

# THE MILNOR NUMBER OF A FUNCTION ON A SPACE CURVE GERM

J.J. NUÑO-BALLESTEROS, J.N. TOMAZELLA

ABSTRACT. Given a finite function germ  $f : (X, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}, 0)$  on a reduced space curve singularity  $(X, 0)$ , we show that  $\mu(f) = \mu(X, 0) + \deg(f) - 1$ . Here,  $\mu(f)$  and  $\mu(X, 0)$  denote the Milnor numbers of the function and the curve respectively and  $\deg(f)$  is the degree of  $f$ . We use this formula to obtain several consequences related to the topological triviality and Whitney equisingularity of families of curves and families of functions on curves.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Let  $(X, 0) \subset (\mathbb{C}^n, 0)$  be a germ of reduced space curve and let  $f : (X, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}, 0)$  be a finite function germ on it. The Milnor number  $\mu(f)$  has been introduced in [7] for curves in  $\mathbb{C}^3$  and later in [13] for the general case. It is an invariant with the following two properties:

- (1) it is preserved under simultaneous deformation of  $f$  and  $(X, 0)$ ;
- (2) if  $(X, 0)$  is smooth, it coincides with the usual Milnor number.

In fact, if  $(X, 0)$  is smoothable, these two conditions determine in a unique way the Milnor number  $\mu(f)$ , although we remark that there are curve singularities which are not smoothable [15]. It is shown in [13] that under some conditions,  $\mu(f) = \tau(f)$ , where  $\tau(f)$  is the Tjurina number of  $f$  (i.e., the dimension of the base of a semi-universal deformation). This gives a positive answer to a conjecture stated in [7].

On the other hand, it has been observed by the first author and Jorge-Pérez in [8] that if  $(X, 0)$  is smoothable, then

$$(1) \quad \mu(f) = \mu(X, 0) + \deg(f) - 1,$$

where  $\mu(X, 0)$  is the Milnor number of the curve itself as defined by Buchweitz and Greuel in [3] and  $\deg(f)$  is the degree of  $f$  (that is, it is the number of preimages  $f^{-1}(z)$  of a generic value  $z \in \mathbb{C}$  near 0). The proof follows by taking a deformation  $f_t : X_t \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ , with  $X_t$  smooth, applying the Riemann-Hurwitz formula, and using the fact that  $\mu(X, 0) = 1 - \chi(X_t)$ , where  $\chi(X_t)$  is the Euler characteristic of  $X_t$ . Of course, this is a topological argument and in order to apply it, we only need the two above conditions on  $\mu(f)$ .

We also remark that if  $(X, 0)$  is a 1-dimensional isolated complete intersection singularity (ICIS), the above equality can be obtained easily as a consequence of the Lê-Greuel formula [2], [10]. There are also similar formulas in [4], where they consider different versions of the Milnor numbers,  $\nu(f)$  and  $\nu(X, 0)$ , which also coincide in the case of ICIS.

In this paper, we give a simple algebraic proof of formula (1) in the general case, that is, without the assumption that  $(X, 0)$  is smoothable or ICIS. In fact, the proof only uses

---

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 32S30; Secondary 58K05, 32S15.

*Key words and phrases.* space curve singularities, equisingularity, Milnor number.

The first author has been partially supported by DGICYT Grant MTM2006-06027. The second author has been partially supported by CAPES Grant 3477/05-3.

the definition of the invariants and the Milnor formula  $\mu(X, 0) = 2\delta - r + 1$ , where  $\delta$ ,  $r$  are the delta invariant and the number of branches of the curve, respectively.

We apply our formula in order to study Whitney equisingularity of a family of space curves  $X_t$ . We show that  $X_t$  is Whitney equisingular if and only if the first polar multiplicity  $m_1(X_t, 0)$  is constant. For a space curve germ  $(X, 0) \subset (\mathbb{C}^n, 0)$ , this invariant is defined as  $m_1(X, 0) = \mu(p|_{X,0})$ , where  $p : \mathbb{C}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  is a generic linear projection. This definition is based on the notion of  $d^{\text{th}}$  polar multiplicity of a  $d$ -dimensional ICIS [6].

Finally, we also consider families of functions on space curves  $f_t : X_t \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ . We prove that  $f_t$  is topologically trivial if and only if  $\mu(f_t)$  is constant and that  $f_t$  is Whitney equisingular if and only if  $\mu(f_t)$  and the multiplicity of the curve  $m_0(X_t, 0)$  are both constant.

## 2. MILNOR NUMBER AND DEGREE OF A FUNCTION ON A SPACE CURVE

We first recall the definition and main properties of the Milnor number of a reduced space curve, which generalizes the notion of Milnor number of a plane curve. We refer to [3] for full details and proofs.

Let  $(X, 0) \subset (\mathbb{C}^n, 0)$  be a reduced space curve germ. We denote its local ring by  $\mathcal{O}_{X,0} = \mathcal{O}_n/I$ , where  $\mathcal{O}_n = \mathbb{C}\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$  is the local ring of holomorphic function germs from  $(\mathbb{C}^n, 0)$  to  $\mathbb{C}$  and  $(X, 0) = V(I)$ . We also denote by  $\Omega_{X,0}$  the module of holomorphic 1-forms on  $(X, 0)$  and by  $\omega_{X,0}$  the dualizing module of Grothendieck, that is,

$$\omega_{X,0} := \text{Ext}_{\mathcal{O}_n}^{n-1}(\mathcal{O}_{X,0}, \Omega_{\mathbb{C}^n,0}^n),$$

where  $\Omega_{\mathbb{C}^n,0}^n$  is the module of holomorphic  $n$ -forms on  $(\mathbb{C}^n, 0)$ . We consider a normalization of the curve  $n : (\bar{X}, \bar{0}) \rightarrow (X, 0)$ , which induces the so-called class map  $c_{X,0} : \Omega_{X,0} \rightarrow \omega_{X,0}$  as the composition

$$\Omega_{X,0} \longrightarrow \Omega_{\bar{X},\bar{0}} \cong \omega_{\bar{X},\bar{0}} \longrightarrow \omega_{X,0}.$$

The composition of the differential operator  $d : \mathcal{O}_{X,0} \rightarrow \Omega_{X,0}$  with the class map gives a map which we also denote by  $d : \mathcal{O}_{X,0} \rightarrow \omega_{X,0}$ .

**Definition 2.1.** We define the *Milnor number* of a reduced curve  $(X, 0) \subset (\mathbb{C}^n, 0)$  as

$$\mu(X, 0) = \dim_{\mathbb{C}} \frac{\omega_{X,0}}{d\mathcal{O}_{X,0}}.$$

The main property of the Milnor number is that it generalizes the Milnor formula for plane curves

$$(2) \quad \mu(X, 0) = 2\delta - r + 1,$$

where  $\delta$  is the delta invariant and  $r$  is the number of branches of  $(X, 0)$ . We recall that the delta invariant is defined as

$$\delta = \dim_{\mathbb{C}} \frac{n_* \mathcal{O}_{\bar{X},\bar{0}}}{\mathcal{O}_{X,0}},$$

where  $n : (\bar{X}, \bar{0}) \rightarrow (X, 0)$  is the normalization of  $(X, 0)$ .

Some easy consequences of formula (2) are the following:

- (1)  $\mu(X, 0) \geq 0$ , with equality if and only if  $(X, 0)$  is smooth;
- (2)  $\mu(X, 0) \geq \delta$ , with equality if and only if  $(X, 0)$  is an ordinary  $r$ -tuple point;
- (3)  $\mu(X, 0) \geq r - 1$ , with equality if and only if  $(X, 0)$  is an ordinary  $r$ -tuple point;

Another important property of the Milnor number is that it can be seen as the number which measures the vanishing cohomology of  $(X, 0)$ . Assume that  $(X, 0)$  is smoothable and let  $\pi : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow D$  be a good representative (in the sense of [3]) of a smoothing of  $(X, 0)$ , where  $0 \in D \subseteq \mathbb{C}$ . Then, for  $t \in D \setminus \{0\}$ ,

$$\mu(X, 0) = 1 - \chi(X_t) = \dim_{\mathbb{C}} H^1(X_t; \mathbb{C}),$$

where  $X_t = \pi^{-1}(t)$  and  $\chi(X_t)$  is the Euler characteristic of  $X_t$ . Note that the second equality follows from the fact that  $X_t$  is connected.

We give now the definition of Milnor number of a finite function germ  $f : (X, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}, 0)$  on a reduced curve  $(X, 0)$  (see [13]).

**Definition 2.2.** Let  $f : (X, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}, 0)$  be a finite function germ, where  $(X, 0) \subset (\mathbb{C}^n, 0)$  is a reduced curve. The *Milnor number* of  $f$  is defined as

$$\mu(f) = \dim_{\mathbb{C}} \frac{\omega_{X,0}}{df \wedge \mathcal{O}_{X,0}}.$$

Here,  $df \wedge : \mathcal{O}_{X,0} \rightarrow \omega_{X,0}$  denotes the composition of  $df \wedge : \mathcal{O}_{X,0} \rightarrow \Omega_{X,0}$  with the class map  $c_{X,0} : \Omega_{X,0} \rightarrow \omega_{X,0}$ .

The main properties of this Milnor number are (see [13]):

- (1) It is preserved under simultaneous deformation of  $f$  and  $(X, 0)$ .
- (2) If  $(X, 0)$  is smooth, it coincides with the usual Milnor number.

Note that if  $(X, 0)$  is smoothable, then these two properties are enough in order to determine the Milnor number of any function.

The last ingredient in our formula is the degree of a map germ. It is a well known fact that a finite map germ  $f : (X, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}^d, 0)$ , from a (reduced)  $d$ -dimensional complex analytic set germ  $(X, 0) \subset (\mathbb{C}^n, 0)$ , has a well defined topological degree. See for instance [14] for a proof of the following result.

**Lemma 2.3.** Let  $f : (X, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}^d, 0)$  be a finite map germ, where  $(X, 0)$  is a  $d$ -dimensional complex analytic set germ. Then, there is a representative  $f : U \rightarrow V$ , where  $U, V$  are open neighbourhoods of the origin in  $X, \mathbb{C}^d$  respectively, and a closed analytic subset  $B \subset V$  such that

- (1)  $V \setminus B$  is connected;
- (2)  $f$  is proper and  $f^{-1}(0) = \{0\}$ ;
- (3)  $U \setminus f^{-1}(B)$  is smooth of dimension  $d$  and  $f|_{U \setminus f^{-1}(B)} : U \setminus f^{-1}(B) \rightarrow V \setminus B$  is regular.

**Definition 2.4.** Let  $f : (X, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}^d, 0)$  be a finite map germ, where  $(X, 0)$  is a  $d$ -dimensional complex analytic set germ. Let us consider a representative  $f : U \rightarrow V$  and a closed analytic subset  $B \subset V$  which verify conditions (1), (2) and (3) of the above lemma. We define the *degree* of  $f$  as

$$\deg(f) = \#f^{-1}(z), \quad \forall z \in V \setminus B.$$

Since  $f|_{U \setminus f^{-1}(B)}$  is a covering map and  $V \setminus B$  is connected, we have that this number is well defined and does not depend on the value of  $z$ .

The degree of a finite map germ can be computed algebraically by means of the Samuel formula. We denote by  $e(I; R)$  the multiplicity of an ideal  $I$  in a local Noetherian ring  $R$ . If  $f : (X, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}^d, 0)$  is a finite map germ, then

$$\deg(f) = e(\langle f_1, \dots, f_d \rangle; \mathcal{O}_{X,0}),$$

where  $f_1, \dots, f_d \in \mathcal{O}_{X,0}$  are the components of  $f$  (see for instance [14]). In fact,  $f_1, \dots, f_d$  generate a system of parameters in  $\mathcal{O}_{X,0}$ . Thus, if  $\mathcal{O}_{X,0}$  is Cohen-Macaulay (which will be our case), the multiplicity of the ideal is equal to the colength (see [12]),

$$(3) \quad \deg(f) = \dim_{\mathbb{C}} \frac{\mathcal{O}_{X,0}}{\langle f_1, \dots, f_d \rangle}.$$

In the case that  $(X, 0)$  is a smooth curve, its local ring is  $\mathcal{O}_{X,0} = \mathbb{C}\{t\}$ . Given a finite map germ  $f : (X, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}, 0)$ , we have

$$f(t) = a_k t^k + \text{higher order terms},$$

for some  $k \geq 1$  and  $a_k \neq 0$ . It follows that  $\deg(f) = k = \mu(f) + 1$ , since

$$df = (ka_k t^{k-1} + \dots) dt.$$

We will generalize this for singular curves  $(X, 0)$  by means of the normalization. The first step is given in the following lemma.

**Lemma 2.5.** *Let  $(X, 0)$  be a reduced curve and let  $n : (\bar{X}, \bar{0}) \rightarrow (X, 0)$  be its normalization. Given a finite function germ  $f : (X, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}, 0)$ , we have*

$$\dim_{\mathbb{C}} \frac{\Omega_{\bar{X}, \bar{0}}}{d\bar{f} \wedge \mathcal{O}_{\bar{X}, \bar{0}}} = \deg(f) - r,$$

where  $\bar{f} = f \circ n$  and  $r$  is the number of branches of  $(X, 0)$ .

*Proof.* We assume that  $(X, 0)$  has  $r$  branches  $X = X_1 \cup \dots \cup X_r$  and we also denote  $\bar{X} = \bar{X}_1 \sqcup \dots \sqcup \bar{X}_r$  so that  $n(\bar{X}_i) = X_i$ . Note that each component  $(\bar{X}_i, 0)$  is smooth and we denote its local ring by  $\mathcal{O}_{\bar{X}_i, 0} = \mathbb{C}\{t_i\}$ .

For each  $i = 1, \dots, r$ , we can consider the restriction  $\bar{f}_i = \bar{f}|_{\bar{X}_i, 0}$ . Since  $\bar{f}_i \in \mathbb{C}\{t_i\}$ , it can be written as

$$\bar{f}_i(t_i) = a_{k_i} t_i^{k_i} + \text{higher order terms},$$

for some  $k_i \geq 1$  such that  $a_{k_i} \neq 0$ . It follows from the definition of degree that

$$\deg(f) = k_1 + \dots + k_r.$$

On the other hand, it is now easy to compute the desired dimension,

$$\begin{aligned} \dim_{\mathbb{C}} \frac{\Omega_{\bar{X}, \bar{0}}}{d\bar{f} \wedge \mathcal{O}_{\bar{X}, \bar{0}}} &= \dim_{\mathbb{C}} \frac{\mathbb{C}\{t_1\} dt_1 \oplus \dots \oplus \mathbb{C}\{t_r\} dt_r}{d\bar{f}_1 \wedge \mathbb{C}\{t_1\} \oplus \dots \oplus d\bar{f}_r \wedge \mathbb{C}\{t_r\}} \\ &= \dim_{\mathbb{C}} \frac{\mathbb{C}\{t_1\} dt_1}{d\bar{f}_1 \wedge \mathbb{C}\{t_1\}} + \dots + \dim_{\mathbb{C}} \frac{\mathbb{C}\{t_r\} dt_r}{d\bar{f}_r \wedge \mathbb{C}\{t_r\}} \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^r (k_i - 1) = \deg(f) - r. \end{aligned}$$

□

We now state the main theorem.

**Theorem 2.6.** *Let  $(X, 0)$  be a reduced curve and let  $f : (X, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}, 0)$  be a finite function germ on  $X$ . Then,*

$$\mu(f) = \mu(X, 0) + \deg(f) - 1.$$

*Proof.* Let  $n : (\bar{X}, \bar{0}) \rightarrow (X, 0)$  be the normalization of  $(X, 0)$  and let us denote  $\bar{f} = f \circ n$ . We have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \mathcal{O}_{X,0} & \xrightarrow{n^*} & \mathcal{O}_{\bar{X},\bar{0}} & & \\ df \wedge \downarrow & & d\bar{f} \wedge \downarrow & & \\ \Omega_{X,0} & \xrightarrow{n_1^*} & \Omega_{\bar{X},\bar{0}} & \xrightarrow{\bar{c}} & \omega_{X,0}, \end{array}$$

from which we obtain

$$\mu(f) = \dim_{\mathbb{C}} \operatorname{coker}(\bar{c} \circ n_1^* \circ (df \wedge)) = \dim_{\mathbb{C}} \operatorname{coker}(\bar{c} \circ (d\bar{f} \wedge) \circ n^*).$$

Now we use that the three morphisms  $\bar{c}$ ,  $n^*$  and  $d\bar{f} \wedge$  are in fact monomorphisms. Then we can split the dimension into three summands:

$$\mu(f) = \dim_{\mathbb{C}} \operatorname{coker} \bar{c} + \dim_{\mathbb{C}} \operatorname{coker}(d\bar{f} \wedge) + \dim_{\mathbb{C}} \operatorname{coker} n^*.$$

By definition, the last term  $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} \operatorname{coker} n^*$  is equal to  $\delta$ , the delta invariant of  $(X, 0)$ . But we can see in [3] that the first term  $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} \operatorname{coker} \bar{c}$  is also equal to  $\delta$ . Finally, Lemma 2.5 says that  $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} \operatorname{coker}(d\bar{f} \wedge)$  is equal to  $\deg(f) - r$ , where  $r$  is the number of branches of  $(X, 0)$ . Hence,

$$\mu(f) = 2\delta + \deg(f) - r = \mu(X, 0) + \deg(f) - 1,$$

where the last equality follows from the Milnor formula (2).  $\square$

**Corollary 2.7.** *Let  $(X, 0)$  be a reduced curve and let  $f : (X, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}, 0)$  be a finite function germ. We have:*

- (1)  $\mu(f) = 0$  if and only if  $(X, 0)$  is smooth and  $f$  is regular.
- (2)  $\mu(f) \geq 2(r - 1)$ , where  $r$  is the number of branches of  $(X, 0)$ .
- (3)  $\mu(f) \geq 2\delta$ , where  $\delta$  is the delta invariant of  $(X, 0)$ .

Moreover, the equality holds in (2) or (3) if and only if  $(X, 0)$  is an ordinary  $r$ -tuple point and the restriction of  $f$  to each branch is regular.

*Proof.* We have that  $\mu(f) = 0$  if and only if  $\mu(X, 0) = 0$  and  $\deg(f) = 1$ , if and only if  $(X, 0)$  is smooth and  $f$  is regular. To see (2), we note that  $\mu(X, 0) \geq r - 1$  and  $\deg(f) \geq r$  with equality if and only if  $(X, 0)$  is an ordinary  $r$ -tuple point and the restriction of  $f$  to each branch is regular. Finally, (3) follows from the Milnor formula,

$$\mu(f) = 2\delta - r + 1 + \deg(f) - 1 \geq 2\delta,$$

with equality if and only if  $(X, 0)$  is an ordinary  $r$ -tuple point and the restriction of  $f$  to each branch is regular.  $\square$

**Corollary 2.8.** *Let  $(X, 0)$  be a 1-dimensional ICIS, defined by  $(X, 0) = V(g_1, \dots, g_{n-1})$  in  $(\mathbb{C}^n, 0)$  and let  $f : (X, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}, 0)$  be a finite function germ. Then,*

$$\mu(f) = \dim_{\mathbb{C}} \frac{\mathcal{O}_n}{\langle g_1, \dots, g_{n-1}, J \rangle},$$

where  $J$  is the Jacobian determinant of  $(g_1, \dots, g_{n-1}, f)$ .

*Proof.* If  $(X, 0) = V(g_1, \dots, g_{n-1})$  is a 1-dimensional ICIS and  $f : (X, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}, 0)$  is finite, then  $(X_1, 0) = V(g_1, \dots, g_{n-1}, f)$  is a 0-dimensional ICIS. Moreover, following [11] its Milnor number is equal to

$$\mu(X_1, 0) = \dim_{\mathbb{C}} \frac{\mathcal{O}_n}{\langle g_1, \dots, g_{n-1}, f \rangle} - 1 = \deg(f) - 1,$$

where the last equality follows from equation (3). The result is now a consequence of the Lê-Greuel formula (see [2] and [10]),

$$\dim_{\mathbb{C}} \frac{\mathcal{O}_n}{\langle g_1, \dots, g_{n-1}, J \rangle} = \mu(X, 0) + \mu(X_1, 0) = \mu(X, 0) + \deg(f) - 1 = \mu(f).$$

□

**Example 2.9.** Let  $(X, 0) \subset (\mathbb{C}^3, 0)$  the space curve germ parametrized by  $t \mapsto (t^3, t^4, t^5)$ . Since  $\mathcal{O}_{X,0} = \mathbb{C}\{t^3, t^4, t^5\}$  and  $\mathcal{O}_{\bar{X},\bar{0}} = \mathbb{C}\{t\}$ , we see that  $\delta(X, 0) = 2$  and  $\mu(X, 0) = 4$  ( $(X, 0)$  is irreducible,  $r = 1$ ). Let us consider the function germ  $f : (X, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}, 0)$  defined by  $f(x, y, z) = x$ . We have  $\bar{f}(t) = t^3$ ,  $\deg(f) = 3$  and obviously  $\mu(f) = 6$ .

We now take a smoothing  $X_s$  of  $(X, 0)$ . To do this, we compute the equations of the curve  $(X, 0) = V(xz - y^2, x^2y - z^2, x^3 - yz) \subset (\mathbb{C}^3, 0)$ . Then we can define  $X_s = V(xz - y^2, x^2y - z^2 + sy, x^3 - yz + sx)$ , which is a smooth deformation of  $(X, 0)$ , when  $s \neq 0$ . Moreover, we also consider  $f_s : X_s \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ ,  $f_s(x, y, z) = x$ , the corresponding deformation of  $f$ .

It is not difficult to see that  $f_s$  has three critical points  $p_1 = (0, 0, 0)$ ,  $p_2 = (\sqrt{-s}, 0, 0)$  and  $p_3 = (-\sqrt{-s}, 0, 0)$ . Moreover, we also compute the corresponding parametrization of  $X_s$  near  $p_i$  and deduce that  $\bar{f}_s(t)$  is equivalent to  $t^3$  at  $p_i$ ,  $i = 1, 2, 3$ . This implies  $\mu(f_s, p_i) = 2$  and thus,

$$\mu(f_s, p_1) + \mu(f_s, p_2) + \mu(f_s, p_3) = 6 = \mu(f).$$

### 3. EQUISINGULARITY OF FAMILIES OF SPACE CURVES

In [6], Gaffney defines the  $d^{\text{th}}$  polar multiplicity of a  $d$ -dimensional ICIS  $(X, 0)$  as

$$m_d(X, 0) = \dim_{\mathbb{C}} \frac{\mathcal{O}_{X,0}}{J(f_1, \dots, f_{n-d}, p)},$$

where  $(X, 0) = V(f_1, \dots, f_{n-d})$  in  $(\mathbb{C}^n, 0)$ ,  $p : \mathbb{C}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  is a generic linear projection and  $J(h)$  denotes the ideal generated by the maximal minors of the Jacobian matrix of a map germ  $h$ . If  $d = 1$ , it follows from Corollary 2.8 that  $m_1(X, 0) = \mu(p|_{X,0})$ . Hence, it makes sense the following definition.

**Definition 3.1.** We define the *first polar multiplicity* of a reduced curve  $(X, 0) \subset (\mathbb{C}^n, 0)$  as

$$m_1(X, 0) = \mu(p|_{X,0}),$$

where  $p : \mathbb{C}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  is a generic linear projection.

We recall that the multiplicity of a  $d$ -dimensional complex analytic set germ  $(X, 0)$  is defined as

$$m_0(X, 0) = e(\mathfrak{m}_{X,0}; \mathcal{O}_{X,0}),$$

where  $\mathfrak{m}_{X,0}$  is the maximal ideal of  $\mathcal{O}_{X,0}$ . If we assume  $(X,0) \subset (\mathbb{C}^n,0)$ , the multiplicity is computed by taking a reduction of  $\mathfrak{m}_{X,0}$  which is generated by  $d$  generic linear forms  $p_1, \dots, p_d : \mathbb{C}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  (see [12]). Hence,

$$m_0(X,0) = e(\mathfrak{m}_{X,0}; \mathcal{O}_{X,0}) = e(\langle p_1, \dots, p_d \rangle; \mathcal{O}_{X,0}) = \deg(p|_{X,0}),$$

where  $p = (p_1, \dots, p_d) : \mathbb{C}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^d$  and the last equality follows from Samuel formula. In the case of a curve ( $d = 1$ ), we obtain the following immediate consequence of Theorem 2.6.

**Corollary 3.2.** *Given a reduced curve  $(X,0)$ , we have*

$$m_1(X,0) = \mu(X,0) + m_0(X,0) - 1.$$

Moreover, if  $(X,0)$  is smoothable and  $X_t$  is a smooth deformation,

$$\chi(X_t) = m_0(X,0) - m_1(X,0).$$

**Remark 3.3.** If  $(X,0)$  is a  $d$ -dimensional ICIS, a recursive application of the Lê-Greuel formula gives that

$$m_0(X,0) - m_1(X,0) + \dots + (-1)^d m_d(X,0) = 1 + (-1)^d \mu(X,0) = \chi(X_t),$$

where  $m_i(X,0)$  is the  $i^{\text{th}}$  polar multiplicity and  $X_t$  is a smooth Milnor fibre (see [6] and [9]). Note that these formulas coincide with the formulas of Corollary 3.2 if  $d = 1$ .

By a (flat) family of space curves we mean a reduced 2-dimensional complex analytic set germ  $(\mathcal{X},0) \subset (\mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{C}^n,0)$  such that the projection  $\pi : (\mathcal{X},0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{C},0)$ , given by  $\pi(t,x) = t$  is flat. We denote by  $X_t \subset \mathbb{C}^n$  the space curve defined by  $X_t = p_2(\pi^{-1}(t))$ , where  $p_2 : \mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{C}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$  is the projection  $p_2(t,x) = x$ . We assume that  $(X_0,0)$  is also reduced. We say that  $(\mathcal{X},0)$  is a (flat) deformation of  $(X_0,0)$ . We also assume that the family is localized at the origin, so that for each  $t$  we can consider the singularity  $(X_t,0)$ .

**Definition 3.4.** Let  $(\mathcal{X},0)$  be a deformation of a reduced curve  $(X,0)$ .

- (1) We say that  $(\mathcal{X},0)$  is *good* if there is a representative  $\mathcal{X}$  defined in  $D \times U$ , where  $D, U$  are open neighbourhoods of the origin in  $\mathbb{C}, \mathbb{C}^n$  respectively, such that  $X_t \setminus \{0\}$  is smooth, for any  $t \in D$ .
- (2) We say that  $(\mathcal{X},0)$  is  *$\mu$ -constant* if  $\mu(X_t,0)$  is constant.
- (3) We say that  $(\mathcal{X},0)$  is *topologically trivial* if there is a homeomorphism germ  $\Phi : (\mathcal{X},0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{C} \times X_0,0)$  such that  $\pi \circ \Phi = \pi$ .
- (4) We say that  $(\mathcal{X},0)$  is *Whitney equisingular* if there is a representative which admits a regular stratification so that the parameter axis  $S = D \times \{0\} \subset \mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{C}^n$  is a stratum.

The condition for the family to be good means that the curves  $X_t$  have isolated singularity “uniformly”, that is, in a neighbourhood  $U$  which does not depend on  $t$ . It follows that any topologically trivial family is good. Moreover, according to the first isotopy lemma of Thom, any Whitney equisingular family is topologically trivial. The converse is not true, see [3].

The main problem in equisingularity theory is to find numerical invariants whose constancy in the family implies topological triviality or Whitney equisingularity. In the case of families of space curves  $(\mathcal{X},0)$  the answer to this problem is given by the Milnor number and the multiplicity (see [1] and [3]):

- (1) If  $(\mathcal{X},0)$  is  $\mu$ -constant, then it is good.

- (2)  $(\mathcal{X}, 0)$  is topologically trivial if and only if it is  $\mu$ -constant.
- (3)  $(\mathcal{X}, 0)$  is Whitney equisingular if and only if it is  $\mu$ -constant and  $m_0(X_t, 0)$  is also constant.

Since  $\mu(X_t, 0)$  and  $m_0(X_t, 0)$  are both upper semi-continuous, we have that their constancy is equivalent to the constancy of  $m_1(X_t, 0)$  by Corollary 3.2. Thus, we can control the Whitney equisingularity with just one invariant.

**Corollary 3.5.** *A family of space curves  $(\mathcal{X}, 0)$  is Whitney equisingular if and only if  $m_1(X_t, 0)$  is constant.*

**Remark 3.6.** Again this result can be extended to higher dimensions if we restrict ourselves to ICIS. Let  $X_t$  be a family of  $d$ -dimensional ICIS. According to [6], it is Whitney equisingular if and only if all the polar multiplicities are constant. By using the formula of Remark 3.3, we can reduce the number of invariants. If  $d$  is odd, it is Whitney equisingular if and only if the odd polar multiplicities  $m_1(X_t, 0), m_3(X_t, 0), \dots$  are constant. If  $d$  is even, then it is Whitney equisingular if and only if  $\mu(X_t, 0)$  and  $m_1(X_t, 0), m_3(X_t, 0), \dots$  are constant (see [9]).

#### 4. EQUISINGULARITY OF FAMILIES OF FUNCTIONS ON SPACE CURVES

In the last section, we consider families of functions on space curve germs. Given  $f : (X, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}, 0)$  we will take deformations of both  $(X, 0)$  and  $f$ . We will use the Milnor number  $\mu(f)$  to characterize topological triviality and Whitney equisingularity in a similar way to the case of families of curve germs. We also note that when working with deformations of map germs  $f_t$ , it is more helpful to consider the associated unfolding  $F(t, x) = (t, f_t(x))$ .

**Definition 4.1.** Let  $f : (X, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}, 0)$  be a finite function germ on a reduced curve  $(X, 0)$ . An *unfolding* of  $f$  is a map germ  $F : (\mathcal{X}, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{C}, 0)$  such that  $(\mathcal{X}, 0)$  is a deformation of  $(X, 0)$ ,  $F$  is given by  $F(t, x) = (t, f_t(x))$  and  $f_0 = f$ . We will say that  $F$  is *origin preserving* if  $f_t(0) = 0$  for any  $t$ , so that we have an induced family of function germs  $f_t : (X_t, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}, 0)$ . We will assume that all the unfoldings are origin preserving, unless otherwise specified.

Let  $f : \mathbb{C}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^p$  be a complex analytic map and let  $A \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ ,  $A' \subset \mathbb{C}^p$  be subsets such that  $f(A) \subseteq A'$ . A *stratification* of  $f : A \rightarrow A'$  is a pair  $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A}')$  of stratifications of  $A, A'$  respectively such that  $f$  maps strata submersively to strata. The stratification  $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A}')$  is said to be *regular* if  $\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A}'$  satisfy the Whitney regularity conditions and if any stratum  $Y \in \mathcal{A}$  satisfies Thom's condition  $A_f$  over any other stratum  $X \in \mathcal{A}$ .

**Definition 4.2.** Let  $F : (\mathcal{X}, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{C}, 0)$  be an unfolding of a finite function germ  $f : (X, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}, 0)$  on a reduced curve  $(X, 0)$ .

- (1) We say that  $F$  is *good* if there is a representative defined in  $D \times U$ , where  $D, U$  are open neighbourhoods of the origin in  $\mathbb{C}, \mathbb{C}^n$  respectively, such that  $X_t \setminus \{0\}$  is smooth and  $f_t$  is regular on  $X_t \setminus \{0\}$ , for any  $t \in D$ .
- (2) We say that  $F$  is  *$\mu$ -constant* if  $\mu(f_t, 0)$  is constant.
- (3) We say that  $F$  is *topologically trivial* if there are homeomorphism map germs:

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi : (\mathcal{X}, 0) &\rightarrow (\mathbb{C} \times X, 0), & \Phi(t, x) &= (t, \phi_t(x)), \\ \Psi : (\mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{C}, 0) &\rightarrow (\mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{C}, 0), & \Psi(t, y) &= (t, \psi_t(y)), \end{aligned}$$

such that  $F = \Psi \circ G \circ \Phi$ , where  $G(t, x) = (t, f(x))$  is the trivial unfolding of  $f$ .



- (4) We say that  $F$  is *Whitney equisingular* if there is a representative which admits a regular stratification so that the parameter axes  $S = D \times \{0\} \subset \mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{C}^n$  and  $T = D \times \{0\} \subset \mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{C}$  are strata.

Again, by using an appropriate version of Thom's second isotopy lemma for complex analytic maps, it follows that any Whitney equisingular unfolding is topologically trivial (see [5, theorem 6.1]). In the case of functions on space curve germs the relations between these concepts are summarized in the following theorem.

**Theorem 4.3.** *Let  $F : (\mathcal{X}, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{C}, 0)$  be an unfolding of a finite function germ  $f : (X, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}, 0)$  on a reduced curve  $(X, 0)$ .*

- (1) *If  $F$  is  $\mu$ -constant, then it is good.*
- (2)  *$F$  is topologically trivial if and only if it is  $\mu$ -constant.*
- (3)  *$F$  is Whitney equisingular if and only if it is  $\mu$ -constant and  $m_0(X_t, 0)$  is also constant.*

*Proof.* The first part follows from the fact that the Milnor number  $\mu(f)$  is preserved under simultaneous deformations of both  $f$  and  $(X, 0)$  (see [13]). Let  $F : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{C}$  be a representative defined in  $D \times U$ , where  $D, U$  are open neighbourhoods of the origin in  $\mathbb{C}, \mathbb{C}^n$  respectively. By shrinking  $D$  and  $U$  if necessary, we can assume that for any  $t \in D$ ,

$$\mu(f) = \sum_{x \in X_t} \mu(f_t, x).$$

If  $F$  is  $\mu$ -constant, then  $\mu(f) = \mu(f_t, 0)$  and hence,  $\mu(f_t, x) = 0$  for any  $x \in X_t \setminus \{0\}$  and any  $t \in D$ . But this implies by Corollary 2.7 that  $X_t \setminus \{0\}$  is smooth and  $f_t$  is regular on  $X_t \setminus \{0\}$ .

Let us see part (2). If  $F$  is topologically trivial, then  $(\mathcal{X}, 0)$  is a topologically trivial family of space curves and hence,  $\mu(X_t, 0)$  is constant. Moreover, there are homeomorphisms  $\Phi, \Psi$  such that  $\Phi(t, x) = (t, \phi_t(x))$ ,  $\Psi(t, y) = (t, \psi_t(y))$  and  $F = \Psi \circ (\text{id} \times f) \circ \Phi$ . For any  $t$ , this means that  $f_t = \psi_t \circ f \circ \phi_t$ , which implies  $\deg(f_t, 0) = \deg(f, 0)$  and hence,  $\mu(f_t, 0) = \mu(f, 0)$  by Theorem 2.6.

Assume now that  $F$  is  $\mu$ -constant. Again by Theorem 2.6 this implies the constancy of  $\mu(X_t, 0)$  and  $\deg(f_t, 0)$ . Since  $(\mathcal{X}, 0)$  is a  $\mu$ -constant family of space curves, it is also  $\delta$ -constant and it admits a normalization in family (see [3] and [16]). This means that there is a map  $N : (\mathbb{C} \times \bar{X}, \bar{0}) \rightarrow (\mathcal{X}, 0)$  given by  $N(t, x) = (t, n_t(x))$  such that for any  $t$ ,  $n_t : (\bar{X}, \bar{0}) \rightarrow (X_t, 0)$  is the normalization of  $(X_t, 0)$ .

The composition  $F \circ N : (\mathbb{C} \times \bar{X}, \bar{0}) \rightarrow (\mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{C}, 0)$  is an unfolding of a function multigerms  $f \circ n_0 : (\bar{X}, \bar{0}) \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}, 0)$ , whose domain  $(\bar{X}, \bar{0})$  is smooth and with constant degree on each component. It is well known that such a family is analytically trivial, that is, there is a diffeomorphism multigerms  $\bar{\Phi} : (\mathbb{C} \times \bar{X}, \bar{0}) \rightarrow (\mathbb{C} \times \bar{X}, \bar{0})$ , defined by  $\bar{\Phi}(t, x) = (t, \bar{\phi}_t(x))$  such that  $f_t \circ n_t \circ \bar{\phi}_t = f \circ n_0$ .

Now  $\bar{\Phi}$  induces a unique map germ  $\Phi$  such that the following diagram is commutative:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} (\mathbb{C} \times \bar{X}, \bar{0}) & \xrightarrow{N} & (\mathcal{X}, 0) & \xrightarrow{F} & (\mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{C}, 0) \\ \bar{\Phi} \uparrow & & \Phi \uparrow & & \\ (\mathbb{C} \times \bar{X}, \bar{0}) & \xrightarrow{\text{id} \times n_0} & (\mathbb{C} \times X, 0) & & \end{array}$$

In fact,  $\Phi$  has the form  $\Phi(t, x) = (t, \phi_t(x))$  and is defined outside the origin by  $\phi_t = n_t \circ \bar{\phi}_t \circ n_0^{-1}$ . It follows that  $\Phi$  is a homeomorphism germ which trivializes  $F$ , that is,  $f_t \circ \phi_t = f$ , for any  $t$ .

We finish by showing part (3). If  $F$  is Whitney equisingular, then it is topologically trivial and hence  $\mu$ -constant, by (2). Moreover,  $(\mathcal{X}, 0)$  becomes a Whitney equisingular family of space curves which implies that  $m_0(X_t, 0)$  is also constant.

Conversely, assume that  $F$  is  $\mu$ -constant and  $m_0(X_t, 0)$  is also constant. By (1),  $F$  is good and hence, there is a representative  $F : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{C}$  defined in  $D \times U$ , where  $D, U$  are open neighbourhoods of the origin in  $\mathbb{C}, \mathbb{C}^n$  respectively, such that  $X_t \setminus \{0\}$  is smooth and  $f_t$  is regular on  $X_t \setminus \{0\}$ .

We have now a stratification  $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A}')$  of  $F$  given by

$$\mathcal{A} = \{\mathcal{X} \setminus S, S\}, \quad \mathcal{A}' = \{F(\mathcal{X}) \setminus T, T\}.$$

Since  $F(\mathcal{X})$  is open, it is obvious that  $\mathcal{A}'$  satisfies the Whitney regularity conditions. Moreover,  $F$  restricted to each stratum is a diffeomorphism, so that the Thom  $A_F$  condition is also satisfied trivially. Finally,  $\mathcal{A}$  is also Whitney regular, since  $(\mathcal{X}, 0)$  is a Whitney equisingular family of space curves. Just note that the constancy of  $\mu(f_t, 0)$  also implies the constancy of  $\mu(X_t, 0)$  by Theorem 2.6 and the upper semi-continuity of the invariants.  $\square$

**Acknowledgement:** This work was done during a stay of the second author in the Universitat de València (Spain). He is grateful especially to the Departament de Geometria i Topologia for their hospitality and support.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] J. Briançon, A. Galligo, M. Granger, *Déformations équivariantes des germes de courbes gauches réduites*. Mém. Soc. Math. France (N.S.) no. 1, 1980/81.
- [2] E. Brieskorn, G.M. Greuel, *Singularities of complete intersections*. Manifolds—Tokyo 1973 (Proc. Internat. Conf., Tokyo, 1973), pp. 123–129. Univ. Tokyo Press, Tokyo, 1975.
- [3] R.O. Buchweitz, G.M. Greuel, *The Milnor number and deformations of complex curve singularities*. Invent. Math. 58, (1980) 241–281.
- [4] W. Ebeling, S.M. Gusein-Zade, J. Seade, *Homological index for 1-forms and a Milnor number for isolated singularities*. Int. J. Math. 15, No. 9, (2004) 895–905.
- [5] T. Gaffney, *Polar multiplicities and equisingularity of map germs*, Topology **32** (1993), no. 1, 185–223.
- [6] T. Gaffney, *Multiplicities and equisingularity of ICIS germs*. Invent. Math. 123, No.2, (1996) 209–220.
- [7] V.V. Goryunov, *Functions on space curves*. J. Lond. Math. Soc., II. Ser. 61, No.3, (2000) 807–822.
- [8] V.H. Jorge-Pérez, J.J. Nuño-Ballesteros, *Finite determinacy and Whitney equisingularity of map germs from  $\mathbb{C}^n$  to  $\mathbb{C}^{2n-1}$* . Preprint.
- [9] V.H. Jorge-Pérez, M.J. Saia, *Euler Obstruction, polar multiplicities and equisingularity of map germs in  $\mathcal{O}(n, p)$ ,  $n < p$* . Int. J. Math 17, No. 8 (2006) 887–903.
- [10] Lê Dũng Tráng, *Computation of the Milnor number of an isolated singularity of a complete intersection*, Funkcional. Anal. i Priložen. **8** (1974), no. 2, 45–49.
- [11] E.J.N. Looijenga, *Isolated singular points on complete intersections*. London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series, 77, Cambridge University Press 1984.
- [12] H. Matsumura, *Commutative ring theory*. Cambridge studies in advanced mathematics 8, Cambridge University Press, 1986.
- [13] D. Mond, D. van Straten, *Milnor number equals Tjurina number for functions on space curves*. J. Lond. Math. Soc., II. Ser. 63, No.1, (2001) 177–187.
- [14] D. Mumford, *Algebraic Geometry I, Projective Varieties*. A Series of Comprehensive Studies in Mathematics 221, Springer Verlag, 1976.
- [15] D. Mumford, *Pathologies IV*. Amer. J. Math. 97 (1975), no. 3, 847–849.

- [16] B. Teissier, *The hunting of invariants in the geometry of discriminants*. Real and complex singularities, Oslo 1976, pp. 565–678. Sijthoff & Noordhoff International Publishers, 1977.

DEPARTAMENT DE GEOMETRIA I TOPOLOGIA, UNIVERSITAT DE VALÈNCIA, CAMPUS DE BURJASSOT,  
46100 BURJASSOT SPAIN

*E-mail address:* `Juan.Nuno@uv.es`

DEPARTAMENTO DE MATEMÁTICA, UNIVERSIDADE FEDERAL DE SÃO CARLOS, CAIXA POSTAL 676,  
13560-905, SÃO CARLOS, SP, BRAZIL

*E-mail address:* `tomazella@dm.ufscar.br`