\lambda$ and some $\eta > 0$. Thus, we conclude the proof of Lemma 4.1. \square

Fix $\epsilon > 0$ as in the above claim.

By equation (24), we obtain $\tilde{t} > 0$ such that

$$I(\tilde{t}(s_0 u_\epsilon), \tilde{t}(t_0 u_\epsilon)) < 0,$$

uniformly in $h \in \mathbb{E}_\lambda$, for each $0 < \lambda < \lambda_0$.

Applying the mountain pass theorem [5] we get a $(PS)_c$ -sequence $\{(w_n, z_n)\}$ in $(W_0^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^N, |x|^{-ap}))^2$, where

$$(32) \quad 0 < \sigma \leq c = \inf_{h \in \Gamma} \max_{t \in [0,1]} I(h(t)) \leq \sup_{0 \leq t \leq \tilde{t}} I(t(s_0 u_\epsilon), t(t_0 u_\epsilon))$$

and

$$(33) \quad \Gamma = \left\{ h \in C([0, 1], W_0^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^N, |x|^{-ap}) \times W_0^{1,q}(\mathbb{R}^N, |x|^{-bq})) : h(0) = 0, h(1) = e \right\},$$

with $e := (\tilde{t}(s_0 u_\epsilon), \tilde{t}(t_0 u_\epsilon))$.

Thus, from Lemma 2.3 we can assume that $\{(w_n, z_n)\}$ is a bounded sequence and $w_n, z_n \geq 0$ for a.e. in Ω , uniformly in $h \in \mathbb{E}_\lambda$ and $\mu > 0$ with $0 < \lambda < \lambda_0$. Also, changing $\lambda_0 > 0$ by other smaller, if necessary, from Lemma 4.1 we obtain

$$0 < c \leq \eta < \left(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{p^*}\right)(\mu p^*)^{\frac{-p}{p^*-p}} \tilde{S}^{\frac{p}{p^*-p}} - K(h),$$

uniformly in $h \in \mathbb{E}_\lambda$, if $0 < \lambda < \lambda_0$. Then, by Lemma 2.6 we obtain $(w, z) \in (W_0^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^N, |x|^{-ap}))^2$ and a subsequence of $\{(w_n, z_n)\}$, that we will denote by $\{(w_n, z_n)\}$, satisfying $w_n \rightarrow w$ and $z_n \rightarrow z$ strongly in $W_0^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^N, |x|^{-ap})$, as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Hence, we have

$$I(w_n, z_n) \longrightarrow I(w, z) = c \text{ and } I'(w_n, z_n) \longrightarrow I'(w, z) \equiv 0, \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty,$$

that is, (w, z) is a weak solution of system (1) with $w, z \geq 0$ for a.e. in \mathbb{R}^N . Moreover, from Lemma 2.5 we obtain that w, z are nontrivial.

Evidently $(u, v) \neq (w, z)$, because $I(u, v) < 0 < I(w, z)$. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] K. Adriouch, A. El Hamidi, *On local compactness in quasilinear elliptic problems*, Diff. Int. Eqns. 20 (2007) 77-92.
- [2] Y. Akdim, E. Azroul, A. Benkirane, *Existence of solutions for quasilinear degenerate elliptic equation*, Electronic J. Diff. Eqns. 2001 (2001) (71) 1-19.
- [3] C.O. Alves, J.V. Gonçalves, O.H. Miyagaki, *Multiple positive solutions for semilinear elliptic equations in \mathbb{R}^N involving critical exponents*, Nonlinear Anal. 34 (1998) 593-615.
- [4] C.O. Alves, D.C. Morais Filho, M.A.S. Souto, *On systems of elliptic equations involving subcritical or critical Sobolev exponents*, Nonlinear Anal. 42 (2000) 771-787.
- [5] A. Ambrosetti, P.H. Rabinowitz, *Dual variational methods in critical point theory and applications*, J. Funct. Anal. 14 (1973) 349-381.
- [6] R.B. Assunção, P.C. Carrião, O.H. Miyagaki, *Critical singular problems via concentration-compactness lemma*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 326 (2007) 137-154.
- [7] A.K. Ben-Naoun, C. Troestler, M. Willem, *Extrema problems with critical Sobolev exponents on unbounded domains*, Nonlinear Anal. 26 (1996) 823-833.
- [8] H. Brezis, E. Lieb, *A relation between pointwise convergence of functions and convergence of functionals*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 88 (1983) 486-488.
- [9] H. Brezis, L. Nirenberg, *Positive solutions of nonlinear elliptic equations involving critical Sobolev exponents*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. 36 (1983) 437-477.
- [10] L. Caffarelli, R. Kohn, L. Nirenberg, *First order interpolation inequalities with weights*, Compositio Mathematica 53 (1984) 259-275.
- [11] F. Catrina, Z.Q. Wang, *Positive bound states having prescribed symmetry for a class of nonlinear elliptic equations in \mathbb{R}^N* , Ann. Inst. Henri Poincaré, Analyse Non Linéaire 18 (2001) 157-178.
- [12] J. Chen, S. Li, *On multiple solutions of a singular quasilinear equation on unbounded domain*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 275 (2002) 733-746.

- [13] P. Clément, D.G. de Figueiredo, E. Mitidieri, *Quasilinear elliptic equations with critical exponents*, Top. Meth. Nonl. Anal. 7 (1996) 133-170.
- [14] I. Ekeland, *On the variational principle*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 47 (1974) 324-353.
- [15] J. Fleckinger, R. Pardo, F. Thélin, *Four-parameter bifurcation for a p -laplacian system*, Electronic J. Diff. Eqns. 2001 (2001) (06) 1-15.
- [16] J.P. García Azorero, I. Peral Alonso, J.J. Manfredi, *Sobolev versus Hölder local minimizers and global multiplicity for some quasilinear elliptic equations*, Comm. Contemp. Math. 2 (2000) 385-404.
- [17] M. Ghergu, L.I. Nicolaescu, *Singular elliptic problems with lack of compactness*, Annali di Matematica 185 (2006) 63-79.
- [18] N. Ghoussoub, C. Yuan, *Multiple solutions for quasi-linear PDEs involving the critical Sobolev and Hardy exponents*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 352 (2000) 5703-5743.
- [19] J.V. Gonçalves, C.O. Alves, *Existence of positive solutions for m -laplacian equations in \mathbb{R}^N involving critical Sobolev exponents*, Nonlinear Anal. 32 (1998) 53-70.
- [20] A. El Hamidi, J.M. Rakotonson, *Compactness and quasilinear problems with critical exponents*, dedicated to Professor R. Temam for his 65th anniversary, Diff. Int. Eqns. 18 (2005) 1201-1220.
- [21] P. Han, *Multiple positive solutions of nonhomogeneous elliptic systems involving critical Sobolev exponents*, Nonlinear Anal. 64 (2006) 869-886.
- [22] T. Horiuchi, *Best constant in weighted Sobolev inequality with weights being powers of distance from origin*, J. Inequal. Appl. 1 (1997) 275-292.
- [23] O. Kavian, *Introduction à la théorie des points critiques et applications aux problèmes elliptiques*, Springer-Verlag, Paris, 1993.
- [24] O.H. Miyagaki, *On a class of semilinear elliptic problems in \mathbb{R}^N with critical growth*, Nonlinear Anal. 29 (1997) 773-781.
- [25] N. Mizoguchi, *Existence of nontrivial solutions of partial differential equations with discontinuous nonlinearities*, Nonlinear Anal. 16 (1991) 1025-1034.
- [26] D.C. Morais Filho, M.A.S. Souto, *Systems of p -laplacian equations involving homogeneous nonlinearities with critical Sobolev exponent degrees*, Commun. Partial Diff. Eqns. 24 (1999) 1537-1553.
- [27] E.S. Noussair, C.A. Swanson, J. Yang, *Quasilinear elliptic problem with critical exponents*, Nonlinear Anal. 20 (1993) 285-301.
- [28] E.A.B. Silva, M.S. Xavier, *Quasilinear elliptic system with coupling on non homogeneous critical term*, to appear in Nonlinear Anal..
- [29] N.M. Stavrakakis, N.B. Zographopoulos, *Bifurcation results for quasilinear elliptic systems*, Adv. Diff. Eqns. 08 (2003) 315-336.
- [30] Z.Q. Wang, M. Willem, *Singular minimization problems*, J. Diff. Eqns. 161 (2000) 307-320.
- [31] B. Xuan, *The solvability of quasilinear Brezis-Nirenberg-type problems with singular weights*, Nonlinear Anal. 62 (2005) 703-725.
- [32] B. Xuan, S. Su, Y. Yan, *Existence results for Brezis-Nirenberg problems with Hardy potential and singular coefficients*, Nonlinear Anal. 67 (2007) 2091-2106.
- [33] J. Yang, X. Zhu, *The quasilinear elliptic equation on unbounded domain involving critical Sobolev exponent*, J. Partial Diff. Eqns. 2 (1989) 53-64.

5. APPENDIX

Proof of Lemma 2.2. The proof of (6) can be found in [31, Lemma 5.1] (see also [13]). We are going to prove only the inequality (7).

First of all notice that by equation (5) we obtain

$$\|\nabla y_\epsilon\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^N, |x|^{-ap})}^p = (\tilde{S}_{a,p,R})^{p^*/(p^*-p)} = (k_{a,p}(\epsilon))^p \|\nabla U_{a,p,\epsilon}\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^N, |x|^{-ap})}^p$$

and

$$\|y_\epsilon\|_{L^{p^*}(\mathbb{R}^N, |x|^{-c_1 p^*})}^{p^*} = (\tilde{S}_{a,p,R})^{p^*/(p^*-p)} = (k_{a,p}(\epsilon))^{p^*} \|U_{a,p,\epsilon}\|_{L^{p^*}(\mathbb{R}^N, |x|^{-ap})}^{p^*}.$$

Therefore, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} |x|^{-c_1 p^*} |\psi U_{a,p,\epsilon}|^{p^*} dx &= O(1) + \int_{|x| < R_0} |x|^{-c_1 p^*} |U_{a,p,\epsilon}|^{p^*} dx \\ (34) \qquad \qquad \qquad &= O(1) + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |x|^{-c_1 p^*} |U_{a,p,\epsilon}|^{p^*} dx \\ &= O(1) + (\tilde{S}_{a,p,R})^{\frac{p^*}{p^*-p}} (k_{a,p}(\epsilon))^{-p^*}. \end{aligned}$$

We will prove that $\|h^{1/l} U_{a,p,\epsilon}\|_{L^l(\Omega, |x|^{-c_1 p^*})}^l$ verifies the inequalities (7). Considering the change of variables by the polar coordinates we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} (35) \qquad \int_{\Omega} |x|^{-c_1 p^*} h |\psi U_{a,p,\epsilon}|^l dx &\geq \int_{B(0,2R)} |x|^{-c_1 p^*} h |\psi U_{a,p,\epsilon}|^l dx \\ &\geq \inf_{B(0,2R)} h \left(\int_{B(0,2R) \setminus B(0,R)} |x|^{-c_1 p^*} |U_{a,p,\epsilon}|^l dx + \int_{B(0,R)} |x|^{-c_1 p^*} |U_{a,p,\epsilon}|^l dx \right) \\ &\geq \omega_N \inf_{B(0,2R)} h \left(\int_R^{2R} r^{-c_1 p^* + N-1} |U_{a,p,\epsilon}|^l dr + \int_0^R r^{-c_1 p^* + N-1} |U_{a,p,\epsilon}|^l dr \right) \end{aligned}$$

Now, we will calculate each one of the integrals of the above sum. Let us consider $\alpha = \frac{d_1 p(N-p-ap)}{(p-1)(N-d_1 p)}$, then

$$\begin{aligned} (36) \qquad \int_R^{2R} r^{-c_1 p^* + N-1} |U_{a,p,\epsilon}|^l dr &\geq \int_R^{2R} \frac{r^{-c_1 p^* + N-1}}{(1+r^\alpha)^{(N-d_1 p)l/d_1 p}} dr \\ &= \int_R^{2R} \frac{r^{-c_1 p^* + N-1 - [\alpha(N-d_1 p)l/d_1 p]}}{(r^{-\alpha} + 1)^{(N-d_1 p)l/d_1 p}} dr \\ &\geq \frac{1}{(R^{-\alpha} + 1)^{\frac{(N-d_1 p)l}{d_1 p}}} \int_R^{2R} r^{-c_1 p^* + N-1 - \alpha \frac{(N-d_1 p)l}{d_1 p}} dr, \end{aligned}$$

and making the change of variables $s = R^{-1}\epsilon^{-1/\alpha}r$, in the second integral of the sum in (35), we get

$$(37) \quad \int_0^R r^{-c_1 p^* + N - 1} |U_{a,p,\epsilon}|^l dr = (R^\alpha \epsilon)^{-\frac{(N-d_1 p)l}{d_1 p} + \frac{(N-c_1 p^*)(p-1)(N-d_1 p)}{d_1 p(N-p-ap)}} \\ \times \int_0^{\epsilon^{-1/\alpha}} \frac{s^{-c_1 p^* + N - 1}}{(R^{-\alpha} + s^\alpha)^{(N-d_1 p)l/d_1 p}} ds$$

If $l < (N - c_1 p^*)(p - 1)/(N - p - ap)$, we have that

$$-\frac{(N-d_1 p)l}{d_1 p} + \frac{(N-c_1 p^*)(p-1)(N-d_1 p)}{d_1 p(N-p-ap)} > 0 \text{ and } N - c_1 p^* - \alpha \frac{(N-d_1 p)l}{d_1 p} > 0.$$

therefore, by (35) and (36) we obtain

$$\int_\Omega |x|^{-c_1 p^*} h |\psi U_{a,p,\epsilon}|^l dx \geq \frac{\omega_N (\inf_{B(0,2R)} h)}{(R^{-\alpha} + 1)^{(N-d_1 p)l/d_1 p}} \int_R^{2R} r^{-c_1 p^* + N - 1 - \alpha \frac{(N-d_1 p)l}{d_1 p}} dr \\ \geq \left(\frac{w_n R^{N-c_1 p^*} \inf_{B(0,2R)} h}{(1 + R^\alpha)^{(N-d_1 p)l/d_1 p}} \right) \frac{\left(2^{-c_1 p^* + N - \alpha \frac{(N-d_1 p)l}{d_1 p}} - 1 \right)}{\left(-c_1 p^* + N - \alpha \frac{(N-d_1 p)l}{d_1 p} \right)} \\ = O(R, h).$$

Then, since $h \geq 0$ for a.e. in Ω and $\inf_{B(0,2R)} h > 0$, from (34) we get

$$\int_\Omega |x|^{-c_1 p^*} h |u_\epsilon|^l dx = \frac{\|h^{1/l} \psi U_{a,p,\epsilon}\|_{L^l(\Omega, |x|^{-c_1 p^*})}^l}{\|\psi U_{a,p,\epsilon}\|_{L^{p^*}(\Omega, |x|^{-c_1 p^*})}^l} \\ \geq \frac{O(R, h)}{\left(O(1) + (S_{a,p,R})^{p^*/(p^*-p)} (k_{a,p}(\epsilon))^{-p^*} \right)^{l/p^*}} \\ \geq \frac{O(R, h) (k_{a,p}(\epsilon))^l}{\left(O(1) + (S_{a,p,R})^{p^*/(p^*-p)} \right)^{l/p^*}} \\ = O(R, h) O(\epsilon^{l(N-d_1 p)/d_1 p^2}).$$

Moreover, we have for h satisfying (8) that

$$O(R, h) \geq \omega_N c_0 \left[\frac{\left(2^{-c_1 p^* + N - [\alpha(N-d_1 p)l/d_1 p] - 1} \right)}{-c_1 p^* + N - [\alpha(N-d_1 p)l/d_1 p]} \right] = \tilde{c}_0 > 0,$$

therefore

$$\int_\Omega |x|^{-c_1 p^*} h |u_\epsilon|^l dx \geq O(\epsilon^{l(N-d_1 p)/d_1 p^2}),$$

uniformly in $h \in L^{k'}(\Omega, |x|^{-c_1 p^*})$ satisfying (8).

Supposing $l = (N - c_1 p^*)(p - 1)/(N - p - ap)$, we see that

$$-\frac{(N-d_1 p)l}{d_1 p} + \frac{(p-1)(N-c_1 p^*)(N-d_1 p)}{d_1 p(N-p-ap)} = 0 \text{ and } N - c_1 p^* - \alpha \frac{(N-d_1 p)l}{d_1 p} = 0,$$

consequently, by (35) and (37), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{\Omega} |x|^{-c_1 p^*} h |\psi U_{a,p,\epsilon}|^l dx &\geq \omega_N \left(\inf_{B(0,2R)} h \right) \int_0^{\epsilon^{-1/\alpha}} \frac{s^{-c_1 p^* + N - 1}}{(R^{-\alpha} + s^{\alpha})^{(N-d_1 p)l/d_1 p}} ds \\
&\geq \omega_N \left(\inf_{B(0,2R)} h \right) \int_1^{\epsilon^{-1/\alpha}} \frac{s^{-c_1 p^* + N - 1 - [\alpha(N-d_1 p)l/d_1 p]}}{((Rs)^{-\alpha} + 1)^{(N-d_1 p)l/d_1 p}} ds \\
&\geq \frac{\omega_N (\inf_{B(0,2R)} h)}{(R^{-\alpha} + 1)^{(N-d_1 p)l/d_1 p}} \int_1^{\epsilon^{-1/\alpha}} s^{-1} ds \\
&= \omega_N \frac{R^{[\alpha(N-d_1 p)l/d_1 p]} (\inf_{B(0,2R)} h)}{(1+R^{\alpha})^{(N-d_1 p)l/d_1 p}} \ln(\epsilon^{-1/\alpha}) \\
&= \frac{\omega_N}{\alpha} \frac{R^{N-c_1 p^*} (\inf_{B(0,2R)} h)}{(1+R^{\alpha})^{(N-d_1 p)l/d_1 p}} |\ln(\epsilon)|.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, as $h \geq 0$ a.e. in Ω and $\inf_{B(0,2R)} h > 0$, from (34) we get we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{\Omega} |x|^{-c_1 p^*} h |u_{\epsilon}|^l dx &\geq \frac{\left(\frac{\omega_N R^{N-c_1 p^*} \inf_{B(0,2R)} h}{\alpha(1+R^{\alpha})^{(N-d_1 p)l/d_1 p}} \right) |\ln(\epsilon)|}{\left(O(1) + (S_{a,p,R})^{p^*/(p^*-p)} (k_{a,p}(\epsilon))^{-p^*} \right)^{l/p^*}} \\
&\geq \frac{O(1) \left(\frac{R^{N-c_1 p^*} \inf_{B(0,2R)} h}{(1+R^{\alpha})^{(N-d_1 p)l/d_1 p}} \right) \epsilon^{(N-d_1 p)l/d_1 p^2} |\ln(\epsilon)|}{\left(O(1) + (S_{a,p,R})^{p^*/(p^*-p)} \right)^{l/p^*}} \\
&= O(h, R) \epsilon^{(N-d_1 p)l/d_1 p^2} |\ln(\epsilon)|,
\end{aligned}$$

and if h satisfies (8), it follows that $O(h, R) \geq \tilde{c}_0 > 0$, then

$$\int_{\Omega} |x|^{-c_1 p^*} h |u_{\epsilon}|^l dx = O(\epsilon^{(N-d_1 p)l/d_1 p^2} |\ln(\epsilon)|),$$

uniformly in h satisfying (8).

Assuming $l > (N - c_1 p^*)(p - 1)/(N - p - ap)$, we get

$$\frac{-(N-d_1 p)l}{d_1 p} + \frac{(N-c_1 p^*)(p-1)(N-d_1 p)}{d_1 p(N-p-ap)} < 0 \text{ and } N - c_1 p^* - \alpha \frac{(N-d_1 p)l}{d_1 p} < 0.$$

By using (35) and (36), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 \int_{\Omega} |x|^{-c_1 p^*} h |\psi U_{\epsilon}|^l dx &\geq \omega_N \left(\inf_{B(0,R)} h \right) (R^{\alpha} \epsilon)^{-(N-d_1 p)l/d_1 p + \frac{(N-c_1 p^*)(p-1)(N-d_1 p)}{d_1 p(N-p-ap)}} \\
 &\quad \times \int_{1/2}^1 \frac{s^{-c_1 p^* + N-1}}{(R^{-\alpha} + s^{\alpha})^{(N-d_1 p)l/d_1 p}} ds \\
 &\geq \left(\frac{\omega_N R^{N-c_1 p^*} (\inf_{B(0,R)} h)}{(1+R^{\alpha})^{(N-d_1 p)l/d_1 p}} \right) \\
 &\quad \times \epsilon^{\frac{-(N-d_1 p)l}{d_1 p} + \frac{(N-c_1 p^*)(p-1)(N-d_1 p)}{d_1 p(N-p-ap)}} \int_{1/2}^1 s^{-c_1 p^* + N-1} ds \\
 &\geq \left(\frac{O(1) R^{N-c_1 p^*} (\inf_{B(0,R)} h)}{(1+R^{\alpha})^{(N-d_1 p)l/d_1 p}} \right) \epsilon^{\frac{-(N-d_1 p)l}{d_1 p} + \frac{(N-c_1 p^*)(p-1)(N-d_1 p)}{d_1 p(N-p-ap)}} \\
 &\geq O(h, R) \epsilon^{\frac{-(N-d_1 p)l}{d_1 p} + \frac{(N-c_1 p^*)(p-1)(N-d_1 p)}{d_1 p(N-p-ap)}},
 \end{aligned}$$

therefore, we conclude

$$\begin{aligned}
 \int_{\Omega} |x|^{-c_1 p^*} h |u_{\epsilon}|^l dx &\geq \frac{O(h, R) \epsilon^{\frac{-(N-d_1 p)l}{d_1 p} + \frac{(N-c_1 p^*)(p-1)(N-d_1 p)}{d_1 p(N-p-ap)}}}{(O(1) + (S_{a,p,R})^{p^* / (p^* - p)} (k_{a,p}(\epsilon))^{-p^*})^{l/p^*}} \\
 &\geq \frac{O(h, R) \epsilon^{\frac{-(N-d_1 p)l}{d_1 p} + \frac{(N-c_1 p^*)(p-1)(N-d_1 p)}{d_1 p(N-p-ap)}} (k_{a,p}(\epsilon))^l}{(O(1) + (S_{a,p,R})^{p^* / (p^* - p)})^{l/p^*}} \\
 &= O(h, R) O \left(\epsilon^{\frac{(N-c_1 p^*)(p-1)(N-d_1 p)}{d_1 p(N-p-ap)} - \frac{(N-d_1 p)(p-1)l}{d_1 p^2}} \right),
 \end{aligned}$$

and if h satisfies (8), it follows that $O(h, R) \geq \tilde{c}_0 > 0$, hence we get

$$\int_{\Omega} |x|^{-c_1 p^*} h |u_{\epsilon}|^l dx \geq O \left(\epsilon^{\frac{(N-d_1 p)(p-1)(N-c_1 p^*)}{d_1 p(N-p-ap)} - \frac{(N-d_1 p)(p-1)l}{d_1 p^2}} \right),$$

uniformly in h satisfying (8). \square

(Olímpio Hiroshi Miyagaki.) DEPARTAMENTO DE MATEMÁTICA, UNIVERSIDADE FEDERAL DE VIÇOSA, 36571-000 VIÇOSA, MG, BRAZIL

E-mail address: olimpiao@ufv.br

(Rodrigo da Silva Rodrigues) DEPARTAMENTO DE MATEMÁTICA, UNIVERSIDADE FEDERAL DE SÃO CARLOS, 13565-905 SÃO CARLOS, SP, BRAZIL

E-mail address: rodrigossrodrigues@ig.com.br