

# A GENERALIZATION OF THE RUDIN-CARLESON THEOREM

S. BERHANU AND J. HOUNIE

ABSTRACT. We prove a generalization of the Rudin-Carleson theorem for homogeneous solutions of locally solvable real analytic vector fields.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

It is well known that if a continuous function  $f$  on the closure of the unit disc  $D = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < 1\}$  is holomorphic on  $D$  and vanishes on a subset  $E$  of the boundary  $\partial D$  of positive Lebesgue measure, then  $f \equiv 0$ . Conversely, Rudin [R] and Carleson [C] independently proved that if  $E \subset \partial D$  is a closed set of Lebesgue measure zero, and if  $g$  is a continuous function on  $E$ , then there is  $f \in C(\overline{D})$ , holomorphic on  $D$  such that  $f$  agrees with  $g$  on  $E$ . Refinements, new proofs, and some generalizations of the Rudin-Carleson theorem were given in the works [B],[D],[G], and [O]. For applications to peak interpolation manifolds for holomorphic functions on domains in  $\mathbb{C}^n$  see [Bh], [Na], [R2] and the references in these works. In a recent paper [BH1], we proved the following generalization of the Rudin-Carleson theorem for a class of real analytic complex vector fields:

**Theorem A.** *Let  $D$  be the unit disc and let  $L$  be a nonvanishing real analytic vector field defined on a neighborhood  $U$  of  $\overline{D}$  satisfying the Nirenberg-Treves condition  $(\mathcal{P})$ . Assume that  $L$  does not have a relatively compact orbit in  $U$ . Let  $E \subset \partial D$  be a closed set with Lebesgue measure zero and assume that  $g \in C(\partial D)$  is constant on the intersection  $\gamma \cap \partial D$  whenever  $\gamma$  is a one-dimensional orbit of  $L$ . Then there is  $h \in C(\overline{D})$  satisfying:*

$$\begin{aligned}Lh &= 0 \quad \text{in } D, \\h(z) &= g(z), \quad z \in E, \\ \sup_{z \in \partial D} |h(z)| &\leq \sup_{z \in E} |g(z)|.\end{aligned}$$

---

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 35F15, 35B30; Secondary 42A38, 30E25.

*Key words and phrases.* Rudin-Carleson property, Sussmann's orbits, Condition  $(\mathcal{P})$ .

Work supported in part by NSF DMS 0714696, CNPq and FAPESP.

We recall that condition  $(\mathcal{P})$  is a geometric condition that characterizes the class of locally solvable vector fields. This condition and the notion of orbits will be reviewed in Section 2. Observe that a continuous solution  $u$  of  $Lu = 0$  is constant on any one-dimensional orbit of  $L$  and this explains why  $g$  is assumed to be constant on the sets  $\gamma \cap \partial D$  in Theorem A.

In [BH1] we gave examples that showed that in general, if a real analytic, locally solvable vector field has a compact orbit, it may not have the Rudin-Carleson property. The main goal of this article is to characterize those locally solvable, real analytic vector fields  $L$  with compact orbits which exhibit the Rudin-Carleson property. This characterization is given in terms of the conformal type of a one-sided tubular neighborhood of each closed orbit endowed with the natural holomorphic structure induced by  $L$  (see Section 2 and Theorem 2.1 for the precise formulation). Section 3 is devoted to the proof of this result and Section 4 presents various examples. In Section 5, we show that for any smooth vector field, local solvability is a necessary and sufficient condition for the validity of the Rudin-Carleson property in arbitrary small neighborhoods of a point in an open set. In the final section we briefly discuss the relationship between the Rudin-Carleson theorem and the F. and M. Riesz theorem in the spirit of [B].

## 2. PRELIMINARIES AND STATEMENT OF THE MAIN RESULT

Let  $L = X + iY$  be a smooth vector field on an open set  $\Omega$  in  $\mathbb{C}$  where  $X$  and  $Y$  are real vector fields. Assume that  $L$  is nonzero at each point of  $\Omega$ . It is well known that condition  $(\mathcal{P})$  can be expressed in terms of the orbits of the pair of vector fields  $\{X, Y\}$  in the sense of Sussmann ([S]). Two points belong to the same orbit of  $\{X, Y\}$  in  $\Omega$  if they can be joined by a continuous, piecewise differentiable curve such that each piece is an integral curve of  $X$  or  $Y$ . Since  $X$  and  $Y$  are assumed to have no common zeros, the orbits of  $L$  in  $\Omega$  are immersed submanifolds of  $\Omega$  of dimension one or two; moreover, the two-dimensional orbits are open subsets of  $\Omega$ . Let  $\mathcal{O} \subset \Omega$  be a two-dimensional orbit of  $L$  in  $\Omega$  and consider  $X \wedge Y \in C^\infty(\Omega; \wedge^2(T(\Omega)))$ . Since  $\wedge^2(T(\Omega))$  has a global nonvanishing section  $e_1 \wedge e_2$ ,  $X \wedge Y$  is a real multiple of  $e_1 \wedge e_2$  and this gives a meaning to the requirement that  $X \wedge Y$  does not change sign on any two-dimensional orbit  $\mathcal{O}$  of  $\{X, Y\}$  in  $\Omega$ . The vector field  $L$  satisfies condition  $(\mathcal{P})$  at  $p \in \Omega$  if there is a disc  $U \subset \Omega$  centered at  $p$  such that  $X \wedge Y$  does not change sign on any two-dimensional orbit of  $L$  in  $U$ .

Suppose now  $L = X + iY$  is a locally solvable, real analytic vector field in a neighborhood  $U$  of  $\bar{D} = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| \leq 1\}$ . If  $L$  is a multiple of a real vector field, then by Lemma 2.1 in [BH1], it has the Rudin-Carleson property, and so we will assume throughout that  $L$  is not a multiple of a real vector field. If  $\partial D$  is an orbit of  $L$  then any solution

in  $D$  that is continuous on  $\overline{D}$  will be constant on  $\partial D$  and so we will assume that

$$(2.1) \quad \partial D \text{ is not an orbit.}$$

By real analyticity and the assumption that it is not a multiple of a real vector field,  $L$  has a finite number of one-dimensional orbits in  $D$ . Let  $\mathcal{C}_1, \dots, \mathcal{C}_k$  denote all the closed orbits of  $L$  in  $D$ . Observe that these orbits are real analytic, Jordan curves. We divide these closed orbits into two types. A closed orbit  $\mathcal{C}_j$  is a type I orbit if it is not enclosed in any other closed orbit. There may however be closed orbits in the precompact component of  $D \setminus \mathcal{C}_j$  in  $D$ , i.e., closed orbits enclosed by  $\mathcal{C}_j$ . The remaining closed orbits will be referred to as type II orbits. Suppose now  $\Omega$  is a connected open subset of a two-dimensional orbit of  $L$  in  $D$ . Since  $L$  satisfies condition  $(\mathcal{P})$  and is real analytic, for each  $p \in \Omega$ , there is a neighborhood  $U_p$  of  $p$ , a real analytic function  $Z : U_p \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  such that  $LZ = 0$ ,  $dZ \neq 0$  and  $Z$  is a homeomorphism. If  $Z' : U'_p \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  is also another such function on a neighborhood  $U'_p$  of  $p$ , then  $Z' \circ Z^{-1} : Z(U_p \cap U'_p) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  is holomorphic. In other words,  $L$  induces a Riemann surface structure on  $\Omega$  which we will denote by  $(\Omega, L)$ .

For each type I closed orbit  $\mathcal{C}_j$ , we fix a one-sided tubular neighborhood  $\Omega_j$  of  $\mathcal{C}_j$  that lies in the non precompact component of  $D \setminus \mathcal{C}_j$  such that  $\Omega_j$  does not intersect any one-dimensional orbit of  $L$ . We will also assume that the  $\Omega_j$  are pairwise disjoint. The following theorem provides a necessary and sufficient condition for  $L$  to have the Rudin-Carleson property.

**Theorem 2.1.** *Let  $D$  be the unit disc and let  $L$  be a nonvanishing real analytic vector field defined on a neighborhood  $U$  of  $\overline{D}$  satisfying the Nirenberg-Treves condition  $(\mathcal{P})$  and (2.1). Let  $E \subset \partial D$  be a closed set with Lebesgue measure zero. Then the following are equivalent:*

- (1) *For each type I closed orbit  $\mathcal{C}_j$ , the Riemann surface  $(\Omega_j, L)$  is conformal to the punctured disc with the standard structure.*
- (2) *For every  $g \in C(\partial D)$  that is constant on the intersection  $\gamma \cap \partial D$  whenever  $\gamma$  is a one-dimensional orbit of  $L$ , there is  $h \in C(\overline{D})$  satisfying:*

$$\begin{aligned} Lh &= 0 \quad \text{in } D, \\ h(z) &= g(z), \quad z \in E, \\ \sup_{z \in \partial D} |h(z)| &\leq \sup_{z \in E} |g(z)|. \end{aligned}$$

**Remark 2.2.** *In Section 4 we will give examples of real analytic, locally solvable vector fields with compact orbits with and without the Rudin-Carleson property.*

## 3. PROOF OF THEOREM 2.1

We will consider two cases:

**Case 1.** Assume that  $L$  has a single type I compact orbit  $\mathcal{C}$  contained in  $D$ . Let  $\gamma_j$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, n$  denote the one-dimensional, noncompact orbits of  $L$  in  $D$ . Consider the complement in  $D$  of the noncompact one-dimensional orbits,  $D^\sharp = D \setminus \bigcup_{j=1}^n \gamma_j$  and consider the connected components  $D_k$ ,  $k = 0, \dots, N$  of  $D^\sharp$ . We now fix  $k$  and study the boundary of  $D_k$ . Note that if  $p \in D \cap \partial D_k$  then  $p \in \gamma_j$  for some  $j \geq 1$ . Therefore, if  $V$  is a sufficiently small disc centered at  $p$ ,  $V \setminus \gamma_j$  is a disjoint union of two domains  $V_1$  and  $V_2$  with  $V \cap D_k = V_1$ . It follows that  $D_k$  has a real analytic boundary near  $p$ . We suppose from now on that  $p \in \partial D_k \cap \partial D$ . We will consider different possibilities. If the orbit of  $L$  at  $p$  is two-dimensional, by the real analyticity of  $L$ , we can find a disc  $B$  centered at  $p$  such that  $B \cap D \subset D_k$ . This means that near  $p$ ,  $\partial D_k$  consists of  $\partial D \cap B$  in this case. Assume next that the orbit at  $p$  is one-dimensional. If  $L$  is transversal to  $\partial D$  at  $p$ , then the orbit  $\gamma_j$  through  $p$  divides a disc  $W$  centered at  $p$  into two connected pieces  $W_1$  and  $W_2$  with  $W_1 \cap D = W \cap D_k$ . Thus near  $p$ ,  $D_k$  has a piecewise real analytic boundary consisting of two curves that intersect at  $p$ . Suppose now that  $L$  is tangent to  $\partial D$  at  $p$ . Let  $\gamma_j$  continue to denote the one-dimensional orbit through  $p$ . By the real analyticity, in a small disc  $V$  centered at  $p$ , if  $\gamma_j^b = \gamma_j \cap V$ , there are three possibilities:

- (i) Assume  $\gamma_j^b \subset D \cup \{p\}$ . Then since  $p \in \partial D_k$ , either  $\gamma_j^b \subset \partial D_k$  or  $\gamma_j^b \setminus \{p\}$  contains a subarc with an endpoint at  $\{p\}$  that bounds  $\partial D_k$  (in the second case, replace  $\gamma_j^b$  by this subarc and call it  $\gamma_j^b$ ). Near each  $q \in \gamma_j^b$ ,  $D_k$  lies on one side of  $\gamma_j^b$ . Hence near  $p$ , either  $\partial D_k = \gamma_j^b$ , or  $\partial D_k$  consists of  $\gamma_j^b$  and a subarc of  $\partial D$  with one endpoint at  $p$ .
- (ii) Suppose  $\gamma_j^b \cap \bar{D} = \{p\}$ . Near  $p$ , each side of  $\gamma_j^b$  is contained in distinct two-dimensional orbits. It follows that for some neighborhood  $V'$  of  $p$ ,  $V' \cap D \subset D_k$  and so  $\partial D_k$  near  $p$  equals  $V' \cap \partial D$ .
- (iii) Assume  $\gamma_j^b = \gamma^+ \cup \gamma^-$ , where  $\gamma^- \subset D$ , and  $\gamma^+ \cap D = \emptyset$ . Again each side of  $\gamma_j^b$  is contained in a two-dimensional orbit and so near  $p$ ,  $\partial D_k$  consists of  $\gamma^-$  and an arc in  $\partial D$  with  $p$  as an endpoint.

We thus see that  $\partial D_k$  is piecewise real analytic consisting of a finite number of curves each of which is either an arc of some  $\gamma_j$ ,  $1 \leq j \leq n$ , and the endpoints of this arc belong to the intersection of  $\gamma_j$  with  $\partial D$ , or an arc in  $\partial D$  with endpoints contained in the intersection of  $\partial D$  with a pair of one-dimensional orbits  $\gamma_j \cup \gamma_{j'}$ ,  $1 \leq j < j' \leq n$ . Note also that, whatever  $0 \leq k \leq N$ ,  $\partial D_k \cap \partial D$  contains an open arc. Let  $D_0$  be the connected component that contains the closed orbit  $\mathcal{C}$ . Notice that  $D_0 \setminus \mathcal{C}$  has two connected components, a simply connected one, that

we may call the interior of  $\mathcal{C}$  and denote it by  $\Omega_0$ , and an annular one that we will denote by  $\Omega$ , so  $\Omega = D_0 \setminus \overline{\Omega}_0$ .

Assume (1) in the theorem holds for the Riemann surface  $(\Omega_1, L)$  where  $\Omega_1$  is the one-sided annular neighborhood of  $\mathcal{C}$  in  $\Omega$ . We may assume that  $\Omega_1$  is bounded by  $\mathcal{C}$  and a real analytic, simple closed Jordan curve  $\Sigma$ . Consider the Riemann surface  $(\Omega, L)$ . Because the fundamental group of  $\Omega$  is the integers, by Theorem IV.6.1 in [FK], this Riemann surface is conformal to either the punctured disc, an annulus of the form  $\{z : a < |z| < b\}$  for some  $a, b > 0$ , or the punctured plane where each one is equipped with the standard structure. Since  $\partial\Omega$  intersects  $\partial D$  on an arc where the orbit of  $L$  is two-dimensional, there is an open set  $\Omega_2$  such that  $\Omega_2 \setminus \Omega$  has nonempty interior and  $\Omega_2$  is contained in an orbit of  $L$  in  $U$  of dimension two. This shows that  $(\Omega, L)$  is a prolongable Riemann surface (we recall that a Riemann surface  $S$  is called prolongable if there exists a Riemann surface  $S'$  and an injective holomorphic map  $f : S \rightarrow S'$  with  $f(S)$  not dense in  $S'$ ). In particular,  $(\Omega, L)$  cannot be conformal to the punctured plane.

Next if  $(\Omega, L)$  is conformal to an annulus of the form  $\{z : a < |z| < b\}$  for some  $a, b > 0$ , let  $F : (\Omega, L) \rightarrow \{z : a < |z| < b\}$  be a conformal map. Since  $\Sigma$  divides  $\Omega$  into two components, it follows that  $F(\Omega_1)$  equals one of the components of  $\{z : a < |z| < b\} \setminus F(\Sigma)$ . This contradicts the assumption that  $(\Omega_1, L)$  is conformal to the punctured disc. It follows that  $(\Omega, L)$  is conformal to the punctured disc. Let  $F : (\Omega, L) \rightarrow \Delta \setminus \{0\}$  be a conformal map. We will now use the arguments in [BH1] to show that  $F$  extends continuously to  $\partial\Omega \setminus \mathcal{C}$ , and this extension is injective and preserves sets of null Lebesgue measure on the part of  $\partial\Omega \setminus \mathcal{C}$  that is disjoint from the one-dimensional orbits. We will also show that  $F$  has a continuous extension to  $\overline{\Omega}$  which is injective away from the one-dimensional orbits, and that maps distinct one-dimensional orbits to distinct single points.

Let  $z_0 \in \partial\Omega$ . Assume first that  $z_0 \in \partial D$  and that  $z_0$  is not contained in a one-dimensional orbit of  $L$ . Suppose  $z_k$  is a sequence in  $\Omega$  that converges to  $z_0$ . If the sequence  $F(z_k)$  does not have a limit, then it clusters at least at two points on  $\partial\Delta \setminus \{0\}$ . Without loss of generality we may assume  $p_k = F(z_{2k})$  converges to  $v$  and  $q_k = F(z_{2k+1})$  converges to  $w$  where  $v$  and  $w$  are two points on the boundary of  $\Delta \setminus \{0\}$ . Let  $T_1$  and  $T_2$  be two continuous arcs in  $\Delta$  such that  $T_1$  contains the  $p_k$  and ends at  $v$  while  $T_2$  contains the  $q_k$  and ends at  $w$ . We may assume that  $\text{dist}(T_1, T_2) > c$  for some  $c > 0$ . Let  $Z$  be a first integral which is a homeomorphism from a disc  $U'$  about  $z_0$  to a neighborhood of the origin and mapping  $U' \cap \Omega$  onto  $V$ . Let  $G = F \circ Z^{-1}$ . Let  $S_j = F^{-1}(T_j)$ ,  $j = 1, 2$ . For  $r > 0$  small, let  $C_r$  be the intersection of the circle of radius  $r$  centered at 0 with the region  $V$ . Observe that if  $r$  is small enough, say  $r \leq r_0$  for some  $r_0 > 0$ ,  $Z^{-1}(C_r)$  intersects both  $S_1$  and  $S_2$  since these sets are connected and both accumulate at  $z_0$ .

Let  $C_r = \{re^{i\theta} : \theta_1(r) < \theta < \theta_2(r)\}$ . Let  $C'_r = G(C_r)$ . Observe that  $C'_r$  contains points of both  $T_1$  and  $T_2$  since  $Z^{-1}(C_r)$  intersects both  $S_1$  and  $S_2$ . Since  $G$  is holomorphic, it follows that

$$c < \ell(C'_r) = \int_{\theta_1(r)}^{\theta_2(r)} |G'(re^{i\theta})| r d\theta.$$

Applying the Schwarz inequality we get:

$$\frac{c^2}{r} < 2\pi \int_{\theta_1(r)}^{\theta_2(r)} |G'(re^{i\theta})|^2 r d\theta$$

which in turn leads to the contradiction that

$$\infty = c^2 \int_0^{r_0} \frac{dr}{r} < 2\pi \int_0^{r_0} \int_{\theta_1(r)}^{\theta_2(r)} |G'(re^{i\theta})|^2 r d\theta dr < \pi.$$

It follows that  $F(z_k)$  has a limit and therefore  $F$  extends continuously upto the point  $z_0$ . If  $F(z_0) = 0$ , then  $F$  will map a neighborhood of  $z_0$  in  $\partial D$  to 0 which would force  $F$  to be constant since by the analyticity of  $L$  and (2.1), except possibly at a finite number of points,  $\partial D$  is noncharacteristic for  $L$ . It follows that  $F(z_0) \in \partial\Delta$ .

We wish to prove the same property for  $F^{-1}$  away from the finite number of points which as we will see later are the images of the one-dimensional orbits under  $F$ . This would be equivalent to showing that  $F$  is locally one-to-one at the boundary points  $z_0$  as above which we will next show.

We claim that at each hypocomplex point  $z_0 \in \partial\Omega$  as above, the function  $F$  extends to be a homeomorphism up to  $z_0$ . To see this, first assume that  $L$  is transversal to  $\partial D$  at  $z_0$ . In this case, after contracting  $U$  about  $z_0$ ,  $Z(U \cap \partial D)$  is a real analytic piece of the boundary of  $V$  through the origin. The function  $G$  is holomorphic on  $V$ , continuous up to the boundary near the origin, and sends a real analytic boundary piece of  $V$  through 0 into the boundary of the disc  $\Delta$ . By the Schwarz reflection principle,  $G$  extends as a holomorphic function in a neighborhood of the origin which in turn leads to a real analytic extension of  $F$  past  $z_0$ . Suppose now  $z_1 \in \partial\Omega$  is another hypocomplex point where  $L$  is transversal to  $\partial D$  and assume that  $F(z_0) = F(z_1) = w$ . Then since  $F$  extends as a solution past both  $z_0$  and  $z_1$ , and  $L$  is hypocomplex at these points, the extended  $F$  is an open map and hence there are neighborhoods  $U_0, U_1, W$  of  $z_0, z_1$  and  $w$  respectively such that  $F(U_0) = F(U_1) = W$ . Moreover, because  $F$  is extended using the reflection principle, we may assume that  $F(U_0 \cap \Omega) = W \cap \Delta = F(U_1 \cap \Omega)$ . But this contradicts the injectivity of  $F$  on  $\Omega$ . Hence  $F(z_0) \neq F(z_1)$ . Recall that since  $\partial D$  is not an orbit of  $L$ , there are only a finite number

of points on  $\partial D$  where  $L$  is not transversal to  $\partial D$ . Suppose now  $z_2, z_3$  are two points in  $\partial\Omega \cap \partial D$  where  $F(z_2) = F(z_3)$  and assume that  $L$  is transversal to  $\partial D$  at  $z_2$  and tangent to  $\partial D$  at  $z_3$ . We have seen that there is a neighborhood  $U_2$  of  $z_2$  in  $\bar{D}$  where  $F$  is one-to-one and such that  $F(U_2)$  is a neighborhood of  $F(z_2)$  in  $\bar{\Delta}$ . But then, if  $z \in \Omega$  and is sufficiently close to  $z_3$ ,  $F(z) \notin F(U_2)$ , contradicting the continuity of  $F$  at  $z_3$ . Therefore,  $F(z_2) \neq F(z_3)$ . Finally, suppose  $z_4$  and  $z_5$  are two points in  $\partial\Omega \cap \partial D$  where  $L$  is hypocomplex and assume  $L$  is tangent to  $\partial D$  at both points and  $F(z_4) = F(z_5) = w_0$ . Since there are only a finite number of such points in  $\partial D$ , there is an open arc  $I$  in  $\partial D$  containing  $z_4$  in its interior and consisting of hypocomplex points such that  $z_4$  is the only point where  $L$  is not transversal to  $\partial D$ . Since  $F$  is one-to-one on  $I \setminus \{z_4\}$ ,  $F(I)$  is an open arc in  $\partial\Delta$  containing  $w_0$  in its interior. There is also a similar arc  $J$  with  $z_5$  in its interior and we may assume that  $I$  and  $J$  are disjoint. But then this would contradict the injectivity of  $F$  on  $I \cup J \setminus \{z_4, z_5\}$  and so we must have  $F(z_4) \neq F(z_5)$ . Hence  $F$  can be extended as a homeomorphism up to the part of the boundary of  $\Omega$  that is disjoint from the one-dimensional orbits. It is also real analytic past all but a finite number of the points that don't lie in the one-dimensional orbits.

Assume next that  $z_0 \in \partial\Omega \cap D \cap \gamma_j$  for some  $j \geq 1$  and write, for simplicity of notation,  $\gamma_j = \Gamma$ . Write  $L = X + iY$  with  $X$  and  $Y$  real vector fields. Replacing  $L$ , if necessary, by a convenient nonvanishing multiple of  $L$  we may assume that  $\Gamma$  is a closed integral curve of  $X$  joining two points  $A$  and  $B$  that belong to  $\partial D$ . Since  $Y$  vanishes on  $\Gamma$ , it vanishes identically on any integral curve of  $X$  that contains  $\Gamma$  (by analyticity). We may consider an integral curve  $\Gamma_1$  of  $X$  that extends  $\Gamma$  past both endpoints  $A$  and  $B$ , so that  $\Gamma_1$  is a one-dimensional orbit of  $L$  in a neighborhood  $U$  of  $\bar{D}$  with endpoints in  $U \setminus \bar{D}$ . In a tubular neighborhood  $V$  of  $\Gamma_1$  we may choose coordinates that rectify the flow of  $X$  and in which  $L$  has a canonical form. More precisely, we may choose local coordinates  $(x, t)$ , so that  $V$  is expressed as  $|x| \leq 1, |t| \leq 2, x(p) = t(p) = 0, x(A) = x(B) = 0, t(A) = 1, t(B) = -1$  and  $L$  has the form

$$L = \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + ib(x, t) \frac{\partial}{\partial x},$$

with  $t \mapsto b(x, t) \geq 0$  and not identically zero for  $0 < x \leq 1$ , and  $b(0, t) \equiv 0, -2 \leq t \leq 2$ . The intersection of  $\Omega$  with  $V$  is described by

$$\Omega \cap V = \{(x, t) : 0 < x \leq 1, \beta(x) < t < \alpha(x)\}.$$

Here,  $\alpha(x), \beta(x)$  are continuous on  $[0, 1]$  and analytic on  $(0, 1]$ ,  $\alpha(0) = 1, \beta(0) = -1$  and their graphs are contained in  $\partial D \cap \partial\Omega$ . By restricting  $F$  to  $\Omega \cap V$ , we obtain an injective map  $F(x, t)$  from  $\Omega \cap V$  into  $D$ . We may assume that  $F$  has already been extended as a homeomorphism

from

$$\{(x, t) : 0 < x \leq 1, \beta(x) \leq t \leq \alpha(x)\}$$

into  $\bar{D}$ . Hence,  $F(x, t)$  maps the graphs  $t = \alpha(x)$ ,  $t = \beta(x)$ ,  $0 < x < 1$ , into some open arcs  $\widehat{A'C'}$ ,  $\widehat{B'D'}$   $\subset \partial D$ . Consider the vertical segment  $T_\varepsilon = \{\varepsilon\} \times [\beta(\varepsilon), \alpha(\varepsilon)]$ ,  $0 < \varepsilon < 1$ , that is mapped by  $F$  into a curve  $F(T_\varepsilon)$  contained in  $D$  that joins two boundary points  $A'_\varepsilon \doteq F(\varepsilon, \alpha(\varepsilon)) \in \widehat{A'C'}$ ,  $B'_\varepsilon \doteq F(\varepsilon, \beta(\varepsilon)) \in \widehat{B'D'}$ . Notice that  $A'_\varepsilon \rightarrow A'$  and  $B'_\varepsilon \rightarrow B'$  as  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ . The length of  $F(T_\varepsilon)$  is

$$\ell(F(T_\varepsilon)) = \int_{\beta(\varepsilon)}^{\alpha(\varepsilon)} |F_t(\varepsilon, t)| dt = \int_{\beta(\varepsilon)}^{\alpha(\varepsilon)} (U_t^2(\varepsilon, t) + V_t^2(\varepsilon, t))^{1/2} dt$$

with  $F = \Re F + i\Im F = U + iV$ . Since  $LF = 0$ , i.e.,  $U_t = bV_x$ ,  $V_t = -bU_x$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \ell(F(T_\varepsilon))^2 &= \left( \int_{\beta(\varepsilon)}^{\alpha(\varepsilon)} b(\varepsilon, t) (U_x^2(\varepsilon, t) + V_x^2(\varepsilon, t))^{1/2} dt \right)^2 \\ &\leq \int_{\beta(\varepsilon)}^{\alpha(\varepsilon)} b(\varepsilon, t) dt \int_{\beta(\varepsilon)}^{\alpha(\varepsilon)} b(\varepsilon, t) (U_x^2(\varepsilon, t) + V_x^2(\varepsilon, t)) dt \\ (2.2) \quad &\leq \int_{-2}^2 b(\varepsilon, t) dt I(\varepsilon) \leq C\varepsilon I(\varepsilon). \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^1 I(\varepsilon) d\varepsilon &= \int_0^1 \int_{\beta(\varepsilon)}^{\alpha(\varepsilon)} b(\varepsilon, t) (U_x^2(\varepsilon, t) + V_x^2(\varepsilon, t)) dt d\varepsilon \\ &= \int_0^1 \int_{\beta(\varepsilon)}^{\alpha(\varepsilon)} \det \frac{\partial(U, V)}{\partial(\varepsilon, t)} dt d\varepsilon = \text{area}(F(\Omega \cap V)) < \pi. \end{aligned}$$

Since the integral on the left hand side is finite, we see that the product  $\varepsilon I(\varepsilon)$  cannot remain bounded below by a positive constant in any neighborhood of the origin. In other words, there is a sequence  $\varepsilon_j \searrow 0$  such that  $\varepsilon_j I(\varepsilon_j) \searrow 0$  and (2.2) shows that  $\ell(F(T_{\varepsilon_j})) \rightarrow 0$ . Hence,  $|A'_{\varepsilon_j} - B'_{\varepsilon_j}| \rightarrow 0$  and we conclude that  $A' = B'$ . Notice that the region

$$F(\{(x, t) : 0 < x < \varepsilon_j, \beta(x) < t < \alpha(x)\})$$

is bounded by the closed curved made of three arcs, to wit, the circular arc from  $A'_{\varepsilon_j}$  to  $A'$ , the circular arc from  $B' = A'$  to  $B'_{\varepsilon_j}$  and the curve  $F(T_{\varepsilon_j})$  that joins  $B'_{\varepsilon_j}$  to  $A'_{\varepsilon_j}$ . It is therefore easy to see that the diameter of that region tends to zero as  $j \rightarrow \infty$ , so given  $r > 0$  we may find  $j_0$  such that

$$F(\{(x, t) : 0 < x < \varepsilon_j, \beta(x) < t < \alpha(x)\}) \subset \Delta(A', r), \quad j \geq j_0.$$



This shows that, if we extend  $F$  to  $\{0\} \times [-1, 1]$  by setting  $F(0, t) = A'$ ,  $-1 \leq t \leq 1$ , we obtain a continuous extension.

Finally, we need to consider the continuous extendability up to points  $z_0$  that are in  $\partial\Omega \cap \partial D \cap \gamma_j$ , for some  $j \geq 1$ . Such a point will be in  $D_r = \{z : |z| < r\}$ ,  $r > 1$ , so it must belong to  $\Omega_r$  (the analog of  $\Omega$ ). Reasoning as above we see that a conformal map  $F_r : \Omega_r \rightarrow \Delta \setminus \{0\}$  extends continuously upto  $z_0$ . This in turn leads to the continuity of  $F$  since we could have taken it to be the restriction of  $F_r$  to  $\Omega$ . Observe next that  $F(\Sigma)$  is a simple closed curve that disconnects  $\Delta$  and with 0 in its interior. Since  $\Omega_1$  is conformal to  $\Delta \setminus \{0\}$ , we conclude that as  $p \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ ,  $F(p) \rightarrow 0$ , so  $F$  has a unique continuous extension up to  $\mathcal{C}$  and  $F(\mathcal{C}) = \{0\}$ . Summing up,  $F$  can be continuously extended up to  $\Omega \cup \partial D_0$ , this extension is injective on the pieces of  $D_0$  made up of subarcs of  $\partial D$  and sends each of the pieces made up of one-dimensional orbits  $\gamma_j$ ,  $j \geq 1$ , to points  $A_j \in \partial\Delta$ , in particular  $F(\partial D_0) \subset \partial\Delta$ . Moreover, it takes sets  $X \subset \partial D_0$  of Lebesgue measure zero into subsets of  $\partial\Delta$  of Lebesgue measure zero.

To consider the Rudin-Carleson problem, we are given a closed set  $E \subset \partial D$  with  $|E| = 0$  ( $|\cdot|$  denoting the Lebesgue measure in  $\partial D$ ) and we may assume without loss of generality that the intersections  $\gamma_j \cap \partial D \subset E$ ,  $1 \leq j \leq n$ . We are also given a continuous function  $g \in C(E)$  which is constant on each  $\gamma_j \cap \partial D \subset E$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, n$ . Since  $F : \partial D_0 \rightarrow \partial\Delta$  is continuous and preserves sets of Lebesgue measure zero,  $\tilde{E} \doteq F(E)$  is a closed set in  $\partial\Delta$  of measure zero. The fact that  $F$  maps the  $\gamma_j$  to single points implies that there is  $\tilde{g} \in C(\tilde{E})$  such that  $\tilde{g} \circ F = g$  on the set  $E$ . By the Rudin-Carleson theorem, there is a holomorphic function  $\tilde{h}$  on  $\Delta$  which is continuous on  $\overline{\Delta}$ , agrees with  $\tilde{g}$  on  $\tilde{E}$  and

$$\sup_{z \in \partial\Delta} |\tilde{h}(z)| \leq \sup_{z \in \tilde{E}} |\tilde{g}(z)|$$

Set  $h_0 = \tilde{h} \circ F$ . Then  $h_0$  is continuous on  $\overline{\Omega} \cup \partial D_0$  and  $Lh_0 = 0$  in  $\Omega$ . If  $\gamma_j$ ,  $j \geq 1$  is a one-dimensional orbit of  $L$  that is a piece of  $\partial D_0$ , we know that  $F(\gamma_j) = A_j$ , so  $h_0(\gamma_j) = \tilde{h}(A_j) = \tilde{g}(A_j)$ . This shows that  $h_0$  agrees with  $g$  on the intersection

$$\overline{D_0} \cap E.$$

Finally, we may extend  $h_0$  continuously to all of  $\overline{D_0}$  by declaring that  $h_0$  assumes the value  $\tilde{h}(0)$  on the closed orbit  $\mathcal{C}$  as well as in the interior of  $\mathcal{C}$ . Thus  $h_0$  is continuous on  $\overline{D_0}$  and it is easy to see that  $Lh_0 = 0$  on  $D_0$ . The construction of  $h_0$  also shows that

$$\sup_{z \in \partial D_0} |h_0(z)| \leq \sup_{z \in E} |g(z)|.$$

For the components  $D_j$ ,  $j \geq 1$ , using the method of proof of the main theorem in [BH1], we may find continuous functions  $F_{D_j} \in C(\overline{D_j})$  such

that  $LF_{D_j} = 0$  in  $D_j$ ,  $F_{D_j}(\overline{D_j}) = \overline{\Delta}$  (where  $\Delta$  is a copy of the unit disc in  $\mathbb{C}$ ) and  $F_{D_j}$  is injective on  $D_j$  and on the portion of  $\partial D_j$  that lies in  $\partial D$  and is disjoint from the one-dimensional orbits. The remaining part of  $\partial D_j$  is made up of subarcs  $C_\ell$  of some  $\gamma_j$ ,  $1 \leq j \leq n$ , and each subarc  $C_\ell$  is mapped by  $F_{D_j}$  into a single point  $A_\ell \in \partial\Delta$ , with  $A_\ell \neq A_{\ell'}$  for  $\ell \neq \ell'$ . Moreover, we may use these functions  $F_{D_j}$   $j \geq 1$ , and  $h_0$ , to obtain a continuous function  $h \in C(\overline{D})$  such that  $Lh = 0$  in  $D$ , and that agrees with  $g$  on  $E$ . Furthermore,

$$\sup_{\overline{D}} |h(z)| \leq \sup_E |g(z)|.$$

Thus (2) in the theorem is satisfied.

Suppose now (2) is satisfied. Consider the structure  $(\Omega_1, L)$ . This structure can not be conformal to the punctured plane since it is prolongable. Assume  $F : (\Omega_1, L) \rightarrow \{z : a < |z| < b\}$  is conformal for some  $a, b > 0$ . The methods in [BH1] show that  $F$  extends as a homeomorphism up to the boundary piece  $\Sigma$  and we may assume that it maps  $\Sigma$  onto  $\{w : |w| = b\}$ . It then follows that as  $z \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ ,  $F(z) \rightarrow \{w : |w| = a\}$ . Let  $h \in C(\overline{D})$  be a solution of  $L$  in  $D$ . There exists  $\tilde{h}$  holomorphic on  $\{z : a < |z| < b\}$  such that  $h = \tilde{h} \circ F$  on  $\Omega_1$ . Since  $h$  is continuous up to  $\mathcal{C}$  and is constant on  $\mathcal{C}$ ,  $\tilde{h}$  extends continuously up to  $\{w : |w| = a\}$  and is constant on this circle. It follows that  $h$  is constant on  $\Omega$ . But then  $h$  would be constant on arcs of  $\partial D$  that intersect  $\partial\Omega$ . This contradicts the validity of (2). Hence (1) holds.

**Case 2.** Assume that  $L$  has at least two compact orbits of type I. Let  $\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_m$  be the one-dimensional orbits in  $D$  and write  $D \setminus \cup_{j=1}^m \gamma_j$  as a union  $\cup_{i=1}^N W_i$  of components. Assume that (1) in the theorem holds. As before for each  $i$ ,  $\partial W_i$  is piecewise real analytic consisting of arcs of the  $\gamma_j$  or  $\partial D$ . For each  $i$ , let  $\mathcal{C}_1^i, \dots, \mathcal{C}_{n_i}^i$  be all the type I compact orbits in  $W_i$ . For each  $t = 1, \dots, n_i$ , let  $D_t^i$  be the relatively compact region in  $D$  bounded by  $\mathcal{C}_t^i$  and let  $\Omega_t^i$  denote the one-sided tubular neighborhood of  $\mathcal{C}_t^i$  that is disjoint from  $\overline{D_t^i}$ . We may assume that the  $\Omega_t^i$  are pairwise disjoint and  $\partial\Omega_t^i = \mathcal{C}_t^i \cup \Sigma_t^i$  for some analytic, closed Jordan curves  $\Sigma_t^i$ . When (1) in the theorem holds, for each  $i$  and  $t$ , there is a conformal map  $Z_t^i : \Omega_t^i \rightarrow \Delta \setminus \{0\}$ . Moreover, as we saw in Case 1, we can extend each  $Z_t^i$  continuously to  $\overline{D_t^i}$  by setting it to be zero there. Fix  $i$  and consider the component  $W_i$ . Consider the equivalence relation on  $W_i$  such that the equivalence classes  $[z]$  are

- (1) single points  $[z] = \{z\}$  if  $z \notin \cup_{t=2}^{n_i} \overline{D_t^i}$ ,
- (2)  $[z] = \overline{D_t^i}$  if  $z \in \overline{D_t^i}$  and  $2 \leq t \leq n_i$ .

In other words, for  $t \geq 2$ , we collapse  $\overline{D_t^i}$  to a single class. For each  $t \geq 2$ , fix once for all a point  $z_t \in \overline{D_t^i}$ . We denote by  $\widehat{W}_i = W_i / \sim$  the

quotient space with its natural topology and we will define a conformal structure on  $\widehat{W}_i \setminus \overline{D}_1^i$ . We need to define an atlas of local holomorphic charts. If  $[z] = \{z\}$ ,  $z \notin \bigcup_{t=1}^{n_i} \overline{D}_t^i$ , is a class of type (1) we may take a local first integral of  $L$  that is a homeomorphism on a neighborhood of  $z$  that does not intersect  $\bigcup_{t=1}^{n_i} \overline{D}_t^i$ . In the case of the  $[z_t]$  ( $2 \leq t \leq n_i$ ), we choose the neighborhood as  $[z_t] \cup [\Omega_t^i] = [z_t] \cup \Omega_t^i$  and the holomorphic coordinate will be given by  $Z_t^i$  on  $\Omega_t^i$  and maps  $[z_t]$  to zero. These charts are holomorphically related on their overlaps and turn  $\widehat{W}_i \setminus \overline{D}_1^i$  into a Riemann surface. Observe that  $\widehat{W}_i \setminus \overline{D}_1^i$  has the integers as its fundamental group and it is conformal to the punctured disc, due to the assumption that this is so for the structure  $(\Omega_1^i, L)$ . Given  $g$  as in (2) in the theorem, we may now reason as in case (1) to solve the Rudin-Carleson problem in  $\widehat{W}_i$  which also solves the same problem in  $W_i$  by composition with the quotient map  $W_i \rightarrow \widehat{W}_i$ . The solutions on the  $W_i$  can then be glued together to lead to a solution on  $D$  and hence (2) holds. Conversely if (2) in the theorem holds, the arguments used in case (1) show that (1) has to hold.

#### 4. SOME EXAMPLES

The motivation for Examples 1 and 2 below comes from [BM].

**Example 1.** Consider a one-form  $\omega$  expressed in polar coordinates as

$$\omega = e^{i\theta} (dr + ir(1 - 4r^2)h(r^2)d\theta)$$

where  $h(t)$  is real analytic on  $\mathbb{R}$ , and  $h(0) = 1$ . We can express  $\omega$  as

$$\omega = A(z, \bar{z})dz + B(z, \bar{z})d\bar{z}$$

where

$$2A(z, \bar{z}) = 1 + (1 - 4r^2)h(r^2) \text{ and } B(z, \bar{z}) = e^{2i\theta} (1 - (1 - 4r^2)h(r^2)).$$

The condition that  $h(0) = 1$  ensures that  $\omega$  is a real analytic form in the plane. Let  $L$  be a real analytic, non vanishing vector field in the plane such that  $\langle \omega, L \rangle = 0$ . Observe that the only one-dimensional orbit of  $L$  is given by

$$\gamma = \left\{ (r, \theta) : r = \frac{1}{2} \right\}.$$

It is easy to see that  $L$  is elliptic away from  $\gamma$  and hence  $L$  is locally solvable everywhere in the plane. Let  $\frac{-1}{2h(\frac{1}{4})} = a + ib$ . By separation of variables, in the region  $\Omega = \{z : \frac{1}{2} < |z| < 1\}$ , one gets a solution of  $L$  of the form

$$Z(r, \theta) = \left( r - \frac{1}{2} \right)^{a+ib} e^{E(r)+i\theta},$$

where  $E(r)$  is a real analytic function. If  $h$  is chosen so that  $a > 0$ , then the structure induced by  $L$  on  $\Omega$  is conformal to the standard one on a punctured disc as can be seen by using the injective solution  $Z(r, \theta)$ . By Theorem 2.1, such an  $L$  will have the Rudin-Carleson property. On the other hand, if  $h$  is chosen so that  $a = 0$ , then  $L$  will not have the Rudin-Carleson property.

**Example 2.** Example 1 can be modified to get a locally solvable, real analytic vector field with several orbits that are concentric circles (see [BM]). We will now give an example with two compact orbits which are not contained in each other.

Set

$$\begin{aligned} X &= (3 - x^2)(x^2 - 1) \frac{\partial}{\partial x} - 2x(x^2 - 2)y \frac{\partial}{\partial y}, \\ Y &= -x(x^2 - 2)y \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + 2x^2(1 - y^2) \frac{\partial}{\partial y}, \quad (x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2. \end{aligned}$$

The vector field  $X$  has four critical points,  $(\pm 1, 0)$ ,  $(\pm\sqrt{3}, 0)$  on which  $Y$  does not vanish, so the complex vector field  $L = X + iY$  has no zeros. We have

$$\begin{aligned} X \wedge Y &= 2(3 - x^2)(x^2 - 1)x^2(1 - y^2) - 2x^2(x^2 - 2)^2y^2 \\ &= 2x^2(1 - y^2 - (2 - x^2)^2) \partial_x \wedge \partial_y \end{aligned}$$

which means that  $X$  and  $Y$  are linearly dependent if and only if

$$x = 0 \quad \text{or} \quad (x^2 - 2)^2 + y^2 = 1.$$

Thus the analytic set  $X \wedge Y = 0$  has 3 connected components that are analytic curves, two Jordan curves  $\mathcal{C}_1$  and  $\mathcal{C}_2$  (each one of them is the mirror image of the other with respect to the  $y$ -axis) plus the  $y$ -axis. Call  $\Omega_j$  the interior domain bounded by  $\mathcal{C}_j$ ,  $j = 1, 2$ . Notice that  $X$  and  $Y$  are tangent to  $\mathcal{C}_1$  and  $\mathcal{C}_2$  and since  $L$  never vanishes,  $\mathcal{C}_1$  and  $\mathcal{C}_2$  are orbits of  $L$ . For instance, to check that  $X$  is tangent to  $\mathcal{C}_j$  observe that the gradient of  $(x^2 - 2)^2 + y^2$  is proportional to  $Z = (x^2 - 2)2x\partial_x + y\partial_y$  while

$$\begin{aligned} X \cdot Z &= (3 - x^2)(x^2 - 1)(x^2 - 2)2x - 2x(x^2 - 2)y^2 \\ &= -2x(x^2 - 2)(-4x^2 + 3 + y^2 + x^4) \\ &= -2x(x^2 - 2)((x^2 - 2)^2 + y^2 - 1) \end{aligned}$$

and the last factor of the right hand side vanishes on  $\mathcal{C}_j$ ,  $j = 1, 2$ . Since  $X$  and  $Y$  are linearly independent on  $\Omega_1$  and  $\Omega_2$ , both are 2-orbits. Set  $\Omega_3 = \mathbb{R} \setminus (\bar{\Omega}_1 \cup \bar{\Omega}_2)$ . Then  $X$  and  $Y$  are linearly independent on  $\Omega_3^+ \doteq \Omega_3 \cap \{x > 0\}$  and  $\Omega_3^- \doteq \Omega_3 \cap \{x < 0\}$  and since  $X$  is transversal to the  $y$ -axis it is easy to see that  $\Omega_3$  is an orbit. Thus,  $X \wedge Y$  vanishes neither on  $\Omega_1$  nor on  $\Omega_2$  and vanishes but does not change sign on  $\Omega_3$ , showing that  $L$  satisfies condition  $(\mathcal{P})$ .

**Example 3.** We will now describe a method to produce locally solvable vector fields  $L$  with a large number of closed 1-dimensional orbits. Suppose we are given a locally solvable vector field  $L_0$  defined on  $\mathbb{R}^2$  with closed 1-dimensional orbits  $C_j$  bounding disjoint 2-dimensional orbits  $\Omega_j$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, k$  on which  $L_0$  is elliptic. Assume that these orbits are contained on the half plane  $x > 0$  and no noncompact 1-dimensional orbit intersects  $x > 0$  (what happens for  $x < 0$  is irrelevant). We will also assume that  $L_0$  is elliptic at any point of the  $y$ -axis, that  $X_0$  is transversal to the  $y$ -axis and that  $\{x > 0\} \setminus \bigcup_{j=1}^k \overline{\Omega}_j$  is a 2-dimensional orbit of  $L_0$  on  $\{x > 0\}$ . We may write  $L_0 = X_0 + iY_0$  where

$$\begin{aligned} X_0 &= a(x, y) \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + b(x, y) \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \\ Y_0 &= c(x, y) \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + d(x, y) \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \end{aligned}$$

are real vector fields. Mimicking the way one obtains the Mizohata vector field out of the Cauchy-Riemann vector field, consider the 2-fold transformation  $\Phi(x, y) = (x^2, y)$ . This leads us to define new vector fields

$$\begin{aligned} X_1 &= a(x^2, y) \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + 2xb(x^2, y) \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \\ Y_1 &= c(x^2, y) \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + 2xd(x^2, y) \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \end{aligned}$$

Restriction of  $\Phi$  to the half-planes  $x > 0$  and  $x < 0$  is a diffeomorphism that pulls back  $(X_0 + iY_0)|_{\{x > 0\}}$  to a multiple of  $L_1 = X_1 + iY_1$ . Then each one of the  $k$  1-orbits of  $L_0$  contained in  $x > 0$  is mapped by  $\Phi^{-1}$  into a couple of 1-orbits of  $L_1$ , generating  $2k$  1-orbits for  $L_1$ . Furthermore, the restriction of  $L_1$  to either  $x > 0$  or  $x < 0$ , satisfies  $(\mathcal{P})$ . By the hypothesis made on  $L_0$ ,  $X_0 \wedge Y_0$  is, say, positive for  $x = 0$  and this implies —writing  $X_1 \wedge Y_1 = \beta(x, y) \partial_x \wedge \partial_y$ — that  $\beta(x, y) = x\gamma(x, y)$  with  $\gamma(0, y) > 0$  and since  $\beta$  does not change sign on the complement of the closure of the bounded 2-orbits, it follows that  $\gamma > 0$  everywhere on the complement of the closure of the bounded 2-orbits. Hence  $\beta(x, y)$  will change sign across the  $y$ -axis which is contained in a 2-orbit. We now consider the vector field

$$L_2 = X_1 + ixY_1 \doteq X_1 + iY_2.$$

Since  $Y_2$  is a nonvanishing multiple of  $Y_1$  for  $x \neq 0$ , the 1-orbits of  $L_2$  and  $L_1$  are the same, in particular  $L_2$  has exactly  $2k$  closed 1-orbits. On the other hand,  $X_1 \wedge Y_2 = x^2\gamma(x, y) \partial_x \wedge \partial_y$  will not change sign on any 2-orbit, because  $\gamma$  does not change sign on any 2-orbit.

For instance, by a translation to the right of the vector field  $X + iY$  defined in Example 2, we may obtain an  $L_0$  satisfying the required hypothesis with  $k = 2$  and duplicate the number of closed 1-orbits to 4. This process can be continued.

**Example 4.** We will next describe a locally solvable, real analytic vector field with one compact and one noncompact orbit. Let  $\rho_1(x, y) = x^2 + y^2 - 1$  and  $\rho_2(x, y) = x - 3$ . Define the real vector fields  $X$  and  $Y$  by

$$X = 4(1 - x^2)\rho_2(x, y)^2 \frac{\partial}{\partial x} - 2y\rho_2(x, y)(2x\rho_2(x, y) + \rho_1(x, y)) \frac{\partial}{\partial y}$$

and

$$Y = -2y\rho_2(x, y)(2x\rho_2(x, y) + \rho_1(x, y)) \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + (2x\rho_2(x, y) + \rho_1(x, y))^2 \frac{\partial}{\partial y}.$$

Let  $L = X + iY$ . If  $\rho_2(a, b) = 0$ , then  $Y(a, b) = \rho_1(a, b)^2 \frac{\partial}{\partial y} = (8 + b^2) \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \neq 0$ . Suppose  $\rho_2(a, b) \neq 0$ . Then if  $X(a, b) = 0$ , either  $|a| = 1$  and  $b = 0$  or  $|a| = 1$  and  $2a\rho_2(a, b) + \rho_1(a, b) = 0$ . Suppose first  $|a| = 1$  and  $b = 0$ . Then

$$Y(a, b) = (2a\rho_2(a, b) + \rho_1(a, b))^2 \frac{\partial}{\partial y} = (2 - 6a)^2 \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \neq 0.$$

On the other hand if  $|a| = 1$  and  $2a\rho_2(a, b) + \rho_1(a, b) = 0$ , then

$$0 = 2a\rho_2(a, b) + \rho_1(a, b) = 2 - 6a + b^2$$

and the latter equals zero when  $|a| = 1$  only if  $(a, b) = (1, -2)$  or  $(a, b) = (1, 2)$ . Thus we see that the vector field  $L$  is nonzero away from these two points. We have:  $X(\rho_1) = 0 = Y(\rho_1)$  on the set where  $\rho_1 = 0$  and  $X(\rho_2) = 0 = Y(\rho_2)$  on the set where  $\rho_2 = 0$ . It follows that the circle  $\gamma_1 = \{(x, y) : \rho_1(x, y) = 0\}$  and the line  $\gamma_2 = \{(x, y) : \rho_2(x, y) = 0\}$  are one-dimensional orbits of  $L = X + iY$ . Let  $\Omega$  be a bounded, simply connected region containing  $\{(x, y) : x^2 + y^2 \leq 1\} \cup \{(3, y) : -3 \leq y \leq 3\}$  and such that  $\Omega \cap \gamma_2$  is connected. We choose  $\Omega$  so that the two points  $(1, -2)$  and  $(1, 2)$  are not in  $\Omega$ . We have

$$X \wedge Y = 4\rho_2(x, y)^2 (2x\rho_2(x, y) + \rho_1(x, y))^2 (1 - x^2 - y^2) \partial_x \wedge \partial_y.$$

Observe that the set  $\sigma = \{(x, y) : 2x\rho_2(x, y) + \rho_1(x, y) = 0\}$  is a circle which intersects  $\gamma_1$  at two points and is disjoint from  $\gamma_2$ . Since

$$X(2x\rho_2 + \rho_1) = 24(1 - x^2)\rho_2^2(x - 1) - 4y^2\rho_2(2x\rho_2 + \rho_1),$$

we see that  $X$  is transversal to  $\sigma$  except at the points  $(1, 2)$ ,  $(1, -2)$  which are not in  $\Omega$ . It follows that in  $\Omega$ , the vector field  $L$  has 2 one-dimensional orbits, namely,  $\gamma_1$  and  $\gamma_2 \cap \Omega$ , and three two-dimensional orbits:  $\{(x, y) : x^2 + y^2 < 1\}$ ,  $\{(x, y) \in \Omega : x^2 + y^2 > 1, x < 3\}$ , and  $\{(x, y) \in \Omega : x^2 + y^2 > 1, x > 3\}$ . Observe also that  $L$  is real analytic and locally solvable in  $\Omega$ .

5. A LOCAL VERSION OF THE RUDIN-CARLESON PROPERTY

The next result characterizes those locally integrable, smooth vector fields which satisfy a local version of the Rudin-Carleson theorem.

**Theorem 5.1.** *Let  $L$  be a smooth vector field satisfying condition  $(\mathcal{P})$  in an open set  $D$ . For each point  $p \in D$  there is a neighborhood  $U_p$  such that if  $Q \subset U_p$  is a rectangle,  $E \subset \partial Q$  is a closed set with Lebesgue measure zero and  $g \in C(\partial Q)$  is constant on the fibers of a first integral  $Z$ , then there is  $h \in C(\overline{Q})$  satisfying:*

$$Lh = 0 \text{ in } Q, \quad h(z) = g(z) \quad \forall z \in E \text{ and } \sup |h| \leq 2 \sup |g|.$$

*Conversely, given a locally integrable smooth vector field  $L$  on  $D$ , if there is a neighborhood  $W_p$  of each point  $p \in D$  such that for every rectangle  $Q \subset W_p$ , and every closed set  $E \subset \partial Q$  of Lebesgue measure zero and  $g \in C(\partial Q)$  constant on the fibers of a first integral  $Z$ , there is  $h \in C(\overline{Q})$  satisfying:  $Lh = 0$  in  $Q$ ,  $h(z) = g(z) \quad \forall z \in E$ , then  $L$  satisfies condition  $(\mathcal{P})$  in  $D$ .*

*Proof.* Suppose  $L$  is a smooth vector field satisfying condition  $(\mathcal{P})$ . Then it is well known that it is locally integrable (see Theorem 3.2 in [T]). We may assume that in a rectangle  $Q = (-A, A) \times (0, T)$ ,  $L = \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + a(x, t) \frac{\partial}{\partial x}$  and  $Z(x, t) = x + i\varphi(x, t)$  is a first integral of  $L$ , with  $\varphi$  real-valued. The local solvability of  $L$  implies that for each  $x \in (-A, A)$ ,

$$\text{the function } t \mapsto \varphi(x, t) \text{ is monotonic on } (0, T).$$

Suppose the set  $E$  and the function  $g \in C(\partial Q)$  are as in the theorem. Let  $\Omega$  be the union of the two-dimensional orbits of  $L$  in  $Q$ . We can write

$$\Omega = \bigcup_{j=1}^N (a_j, b_j) \times (0, T)$$

where  $N \leq \infty$  and the union is a disjoint union. For each  $j$ , let  $Q_j = (a_j, b_j) \times (0, T)$ . For each  $j$ , set  $E_j = ([a_j, b_j] \times \{0, T\} \cap E) \cup (\{a_j, b_j\} \times \{0, T\})$ . Since  $L$  satisfies condition  $(\mathcal{P})$ , the set  $Z(\overline{Q_j})$  is a simply connected set whose boundary is a rectifiable, simple closed curve. In particular, by a version of the Riemann mapping theorem, the classical Rudin-Carleson theorem applies to  $Z(\overline{Q_j})$ . Fix  $j$ . We can find points  $p, q \in [a_j, b_j] \times \{0, T\}$  such that the oscillation of  $g$  on the set  $[a_j, b_j] \times \{0, T\}$ ,

$$\text{osc}_{[a_j, b_j] \times \{0, T\}}(g) = |g(p) - g(q)|.$$

Let  $G_j$  be continuous on  $\overline{Z(Q_j)}$ , holomorphic on the interior  $Z(Q_j)$  such that  $G_j(Z(x, t)) = g(x, t) - g(p)$  for  $(x, t) \in E_j$  and

$$\sup_{Z(Q_j)} |G_j| \leq \sup_{[a_j, b_j] \times \{0, T\}} |g - g(p)|.$$

This is possible by the Rudin-Carleson theorem since  $g$  is constant on the fibers of  $Z$ . Observe that

$$\text{osc}_{Z(Q_j)} G_j \leq 2 \text{osc}_{[a_j, b_j] \times \{0, T\}}(g).$$

Define  $F_j(z) = G_j(z) + g(p)$ . Then  $F_j$  is continuous on  $\overline{Z(Q_j)}$ , holomorphic on the interior  $Z(Q_j)$ ,  $F_j(Z(x, t)) = g(x, t)$  for  $(x, t) \in E_j$  and

$$\text{osc}_{Z(Q_j)} F_j \leq 2 \text{osc}_{[a_j, b_j] \times \{0, T\}}(g).$$

Define now

$$h(x, t) = \begin{cases} F_k(Z(x, t)), & \text{if } (x, t) \in \overline{Q_k} \\ g(x, 0), & \text{if } (x, t) \notin \cup_i [a_i, b_i] \times [0, T]. \end{cases}$$

Observe that  $h(x, t) = g(x, t)$  for  $(x, t) \in E$ . We will show next that  $h$  is continuous on  $\overline{Q}$ . Clearly  $h$  is continuous on  $\Omega$ . Suppose  $(x_0, t_0) \in \overline{Q}$  and  $x_0 \notin \cup_i (a_i, b_i)$ . Let  $(x_k, t_k) \rightarrow (x_0, t_0)$ . Suppose  $(x_{k_i}, t_{k_i})$  is any subsequence. If there is an infinite subset  $(y_m, t_m)$  of this subsequence which is disjoint from  $\Omega$ , then  $h(y_m, t_m) = g(y_m, 0)$  and so by the continuity of  $g$ ,  $h(y_m, t_m) \rightarrow g(x_0, 0) = h(x_0, t_0)$ . If there is no such subsequence, without loss of generality, we may assume that for each  $k_i$ , there is  $k'_i$  such that  $x_{k_i} \in [a_{k'_i}, b_{k'_i}]$ . Assume first that  $x_0 \notin \cup_{j=1}^N \{a_j, b_j\}$ . Then  $h(x_{k_i}, t_{k_i}) = F_{k'_i}(Z(x_{k_i}, t_{k_i}))$  and

$$\begin{aligned} |h(x_{k_i}, t_{k_i}) - h(a_{k'_i}, 0)| &= |F_{k'_i}(Z(x_{k_i}, t_{k_i})) - F_{k'_i}(Z(a_{k'_i}, 0))| \\ &\leq 2 \text{osc}_{[a_{k'_i}, b_{k'_i}] \times \{0, T\}}(g). \end{aligned}$$

Observe that  $|a_{k'_i} - b_{k'_i}| \rightarrow 0$  because we are assuming that  $x_0 \notin \cup_{j=1}^N [a_j, b_j]$ . Since  $g(a_{k'_i}, 0) = g(a_{k'_i}, T)$ ,  $g(b_{k'_i}, 0) = g(b_{k'_i}, T)$ , and  $|a_{k'_i} - b_{k'_i}| \rightarrow 0$ , the oscillation  $\text{osc}_{[a_{k'_i}, b_{k'_i}] \times \{0, T\}}(g)$  goes to zero as  $k_i \rightarrow \infty$ , and hence since

$$h(a_{k'_i}, 0) = g(a_{k'_i}, 0) \rightarrow g(x_0, 0),$$

it follows that  $h(x_{k_i}, t_{k_i}) \rightarrow h(x_0, 0) = h(x_0, t_0)$ . We have shown that if  $x_0 \notin \cup_{j=1}^N \{a_j, b_j\}$ , and  $(x_k, t_k) \rightarrow (x_0, t_0)$ , then every subsequence of  $h(x_k, t_k)$  has a further subsequence that converges to  $h(x_0, t_0)$ . It follows that  $h$  is continuous at  $(x_0, t_0)$  whenever  $x_0 \notin \cup_{j=1}^N \{a_j, b_j\}$ . Suppose now  $x_0 \in \cup_{j=1}^N \{a_j, b_j\}$ . Without loss of generality, assume  $x_0 = a_i$  for some  $i$ . Then clearly  $h$  is continuous from the right (in  $x$ ) at  $(x_0, t_0)$ . If  $(x_j, t_j) \rightarrow (x_0, t_0)$  with each  $x_j < x_0$ , we can consider subsequences of  $h(x_j, t_j)$  as before to conclude that  $h(x_j, t_j) \rightarrow h(x_0, t_0)$ . We have thus shown that  $h$  is continuous on  $\overline{Q}$ .

We will next show that  $Lh = 0$  in  $Q$ . Let  $\psi(x, t) \in C_0^\infty(Q)$ . Fix a two dimensional orbit  $Q_j = (a_j, b_j) \times (0, T)$ . For each sufficiently small



$\epsilon > 0$ , let  $\psi_\epsilon(x) \in C_0^\infty(a_j, b_j)$  such that  $\psi_\epsilon(x) \equiv 1$  on  $(a_j + \epsilon, b_j - \epsilon)$  and for some constant  $C$  independent of  $\epsilon$ ,  $|\psi'_\epsilon(x)| \leq C\epsilon^{-1}$ . From the definition of  $h$ , it is clear that  $Lh = 0$  in  $Q_j$ . We therefore have

$$\begin{aligned}
 (5.1) \quad 0 &= \int_{Q_j} hL^t(\psi_\epsilon(x)\psi(x, t)) \, dxdt \quad (\text{since } \psi_\epsilon(x)\psi(x, t) \in C_0^\infty(Q_j)) \\
 &= \int_{Q_j} h(x, t)\psi_\epsilon(x)L^t\psi(x, t) \, dxdt \\
 &\quad - \int_{Q_j} h(x, t)a(x, t)\psi'_\epsilon(x)\psi(x, t) \, dxdt.
 \end{aligned}$$

Clearly, as  $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ ,

$$(5.2) \quad \int_{Q_j} h(x, t)\psi_\epsilon(x)L^t\psi(x, t) \, dxdt \rightarrow \int_{Q_j} h(x, t)L^t\psi(x, t) \, dxdt.$$

The function  $\psi'_\epsilon(x)$  is supported on a set of measure at most  $2\epsilon$  and on the support of this function,  $a(x, t) = O(\epsilon)$ . Since  $\psi'_\epsilon(x) = O(\epsilon^{-1})$ , it follows that when  $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ ,

$$(5.3) \quad \int_{Q_j} h(x, t)a(x, t)\psi'_\epsilon(x)\psi(x, t) \, dxdt \rightarrow 0.$$

From (5.1)-(5.3), we conclude that

$$\int_{Q_j} hL^t\psi(x, t) \, dxdt = 0$$

and hence

$$(5.4) \quad \int_{\Omega} hL^t\psi(x, t) \, dxdt = 0$$

where by definition,  $\Omega$  was the union of the two-dimensional orbits of  $L$  in  $Q$ . Recall that  $L = \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + a(x, t)\frac{\partial}{\partial x}$ . Let

$$\mathcal{N} = \{x \in (-A, A) : a(x, t) \equiv 0, 0 \leq t \leq T\}$$

and set

$$\tilde{\mathcal{N}} = \{x \in \mathcal{N} : \frac{\partial a}{\partial x}(x, t) \equiv 0, 0 \leq t \leq T\}.$$

The implicit function theorem implies that the set  $\mathcal{N} \setminus \tilde{\mathcal{N}}$  is a countable set. Therefore, using this and (5.4), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_Q h L^t \psi(x, t) \, dx dt &= \int_0^T \int_{\mathcal{N}} h(x, t) L^t \psi(x, t) \, dx dt \\
&= \int_0^T \int_{\tilde{\mathcal{N}}} h(x, t) L^t \psi(x, t) \, dx dt \\
&= \int_{\tilde{\mathcal{N}}} \int_0^T h(x, t) L^t \psi(x, t) \, dt dx \\
&= - \int_{\tilde{\mathcal{N}}} h(x, 0) \left( \int_0^T \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial t}(x, t) \, dt \right) dx \\
&\quad (\text{since } h(x, t) \equiv h(x, 0) \text{ for } x \in \mathcal{N}) \\
&= 0.
\end{aligned}$$

It follows that  $Lh = 0$  in  $Q$ .

Conversely, suppose the locally integrable vector field  $L$  satisfies the Rudin-Carleson property for every smooth subdomain of a neighborhood of the origin. Let  $Z(x, t) = x + i\varphi(x, t)$  be a first integral of  $L$  near the origin such that

$$(5.5) \quad \left| \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial x}(x, t) \right| \leq \frac{1}{2}.$$

Assume  $L$  does not satisfy property  $(\mathcal{P})$ . Then we may assume that for some  $A, T > 0$ ,  $x_0 \in (-A, A)$  and  $0 < t_0 < T$ ,

$$(5.6) \quad \varphi(x_0, 0) < \varphi(x_0, t_0), \quad \text{and} \quad \varphi(x_0, t_0) > \varphi(x_0, T).$$

By changing  $t_0$  if necessary, and choosing  $T$  close enough to  $t_0$ , we may also assume that:

$$(5.7) \quad \varphi(x_0, t) \leq \varphi(x_0, t_0) \quad \forall t \in [0, T] \quad \text{and} \quad \varphi(x_0, 0) < \varphi(x_0, T) < \varphi(x_0, t_0).$$

Let  $\delta > 0$  such that

$$(5.8) \quad \varphi(x, 0) < \varphi(x, T) < \varphi(x, t_0) \quad \text{whenever } |x - x_0| \leq \delta.$$

We will reason in the rectangle  $Q = [x_0 - \delta, x_0 + \delta] \times [0, T]$ . Let  $x_k \in [x_0 - \delta, x_0 + \delta]$  be a sequence converging to  $x_0$ . Let  $E \subset \partial Q$  be a closed set with measure zero containing the sequence  $\{(x_k, T)\}$ . Choose  $g \in C(E)$  such that

$$(5.9) \quad g(x_k, T) = 0 \quad \forall k \quad \text{and} \quad g(p) \neq 0 \text{ for some } p \in E \cap \{(x, T) : |x - x_0| < \delta\}.$$

Suppose now  $h(x, t) \in C(Q)$ ,  $Lh = 0$  in the interior of  $Q$ , and  $h = g$  on the set  $E$ . Estimate (5.5) allows us to use the Baouendi-Treves approximation theorem ([BT], [BCH, p.53]) to produce a sequence of entire functions  $H_k$  such that  $H_k(Z(x, t)) \rightarrow h(x, t)$  uniformly on  $Q$ . In particular, by (5.8), there is a connected open neighborhood  $V$  of the set  $\{Z(x, T) : |x - x_0| \leq \delta\}$  on which the sequence  $H_k(z)$  will converge to a holomorphic function  $H$ . Since  $H(Z(x_k, T)) = 0$  for every  $k$  and  $Z(x_k, T) \rightarrow Z(x_0, T) \in V$ , we must have  $H \equiv 0$  on  $V$ . But this contradicts the assumption that  $h(p) = g(p) \neq 0$ . Therefore,  $L$  does not have the Rudin-Carleson property on  $Q$ .

## 6. A LINK WITH THE F. AND M. RIESZ THEOREM

In [B] Bishop proved an abstract theorem which permits a generalization of the Rudin-Carleson theorem to some situations where a version of the F. and M. Riesz theorem is valid. Bishop's theorem has been a key tool in the study of peak-interpolation sets for  $A(\Omega)$  where  $\Omega$  is a bounded domain in  $\mathbb{C}^n$  (typically strictly pseudoconvex) and  $A(\Omega)$  is the algebra of holomorphic functions on  $\Omega$  that are continuous up to the boundary (see [Bh], [R2], [Na] and the references therein). We state here a strengthened version from [G] of the theorem proved in [B]:

**Theorem 6.1.** *(Theorem 12.5 in [G]) Let  $C(X)$  be the uniformly-normed Banach space of all continuous complex-valued functions on a compact Hausdorff space  $X$ . Let  $B$  be a closed subspace of  $C(X)$ . Let  $B^\perp$  consist of all (finite, complex-valued, Baire) measures  $\mu$  on  $X$  such that  $\int f d\mu = 0$  for all  $f$  in  $B$ . Let  $\hat{\mu}$  be the regular Borel extension of the Baire measure  $\mu$ . Let  $S$  be a closed subset of  $X$  with the property that  $\hat{\mu}(T) = 0$  for every Borel subset  $T$  of  $S$  and every  $\mu$  in  $B^\perp$ . Let  $f$  be a continuous complex-valued function on  $S$  and  $\Delta$  a positive continuous function on  $X$  such that  $|f(x)| \leq \Delta(x)$  for all  $x$  in  $S$ . Then there exists  $F$  in  $B$  with  $|F(x)| \leq \Delta(x)$  for all  $x$  in  $X$  and  $F(x) = f(x)$  for all  $x$  in  $S$ .*

If  $X = \mathbb{T}$  equals the unit circle and  $B$  denotes the space of continuous functions on  $\mathbb{T}$  which are restrictions of functions holomorphic on the unit disc  $D$  and continuous on the closure  $\bar{D}$ , then a measure  $\mu$  on  $\mathbb{T}$  is in  $B^\perp$  if and only if it is the boundary value of a holomorphic function on  $D$ . By the F. and M. Riesz theorem, it follows that any  $\mu \in B^\perp$  is absolutely continuous with respect to Lebesgue measure and so the preceding theorem implies the Rudin-Carleson theorem. The classical F. and M. Riesz theorem was generalized for solutions of locally integrable vector fields in the paper [BH2]. However, unlike the holomorphic case, there are two reasons why we cannot use the F. and M. Riesz property of a vector field together with Theorem 6.1 to deduce the Rudin-Carleson property. Given a vector field  $L$  in a neighborhood

of  $\bar{D}$ , let  $\mathcal{A}$  denote the subspace of  $C(\partial D)$  which are restrictions of functions  $u \in C(\bar{D})$  that satisfy  $Lu = 0$  in  $D$ . In general,  $\mathcal{A}$  is not a closed subspace of  $C(\partial D)$ . Moreover, if  $\mu \in \mathcal{A}^\perp$ , it may not be the boundary value of a solution of  $L$  in  $D$ . For example, if  $M = \frac{\partial}{\partial y} + iy \frac{\partial}{\partial x}$  is the Mizohata vector field and  $\mu$  is a measure on  $\mathbb{T}$  which is of the form  $\mu = \delta_{(0,1)} - \delta_{(0,-1)}$  where  $\delta_p$  denotes the Dirac mass at  $p$ , then  $\int_{\mathbb{T}} h d\mu = 0$  for every  $h \in C(\bar{D})$  that satisfies  $Mh = 0$  on  $D$ . Such a measure cannot be the boundary value of a solution of  $M$ . Thus for a general vector field, a measure that is orthogonal to the boundary values of continuous solutions may not be a boundary value of a solution and in fact, it may not be absolutely continuous with respect to Lebesgue measure. If a vector field  $L$  satisfies the hypotheses of Theorem 2.1, we have the following:

**Corollary 6.2.** *Suppose  $L$  is a vector field as in Theorem 2.1 defined on a neighborhood  $U$  of  $\bar{D}$  and satisfying the equivalent conditions (1), (2) in the theorem. Let  $\mathcal{A}$  denote the algebra of continuous functions  $h$  on  $\bar{D}$  satisfying the equation  $Lh = 0$  in  $D$ . Let  $\mu$  be a complex Baire measure defined on  $\partial D$  with the property that*

$$\int_{\partial D} h d\mu = 0$$

for every  $h \in \mathcal{A}$ . If a closed set  $E \subseteq \partial D$  has Lebesgue measure zero and it is disjoint from the one-dimensional orbits of  $L$  in  $U$ , then  $\mu(E) = 0$ .

*Proof.* Let  $F$  be a closed subset of  $E$ . Let  $P$  be a positive continuous function on  $\partial D$  such that:

- (1)  $P \equiv 1$  on  $F$ .
- (2) For any  $y \notin F$ ,  $P(y) < 1$ .

An application of Theorem 6.1 in the proof of Theorem 2.1 shows that there is  $h \in \mathcal{A}$  that equals 1 on  $F$  and satisfies  $|h(p)| < 1$  for  $p \notin F$ . By hypothesis, for each positive integer  $n$ , we have  $\int h^n d\mu = 0$ . Letting  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , we are led to conclude that  $\mu(F) = 0$ . By the regularity of the measure  $\mu$ , it follows that  $\mu(E) = 0$ .

Let  $L = \frac{\partial}{\partial y} + ix \frac{\partial}{\partial x}$ . This vector field is locally solvable and the  $y$ -axis is a one-dimensional orbit. Therefore, if  $u \in C(\bar{D})$  satisfies  $Lu = 0$  in  $D$ , then it is constant on the  $y$ -axis. It follows that if  $\mu = \delta_{(0,1)} - \delta_{(0,-1)}$ , then  $\int_{\partial D} u d\mu = 0$  for all such solutions. Note that  $L$  satisfies the hypotheses of Theorem 2.1 since it has no compact orbits. This example shows that in Corollary 6.2, the set  $E$  has to be disjoint from the one-dimensional orbits.

## REFERENCES

- [BT] M. S. Baouendi and F. Trèves, *A property of the functions and distributions annihilated by a locally integrable system of complex vector fields*, Ann. of Math. **113** (1981), 387–421.

- [BCH] S. Berhanu, J. Hounie, and P. Cordaro, *An introduction to involutive structures*, Cambridge University Press, 2008.
- [BH1] S. Berhanu and J. Hounie, *A Rudin-Carleson theorem for planar vector fields, preprint* (2007).
- [BH2] S. Berhanu and J. Hounie, *An F. and M. Riesz theorem for planar vector fields*, Math. Ann. **320** (2001), 463–485.
- [BM] S. Berhanu and A. Meziani, *Global properties of a class of planar vector fields of infinite type*, Commun. in Partial Differential Equations **22** (1997), 99–142.
- [Bh] G. Bharali, *On peak-interpolation manifolds for  $A(\Omega)$  for convex domains in  $\mathbb{C}^n$* , Transactions of the AMS **356** (2004), 4811–4827.
- [B] E. Bishop, *A general Rudin-Carleson theorem*, Proceedings of the AMS **13** (1962), 140–143.
- [C] L. Carleson, *Representations of continuous functions*, Math. Z. **66** (1957), 447–451.
- [D] R. Doss, *Elementary proof of the Rudin-Carleson and the F. and M. Riesz theorems*, Proceedings of the AMS **82** (1981), 599–602.
- [FK] H. Farkas and I. Kra, *Riemann Surfaces*, —2nd ed., Springer Verlag, 1991.
- [G] T. Gamelin, *Uniform algebras (second edition)*, Chelsea Pub. Comp., 1984.
- [Na] A. Nagel, *Smooth zero sets and interpolation sets for some algebras of holomorphic functions on strictly pseudoconvex domains*, Duke Math. J. **43** (1976), 323–348.
- [NT] L. Nirenberg and F. Trèves, *Solvability of a first order linear partial differential equation*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **16** (1963), 331–351.
- [O] D. Oberlin, *A Rudin-Carleson theorem for uniformly convergent Taylor series*, Michigan Math. J. **27** (1980), 309–313.
- [R] W. Rudin, *Boundary values of continuous analytic functions*, Proceedings of the AMS **7** (1956), 808–811.
- [R2] W. Rudin, *Peak-interpolation sets of class  $C^1$* , Pacific J. Math. **75** (1978), 267–279.
- [S] H. J. Sussmann, *Orbits of families of vector fields and integrability of distributions*, Transactions of the AMS **180** (1973), 171–188.
- [T] F. Trèves, *Approximation and representation of functions and distributions annihilated by a system of complex vector fields*, Center Math. Ecole Polytechnique, Palaiseau, France (1981).

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, TEMPLE UNIVERSITY, PHILADELPHIA, PA  
19122-6094, USA

*E-mail address:* berhanu@temple.edu

DEPARTAMENTO DE MATEMÁTICA, UFSCAR, 13.565-905, SÃO CARLOS, SP,  
BRASIL

*E-mail address:* hounie@dm.ufscar.br